



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10232
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Time of Weed Removal and Population Density on Weed Control and Crop Performance in Okra/Amaranthus Intercrop
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please consider revising the treatments to read: 1. No weeding 2. Single weeding at 3 WAP 3. Double weeding at 3 & 6 WAP References in the text and the reference section should be presented and numbered in line with journal requirement The Introduction may be re-written in a more organised manner. Pod or fruit "girth" should rather be fruit diameter since the materials and methods use could not generate data on the girth with is usually derived from the diameter measures. The correct label for the data here is FRUIT DIAMETER The headings under the results are too wordy and a simple repetition of the paper title. A suggestion has been made in the highlights for consideration.	Correction has been effected. Correction has been effected The introduction has been rearranged Noted . The correction has been made.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Where the author(s) site no significant differences in their data, a simply "ns" is acceptable. The Tables should be revised accordingly. 3, 6 and 9 in the tables should separate from the WAP in the tables and throughout the text.	Correction has been effected..



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article requires substantial revision to bring it to the standard of the journal. The authors may want to consider the suggestions made in highlight on the pdf document.	Noted. The whole document had been revised.
---	---	---