

Agronomic adaptability of some selected Nerica rice varieties in response to biofertilizer application in northern Cameroun**Abstract**

A field study was conducted in agro-ecological zone I (Yagoua) and II (Wakwa) in Cameroon during the 2008 and 2009 cropping seasons. The objective of this research was to evaluate the responses of four selected Nerica rice varieties to biofertilizer application on their growth and yield performances in the field. The experimental setup was a split-plot (4x5)x3, in which the type of fertilization was the main factor or treatments, each of which was replicated thrice, while the rice varieties (FKR56-N, FKR58-N, FKR60-N, FKR62-N, DIR-95) were considered as the secondary factor or sub-treatment. Treatments were: T0, which received none of the fertilizers; TE, which was applied with only the chemical fertilizer NPK (14-24-14); TEM, which was applied with chemical fertilizer (NPK) and biofertilizer (mycorrhizae); TM, which was applied with only biofertilizer. Biofertilizer was revealed as effective as the chemical fertilizer on rice growth and yield, but at different ranges varying with rice varieties. Rice varieties FKR60-N and FKR62-N significantly expressed the highest degree of root colonization by biofertilizer at the range of respectively 62.96-77.23% at Yagoua and 59.29-69.05% at Wakwa compared to other varieties, and for the first and the second cropping seasons. Rice plants that were applied with each of the fertilizers showed an important height (103.88-112.80cm) when compared to the control (96.04-104.06cm). The number of tillers was significantly increased 1.5-2 folds by biofertilizer application at Yagoua and Wakwa during both cropping seasons. Nerica varieties FKR58-N, FKR60-N, as well as the local variety DIR-95 recorded higher seed yield, respectively (1.73-3.32; 2.27-4.42; 2.22-4.54) t/ha in studied agro-ecological zones. On the overall, there were significant varietal changes on growth parameters and grain yield/ha. Results revealed the contribution of this biofertilizer in sustaining the intensification of safe and environmentally friendly rice production in northern Cameroun.

Key words: Nerica rice, biofertilizer, growth and yield performances, Yagoua, Wakwa

1. Introduction

Rice of the genus *Oryza* is a cereal crop cultivated worldwide, and where it constitutes the main component of human nutrition [1]. It is a nutritional food source for which its consumption is more important, and rises up more than the demographic explosion. Cultivation of rice «*Oryza glaberrima*» has an ancient origin, anterior to introduction of Asiatic origin rice «*Oryza sativa* L.» [2]. Farmers have found some organoleptic qualities greater than those of Asiatic rice [3]. Therefore, the African Initiative for Rice (AIR) was launched in 2002 to promote diffusion of Nerica rice which means «New Rice for Africa», which is an interspecific hybrid from the crossing between the local rice (*Oryza glaberrima*) and the exotic Asian rice (*Oryza sativa*). Nerica rice is well adapted to Sub-Saharan Africa, due to its brief growth period, which allows rice growers to benefit from the short rainy season within the zones exposed to drought [4]. This rice is particularly indicated for securing riziculture in lowland, even with bad water management practices. Hence, the possibility of

44 adapting the varieties known as flexible or polyvalent, but that also supports high temperature
45 fluctuations opens new interesting perspectives.

46 Like other African countries in Central Africa, Cameroon possesses the agro-
47 ecological conditions favorable for intensive rice production [5]. Despite this enormous
48 potential that can ensure its self-dependence, the country still lines up with the sub-regional
49 tendency of importing rice. With a prevision of 60000 ha of irrigated surface toward the 2030
50 horizon, which is equivalent to an average production of 120000 tons of paddy within the
51 actual conditions, Cameroon could not satisfy the overgrowing demand in rice [5].

52 The fast growing population in northern Cameroon invites thoughts on long-term
53 environmentally friendly solutions for a strategic rehabilitation of rice cultivation surfaces for
54 high yields. Hence, restoration of soil fertility and the socio-economic criteria have to be
55 taken into account prior to any sustainable agricultural development operation. The utilization
56 of chemical fertilizers has been preconized as one of the solutions, but its high cost and
57 availability to the common poor farmer, as well as its hazardous direct and indirect effects on
58 human population and environment [6] are the main constraints. For instance, Inhalation or
59 exposure to methomyl, malathion, methyl parathion, DDT have revealed a high occurrence of
60 generalised symptoms including headache, nausea, vomiting, fatigue, irritation of skin and
61 eyes, besides psychological, neurological, cardiorespiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms [7,
62 8]. In addition, one can point out the poor cultural practices that lead our tropical soils to
63 multiple erosions, and mineral leaching that intensify the low soil productivity [9].

64 The «Agenda 21» adopted at Rio-De-Janero has suggested the necessity for third
65 world countries to establish agricultural production systems that takes into account the
66 ecological equilibrium [10]. Biological agriculture techniques seem to be adapted to a given
67 region at low cost and have no harmful effect to the environment [11, 12]. In fact, more than
68 90% of cultivated plants can establish a symbiotic relationship with mycorrhizal fungi, in
69 which each of the symbiont benefits from the other [13]. Mycorrhizae have proven their
70 potentials as biological control agent against certain plant pathogens [14], or pests [15]. In
71 addition to its many known advantages on plant growth, mycorrhizae have been reported to
72 positively impact the soil microflora or reduce the abiotic and biotic stresses [15]. Based on
73 this wide advantages related to plants and environment, one could think that mycorrhizae can
74 be a solution to several problems linked to agricultural production and plant protection [17].
75 The unequal distribution of efficient mycorrhizae strains in cultivated soils justifies the
76 introduction of inoculation technics ([13, 18, 19] that could improve rice yields attributes, as
77 well as the physico-chemical properties of harvested seeds. Although recent studies have

focused on interactions between Nerica and mycorrhizal inoculation [20, 21], none has yet compared mycorrhizae as biofertilizer to chemical input as far as the plant growth and yield improvement are concerned. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to improve Nerica rice production through low cost and safe strategies that increase the soil fertility and the income of rice growers.

It is expected that biofertilizer-rice symbiosis could increase Nerica rice growth and yield components, as a response to its adaptation to selected agro-ecological zones in Cameroon. The results from study sites in northern Cameroon are discussed, preliminary to the establishment of a biological rice production program in this part of the country.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Description of Location and Experimental Site

Field experiments were located in Yagoua within the SEMRY plantation along the Logone river in agro-ecological zone I (sudano-sahelian, 10°30' of latitude north and 5°30' of longitude west), and Wakwa-Ngaoundere in agro-ecological zone II (12°30' of latitude north and 8°30' of longitude west). In zone I, soils are ferruginous lessiviated and indurated on hard mother rock, averagely deep with 82% of granulometry on the soil surface. Their textures is sandy within the first 15 cm depth (67.2% sand; 30.1% limon; 2.7% clay). In zone II, soils are also lessiviated with spots and concretions, averagely depth with 4% of granulometry on the soil surface with sandy texture within the first 15 cm depth (82.1% sand; 11.7% limon; 6.2% clay).

2.2. Biological and chemical inputs

Mycorrhizae used as biofertilizer was produced at the University of Ngaoundere, and was a mixture of soil, root fragments and spores of the genus *Glomus* and *Gigaspora* (10-25 spores/1g of soil). The chemical fertilizers NPK (fertilizer ®), with the formula 14:24:14, was purchased from an agricultural chemical fertilizer store in Ngaoundere and Yagoua, the two main towns of respective study sites. Nerica seeds were provided by Africa Rice Centre and were a composite of four Nerica varieties namely: FKR56-N; FKR58-N; FKR60-N; FKR62-N, and one local variety named DIR-95. The names, origins and growth cycles of the selected seed varieties are detailed in table 1.

109 **Table 1.** Characteristics of Nerica rice varieties used in this study

Varietal names	Genetic origin (parents)	Geographic origin	Homologated names	Sowing-fruits set Cycle (DAP)	Sowing-maturity cycle (DAP)
WAS 161-B-9-3	TOG 5681 / 4*IR 64	WARDA (ADRAO) St Louis, Senegal	FKR 56-N	86	116
WAS 191-9-3	IR 64 / TOG 5681 // 4*IR 64	WARDA(ADRAO) St Louis, Senegal	FKR 58-N	86	116
WAS 122-IDSA-1-WAS-1-1-B	TOG 5681 /.3*IR 64	WARDA(ADRAO) St Louis, Sénégal	FKR 60-N	85	115
WAS 122-IDSA-1-WAS-6-1	TOG 5681 / 3*IR 64	WARDA(ADRAO) St Louis, Senegal	FKR 62-N	88	118
IR 46	//	Adamawa (Mbe, Cameroon)	DIR-95	80	115

110 FKR: Farako-Bâ Rice; DIR: local variety from Mbe in Adamwa ; N=Nerica * : New Rice for Africa ; DAP: Days After
 111 Planting

113 2.3. Preparation of rice seedlings for transplantation.

114 To obtain seedlings for field experiment, 1 kg of each seed variety (fig. 1a) was stored in
 115 20 cm x 40 cm bags, and soaked for 48 h within 15L plastic baskets containing tap water
 116 (fig.1b). The pre-germinated seeds for each variety (fig. 1c) were evenly distributed on 1 m²
 117 plots, half part of which has received a small layer of biofertilizer prior to sowing. All the
 118 plots were then covered with a layer of dried hay (fig. 1d) to maintain humidity and secure
 119 seeds from devastating birds. Pre-germinated seeds were allowed to grow under wet
 120 conditions for 21 days, before transplantation in the field.

121 2.4. Experimental field, design and treatments

122 Field experiments were conducted and repeated in each study site during the growing season
 123 extending from mid-February to June 2008 and 2009 in Yagoua and Wakwa. The
 124 experimental soil was manually cleared, ploughed and delimited in elementary plots with
 125 dikes. The trial was a split-plot (4 x 5) x 3, in which the type of fertilization was the main
 126 factor or treatments, each of which was replicated thrice, whereas the 5 rice varieties (FKR56-
 127 N, FKR58-N, FKR60-N, FKR62-N and IR-95) were the secondary factors or sub-treatments.
 128 Transplantation of plantlets was effected two per planting hole with 0.20 m x 0.20 m within
 129 and between the lines. An elementary plot was a 16m² area, each of which comprises 20 lines
 130 of 4m length, with an average density of 250.000 plantlets/ha⁻¹. Weeding was manually
 131 performed twice after every two months. Plant growth parameters and seed yield were
 132 estimated on the middle lines to avoid bordering effects.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

The main treatments were: biofertilizer (TM), in which experimental unit was inoculated by dipping nursery plants roots into biofertilizer (containing 10 à 25 spores *Glomus* and *Gigaspora*/1g of soil) before transplantation; chemical fertilizer (TE), in which each plant of the experimental unit received 150 kg.ha⁻¹ of fertilizer ® NPK (14-24-14) and 75 kg.ha⁻¹ of 46% urea at 30 days after planting (DAP); biofertilizer-chemical fertilizer (TEM) in which nursery plants roots were dipped into biofertilizer (containing 10 à 25 spores *Glomus* and *Gigaspora*/1g of soil) before transplantation, with additional 75 kg.ha⁻¹ of fertilizer ® NPK (14-24-14) and 25 kg.ha⁻¹ of 46% urea at 30 DAP; control (T0) in which no experimental unit received none of the fertilizer type. Chemical fertilizers were applied twice during the plant growth cycle: one at sowing, and the other 50 DAP corresponding to panicles emergence.



a



b



c



d

Figure 1. Growing steps of seedlings for field experiment

Breaking of dormancy by storing seeds in bags (a) and soaking in water (b); pre-germinated seeds 48h later (c); sown seeds covered with dried biomass to maintain humidity and secure seeds from devastating birds (d).

2.5. Assessment of the degree of root colonization by biofertilizers

The method used was that of root colonization described [22], but modified [23]. Stained roots provide a contrast allowing easy visualization of fungal structures (mycelium, vesicles, arbuscules). The degree of biofertilizer root colonization was expressed using the intersection line method described [24, 25]. Hence, 10 stained roots fragments with intact cortex were arranged onto slides and protected with a cover slide. The mounted slides were observed at 20X-40X under a light microscope. The presence or absence of mycorrhizal structures was evidenced on each and every fragment. The degree of root colonization by biofertilizer was determined as the ratio between the number of fragments bearing mycorrhizal structures and the total number of fragments.

2.6. Evaluation of growth and yield parameters

Growth parameters were evaluated at 60 days after planting (DAP) on 10 randomly selected plants per experimental unit. The number of productive tillers at 60 DAP was assessed by visual counting, whereas the height of plants were measured using a graduated ruler. At maturity, yield components were assessed on 10 randomly selected plants per experimental unit. Thus, the seed number/panicle was determined by counting the seeds per panicle [26]. An electronic balance PGW 153i (Max 150 g, 0.001 sensibility) was used to evaluate the weight of 1000 seeds. From the weight of seeds per experimental unit, the seed yield per hectare as estimated by extrapolation for each of the rice varieties. Seed yield expressed in t/ha was estimated at 14% relative humidity.

2.7. Statistical analyses

All the obtained data were subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Stagraphic plus Program version 5.00. Means were separated between treatments using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) procedure.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Influence of fertilization type on root colonization and maturity cycles of selected Nerica rice varieties

Figure 2 illustrates the variations in the degree of root colonization by mycorrhizae (biofertilizer) as influenced by different treatments within the studied sites of the two agro-ecological zones I (Yagoua 1, 2) and II (Wakwa 1, 2). Plant roots from treatment T0 (4.34-5.94% at Yagoua, 5.73-8.38% at Wakwa), and TE (5.25-7.97% at Yagoua, 8.44-9.15% at Wakwa) were significantly less colonized by biofertilizer than those of treatment TEM and

TM (62.96-77.23% at Yagoua, 59.29-69.05% at Wakwa) that expressed the highest degree of colonization in both experimental sites for each of the repeated cropping seasons.




Figure 2. Degree of root colonization by biofertilizer as influenced by treatments in cropping seasons within sites (Yagoua, Wakwa)

At Yagoua, TEM-planted rice varieties FKR60-N and DIR-95 responded significantly ($p = 0.006$) to biofertilization than all the other varieties, whereas no difference was observed at Wakwa. As for treatment TM, rice varieties FKR60-N and FKR62-N expressed the highest degree of root colonization by biofertilizer at Yagoua ($p = 0.001$) and Wakwa ($p = 0.004$) compared to other varieties. Similarly, the degree of root colonization by biofertilizer differed between varieties of the first ($p = 0.002$) and the second cropping season ($p = 0.0001$). The decrease in root colonization by biofertilizer in negative control (T0) and chemical fertilizer (TE) treatments lines with the the non homogeneous distribution of efficient strains of biofertilizer in cultivated soils [19]. The present results confirm the infestation of rice varieties by incoming strains of biofertilizer, but also reveal that the indigenous strains were present in the soil, although less competitive or efficient [12].

The time to maturity varied from 112-121, 110-117, 112-117, and 112-116 days, respectively for treatments T0, TE, TEM and TM at Yagoua. At Wakwa, this variation was similar, with respectively 117-120, 111-117, 111-116 and 112-117 days attributed in this order to the same treatments. Between Nerica rice varieties of the same cropping season at Yagoua, sowing-maturity cycle ranged between 110-116 days the first year, and 112-119 days the second year. At Wakwa, the variation held between 111-117 days for the first, to 114-118

days for the second cropping seasons. The maturity phase that runs from flowering to complete seed set lasted for approximately 30 days. The flowering period was rice variety dependent, and could be considered as a secondary selection criterium as previously reported [27]. The reduction of maturity cycle (days) of several varieties by biofertilizer was also seen as predilection criteria positively affecting the cultural calendar. This precocity lines with reduction of climate change [27]. The shortening of cultural cycle in an adaptation to seasonal length was reported as a classical most used method for breeders, and probably remains nowadays, one of the most efficient methods recommended for yield improvement [28, 29].




Figure 3. Variation in the sowing-maturity cycle of rice varieties as influenced by cropping seasons within the sites

3.2. Effet of fertilization on productive and yield parameters of selected Nerica rice varieties

Table 2 indicates that during the first cropping season at Yagoua, the number of fertile tillers/m² varied from one rice variety to another, and within a rice variety, from one treatment to another. For all the rice varieties, treatment TE and TEM increased the number of fertile tillers/m² more than treatment TM and T0. In all the cases, the control treatment produced less fertile tillers than any other treatment. When considering each treatment, the most producing fertile tillers was the rice variety FKR62-N, whereas the less was rice variety FKR58-N. The number of fertile tillers was more elevated in the first (Yagoua 1) than the second cropping season (Yagoua 2).

Table 2. Variation of growth parameters between varieties as affected by treatments at Yagoua

Growth parameters	Treatments	Rice varieties					LSD
		FKR56-N	FKR58-N	FKR60-N	FKR62-N	DIR-95	
Tiller number/m ⁻²	Cropping season 1(Yagoua 1)						
	T0	103.63 ^a _a	94.52 ^a _a	99.69 ^a _a	156.26 ^b _a	108.60 ^a _a	47.65
	TE	238.72 ^a _c	235.52 ^c _a	278.33 ^b _c	321.91 ^c _c	293.89 ^b _c	39.41
	TEM	251.39 ^{ab} _c	237.25 ^c _a	273.33 ^c _{bc}	303.51 ^c _d	290.22 ^c _{cd}	30.17
	TM	196.27 ^a _b	191.44 ^a _b	199.45 ^a _b	218.38 ^b _b	200.89 ^a _b	17.48
	LSD	42.65	44.08	73.88	62.12	89.33	
Plant height at maturity (cm)	T0	96.04 ^a _a	104.88 ^b _a	99.26 ^a _a	96.83 ^a _a	97.83 ^a _a	5.62
	TE	106.09 ^a _b	112.80 ^b _b	105.45 ^a _b	104.66 ^a _a	105.47 ^a _a	6.71
	TEM	104.01 ^a _b	112.29 ^b _b	106.88 ^a _b	106.88 ^a _b	106.98 ^a _b	6.31
	TM	103.88 ^a _b	110.69 ^{ab} _b	104.70 ^a _b	104.88 ^a _a	104.07 ^a _b	5.81
	LSD	7.84	7.41	5.44	7.43	7.64	
Cropping season 2 (Yagoua 2)							
Tiller number/m ⁻²	T0	64.27 ^{ab} _a	56.21 ^a _a	60.79 ^a _{ab}	77.51 ^a _b	68.67 ^a _{ab}	21.30
	TE	184.0 ^a _c	180.99 ^c _a	218.88 ^b _c	257.44 ^c _c	232.89 ^b _c	24.79
	TEM	195.04 ^{ab} _c	182.53 ^c _a	214.46 ^c _{bc}	241.16 ^d _c	229.40 ^c _{cd}	26.70
	TM	146.25 ^a _b	141.98 ^a _b	149.14 ^a _b	165.82 ^b _b	150.34 ^a _b	15.47
	LSD	37.75	40.54	65.31	75.34	79.05	
Plant height at maturity (cm)	T0	60.47 ^a _a	107.85 ^c _a	96.67 ^b _a	95.57 ^a _a	101.03 ^a _b	6.81
	TE	106.3 ^{bc} _b	115.06 ^d _b	101.2 ^a _b	104.40 ^a _b	109.05 ^c _c	4.64
	TEM	108.48 ^c _b	115.03 ^d _b	102.29 ^a _b	104.29 ^a _b	108.19 ^c _c	2.00
	TM	108.37 ^b _b	112.77 ^c _b	100.11 ^a _b	102.60 ^a _b	103.30 ^a _b	4.4
	LSD	45.82	4.92	3.44	7.02	2.27	

For each growth parameter and each seed variety within a column, values affected by the same lower case letter are not significantly different between treatments at the level indicated. For each growth parameter and each treatment within a row, values affected by the same upper case letter are not significantly different between

For both years, the rice variety FKR58-N produced the highest number of fertile tillers, whereas the lowest accounted for variety FKR56-N. Similarly, the plant height differed from one variety to another and was affected by treatments. For each of the rice variety, the plant height of controlled plants was shorter than that of other treatments, the tallest (113.94 cm) being observed in treatment TE and TEM for rice variety FKR58-N. Apart from the rice variety FKR58-N for which no difference was noticed in the plant height between cropping seasons, plants of the first cropping season were significantly taller than those of the second for the rice varieties FKR56-N, FKR60-N, FKR62-N and DIR-95.

The assessment of growth parameters at Wakwa (Table 3) also indicated an important increment of the number of fertile tillers and the plant heights, all attributed to treatments TE, TEM and TM, compared to the control. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.0001$) between varieties for each treatment for plant height. For each of the growth parameters, the significant difference between the cropping seasons 1 (Wakwa 1) and 2 (Wakwa 2) was only observed on seed variety FKR56-N (table 3).

Table 3. Variation of growth parameters between varieties as affected by treatments at Wakwa

Growth parameters	Treatments	Rice varieties					LSD
		FKR56-N	FKR58-N	FKR60-N	FKR62-N	DIR-95	
Cropping season 1 (Wakwa 1)							
Tiller number/m ²	T0	298.51 ^c _a	158.75 ^a _a	285.28 ^b _{bc}	255.43 ^b _b	272.43 ^b _{bc}	40.08
	TE	300.43 ^b _a	234.29 ^b _a	272.14 ^b _{ab}	272.48 ^b _{ab}	285.17 ^b _{ab}	66.14
	TEM	348.18 ^c _b	225.14 ^b _a	288.59 ^b _{bc}	280.33 ^b _{ab}	300.44 ^b _{bc}	63.44
	TM	307.76 ^c _a	249.70 ^b _b	220.39 ^b _a	220.36 ^b _a	141.52 ^a _a	58.06
	LSD	40.41	66.39	51.74	35.07	130.91	
Plant height at maturity (cm)	T0	40.86 ^a _a	84.71 ^b _a	97.33 ^d _a	91.81 ^c _a	99.10 ^d _a	5.52
	TE	78.04 ^a _c	107.02 ^d _c	101.16 ^b _b	104.90 ^{cd} _b	102.66 ^{bc} _{bc}	3.74
	TEM	78.78 ^a _c	103.84 ^b _b	104.24 ^b _b	102.32 ^b _b	102.63 ^b _b	23.85
	TM	59.97 ^a _b	103.86 ^b _b	101.27 ^b _b	101.43 ^b _b	100.71 ^a _b	40.73
	LSD	18.06	19.12	3.82	9.62	1.92	
Cropping season 2 (Wakwa 2)							
Tiller number/m ²	T0	195.12 ^a _a	240.43 ^{ab} _{ab}	241.55 ^a _{ab}	318.64 ^b _a	281.75 ^{ab} _{ab}	115.51
	TE	254.21 ^a _a	33.62 ^a _a	339.42 ^b _a	221.07 ^a _a	275.55 ^{ab} _a	ns
	TEM	265.13 ^a _a	366.77 ^b _b	272.79 ^a _{ab}	277.02 ^a _a	338.41 ^b _{ab}	89.75
	TM	256.34 ^a _a	264.04 ^a _a	237.66 ^a _a	238.391 ^a _a	244.54 ^a _a	ns
	LSD	ns	ns	97.87	ns	93.87	
Plant height at maturity (cm)	T0	28.87 ^a _a	97.85 ^b _a	94.15 ^b _a	98.23 ^b _a	93.86 ^b _a	64.9
	TE	96.96 ^a _b	101.6 ^{ab} _{ab}	105.69 ^c _b	101.47 ^{ab} _{ab}	106.06 ^b _b	8.73
	TEM	96.20 ^a _b	105.61 ^c _b	103.38 ^{bc} _b	102.64 ^b _b	104.14 ^b _b	6.64
	TM	92.30 ^a _b	105.0 ^c _c	99.98 ^b _b	100.22 ^b _b	102.03 ^a _b	2.97
	LSD	63.34	3.40	5.83	3.23	8.17	

For each growth parameter and each seed variety within a column, values affected by the same lower case letter are not significantly different between treatments at the level indicated. For each growth parameter and each treatment within a row, values affected by the same upper case letter are not significantly different between varieties at the level indicated.

The importance of tillering is based on rice variety, but is influenced by cultural practices. The rice variety FKR62-N had the greatest number of tiller/m² in treatments TE and TEM at Yagoua, whereas the lowest accounted for variety FKR58-N in different treatments. The number of tillers was significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased by biofertilizer application at Yagoua and Wakwa during both cropping seasons, similar to previous reported results [21]. Rice plants that were applied with each of chemical or biological fertilizers responded by an important height when compared to the control. These findings line with improved plant growth by mycorrhizae through increase nutrient uptake, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus [29, 30]. Similarly, mycorrhizae were revealed to contribute to 23.63 % enhancement of plant height as compared to unfertilized plants [31]. This is in agreement with significantly increased rice plants height, number of tillers and grain yields by biofertilizer [32, 33, 34]. The plant height obtained from this study was 3.5 folds greater than the values reported in Nigeria [35].

Table 4 summarizes the yield components related to different treatments considered at maturity phase at Yagoua. The seed number/panicle varied between rice varieties from 15-47 for T0, 42-48 for TE, 34-40 for TEM, and 26-36 for TM. However, for each rice variety, the seed number/panicle was lower in the control (148-268 seeds/panicles) than in other treatments, the greatest value accounting for treatments TE (250-334 seeds/panicles) or TEM (262-337 seeds/panicles). Treatment did not affect the 1000 seed weight of varieties FKR62-N, DIR-95 and FKR58-N, but biofertilizer and chemical fertilizer contributed to increase the seed weight of varieties FKR56-N and FKR60-N.

At Wakwa in the agro-ecological zone II, an enhancement of seed number/panicle was revealed in treatments TE and TEM for all the rice varieties as compared to each of the treatments control or TM alone (Table 5). Apart from treatment T0 for which the seed number/panicle was averagely the same for all the rice varieties, FKR56-N, FKR58-N, FKR60-N and DIR-95 produced more seeds/panicle than FKR62-N for treatments TE and TEM. In contrast, rice varieties FKR62-N and FKR60-N were the most performing in seeds/panicle production following inoculation at sowing with biofertilizer (TM).

Table 4. Changes in yield components between varieties as affected by treatments at Yagoua

Yield components	Treatments	Seed varieties					p-value
		FKR56-N	FKR58-N	FKR60-N	FKR62-N	DIR-95	
Yagoua 1							
Seeds/panicle	T0	15.86 ^a	18.86 ^a	17.93 ^a	20.08 ^a	47.66 ^a	27.5
	TE	41.89 ^b	45.79 ^c	47.66 ^c	48.07 ^a	43.69 ^c	ns
	TEM	39.75 ^a	34.25 ^a	43.73 ^a	44.66 ^a	40.70 ^a	8.48
	TM	31.73 ^a	28.20 ^a	28.20 ^b	26.49 ^c	36.00 ^{ab}	5.23
	LSD	8.02	9.33	10.26	6.40	11.65	
Yield (t/ha) at 14% moisture	T0	0.41 ^a	0.48 ^a	0.68 ^a	1.25 ^b	1.49 ^b	0.56
	TE	3.31 ^a	3.30 ^a	4.22 ^b	4.76 ^b	4.54 ^b	0.92
	TEM	3.24 ^{ab}	2.52 ^a	3.77 ^b	4.32 ^b	3.77 ^b	1.24
	TM	2.90 ^a	2.12 ^a	2.20 ^a	1.79 ^a	2.79 ^b	1.02
	LSD	1.04	0.78	1.56	0.79	0.96	
Yagoua 2							
Seeds/panicle	T0	14.07 ^a	17.07 ^a	16.14 ^a	18.3 ^a	45.87 ^b	31.7
	TE	40.10 ^d	44.0 ^c	45.87 ^c	46.29 ^a	41.90 ^{ab}	ns
	TEM	37.90 ^{ab}	32.46 ^a	40.94 ^b	42.87 ^b	38.91 ^{ab}	8.48
	TM	27.60 ^a	26.41 ^{ab}	26.41 ^{ab}	24.70 ^a	34.55 ^c	2.90
	LSD	10.55	9.33	10.26	18.16	11.32	
Yield (t/ha) at 14% moisture	T0	0.22 ^a	0.25 ^a	0.30 ^{ab}	0.43 ^b	0.89 ^c	0.17
	TE	1.78 ^a	1.73 ^d	2.27 ^a	3.75 ^b	2.22 ^a	1.48
	TEM	2.05 ^{ab}	1.36 ^a	1.90 ^{ab}	2.68 ^b	2.03 ^{ab}	1.32
	TM	1.17 ^a	0.86 ^a	0.92 ^a	0.96 ^a	1.16 ^a	ns
	LSD	0.61	0.36	0.61	1.72	0.87	

For each yield parameter and each seed variety within a column, values affected by the same lower case letter are not significantly different between treatments at the level indicated. For each yield parameter and each treatment within a raw, values affected by the same upper case letter are not significantly different between varieties at the level indicated.

293 **Table 5.** Changes in yield components between varieties as affected by treatment at Wakwa

Yield components	Treatments	Seed varieties					p-value
		FKR56-N	FKR58-N	FKR60-N	FKR62-N	DIR-95	
Wakwa 1							
Seeds /panicle	T0	17.25 ^a _a	17.81 ^a _a	19.85 ^a _{ab}	17.29 ^a _a	22.37 ^a _a	ns
	TE	41.02 ^{bc} _b	45.57 ^c _c	39.78 ^b _c	24.44 ^a _a	40.19 ^{bc} _c	13.23
	TEM	41.85 ^c _b	36.87 ^c _b	29.41 ^b _b	21.54 ^a _a	34.80 ^{bc} _b	13.23
	TM	14.74 ^a _a	20.58 ^a _a	19.43 ^a _a	22.37 ^a _a	20.62 ^a _a	13.23
	LSD	23.76	8.70	9.98	ns	5.39	
Yield (t/ha) at 14% moisture	T0	1.17 ^{ab} _a	0.56 ^a _a	1.33 ^b _a	1.16 ^{ab} _a	1.67 ^c _c	0.76
	TE	3.18 ^{bc} _b	2.55 ^{ab} _c	3.75 ^c _b	1.77 ^a _b	2.92 ^{bc} _c	1.15
	TEM	3.81 ^c _b	2.24 ^b _{bc}	3.12 ^{bc} _b	1.18 ^a _a	2.81 ^b _c	1.00
	TM	1.09 ^a _a	1.62 ^a _b	1.45 ^a _a	1.25 ^a _b	0.98 ^a _a	ns
	LSD	2.01	0.92	1.65	0.59	0.69	
Wakwa 2							
Seeds /panicle	T0	15.33 ^a _a	17.36 ^a _a	19.56 ^a _a	23.20 ^a _a	16.85 ^a _a	ns
	TE	29.91 ^b _b	39.68 ^c _b	38.13 ^c _b	22.79 ^a _a	38.95 ^c _b	7.12
	TEM	32.86 ^a _b	39.88 ^a _b	36.93 ^a _b	38.53 ^a _b	38.20 ^a _b	ns
	TM	14.88 ^a _a	16.86 ^a _a	22.60 ^b _a	40.19 ^c _b	19.09 ^{ab} _a	5.74
	LSD	14.58	22.50	14.32	15.33	19.11	
Yield (t/ha) at 14% moisture	T0	0.68 ^a _a	1.01 ^a _a	1.06 ^b _a	1.88 ^b _{ab}	1.19 ^{ab} _a	0.81
	TE	2.10 ^a _b	3.99 ^c _c	3.34 ^c _b	1.66 ^a _a	3.05 ^{bc} _b	1.23
	TEM	2.38 ^a _b	2.88 ^{ab} _b	2.99 ^{ab} _b	3.20 ^b _c	3.68 ^b _c	1.29
	TM	1.05 ^a _a	1.05 ^a _a	1.63 ^{ab} _a	2.64 ^c _{bc}	1.33 ^b _a	1.01
	LSD	1.05	1.10	1.35	0.98	1.72	

294 For each yield parameter and each seed variety within a column, values affected by the same lower case letter are not
 295 significantly different between treatments at the level indicated. For each yield parameter and each treatment within a row,
 296 values affected by the same upper case letter are not significantly different between varieties at the level indicated. ns not
 297 significant
 298

299 Although the seed yield (t/ha) at 14% moisture was significantly ($p < 0.001$)
 300 influenced by treatment TE, TEM, TM compared to the control (T0) for rice varieties FKR56-
 301 N, FKR58-N, FKR60-N and DIR-95, this parameter did not significantly ($p = 0.2591$) change
 302 from one treatment to another for rice variety FKR62-N. The seed yield significantly varied
 303 from one rice variety to another, the best yields accounting for rice varieties FKR62-N (1.88
 304 t/ha), FKR58-N (3.99 t/ha), DIR-95 (3.68 t/ha), FKR62-N (2.64 t/ha), when treatments T0,
 305 TE, TEM, TM, were respectively taken into consideration. In all the cases, the number of
 306 seeds/panicle and the seed yield (t/ha) did not only differ between varieties of the same
 307 season, but also varied from one season to another.

308 Yields from different rice varieties were by far lower than the potentialities indicated
 309 by FAO [36]. This could be justified by the reduced solar rays during the cultural season. It
 310 has been reported that solar radiation is the energy source for photosynthesis, which of cause
 311 is important for a good seed yield [37]. Shade, which is appropriate for vegetative growth
 312 exerts little influence on yield, but has a negative impact on the reproductive phase, acting on

the panicle number, thus considerably reducing the seed yield and the fertile panicles. In short, the increment of yield was closely dependent on the length and number of panicles. This is understandable, since these parameters are used to directly or indirectly estimate the seed yield [38]. The increased plant development and yield parameters in response to inoculation endorsed the fact that biofertilizers do have one or more growth promoting mechanisms including mobilization and efficient nutrients uptake [39, 40], as well as solubilization of insoluble phosphates [41]. Elsewhere, inoculation of upland rice was revealed to increase yield by 10 to 29% compared to other types of fertilizers applied at 20 and 40 kgN.ha⁻¹ [42], justifying the dependence of rice to the best fertilizers combination for yield improvement.

Conclusion

This study has proven that application of biofertilizers resulted in comparatively better performance in terms of growth, development and yield in all Nerica varieties investigated. All the five Nerica varieties favorably responded to biofertilizers inoculation, with varieties FKR58-N, FKR60-N, as well as the local variety DIR-95 producing higher yield and developmental rate. Therefore, these two Nerica varieties could be recommended to farmers as alternative performant varieties to the local DIR-95.

References

1. Hossain M, Fischer SK. Rice research for food security and sustainable agricultural development in Asia: achievements and future challenges. *Geo. J.* 1995; 35: 286-298.
2. Pernes J. Management of plant genetic resources. Tome 2. ACCT Manual. Paris. 1984; 354.
3. Sié M, Kaboré B, Dakouo D, Youssouf D, Moukoumbi YD, Ba MN, Traoré A. Characterization of interspecific hybrids (*O. glaberrima* x *O. sativa*) for their adaptability to low land riziculture. Burkina Faso. 5th edition of the National Forum on scientific research and innovations technologies (FRSIT) may 29-june 06. 2004. Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. 2004.
4. Passioura JB. Drought and drought tolerance. *Plant Growth Regul.* 1996; 20: 79-83.16. Humphry DR, Andrews M, Santos SR, James EK, Perin LV, Reis VM, Cumming SP. Phylogenetic assignment and mechanism of action of crop growth promoting *Rhizobium radiobacter* strain used as a biofertilizer on graminaceous crops in Russia. *Antonie van leewenhoek.* 2007; 91: 105-113.
5. SNDR. General report on national development strategies on riziculture in Cameroun. 2009; 206.
6. Margni M, Rossier D, Crettag P, Jolliet O. Life cycle impact assessment of pesticides on human health and ecosystem. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 2002; 93: 279-392.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 351 7. Aktar MW, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A. impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and
352 hazards. *Interdisc. Toxicol.* 2009; 2(1): 1–12.
- 353 8. Gupta SK, Jani JP, Saiyed HN, Kashyap SK. Health hazards in pesti- cide formulators exposed to a
354 combination of pesticides. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 1984; 79: 666.
- 355 9. Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S. Agricultural sustainability and
356 intensive production practices. *Nature.* 2002; 418: 671-677.
- 357 10. Dufumier M. Agriculture, ecology and development: Introduction. In: *Third-World.* 1993;
358 34(134): 245-261.
- 359 11. Ngakou A. Potential of selected biofertilizers and a mycopesticide in managing
360 *Megalurothrips sjostedti* and improving cowpea production in Cameroon. Department
361 of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Buea, Cameroon. 2007; 197.
- 362 12. Ngakou A, Nwaga D, Nebane CLN, Ntonifor NN, Tamò M, Parh IA. Arbuscular-
363 mycorrhizal fungi, rhizobia and *Metarhizium anisopliae* enhance P, N, Mg, K, and Ca
364 accumulations in fields grown cowpea. *J. Plant Sci.* 2007a; 2(5): 518-529.
- 365 13. Smith SE, Read DJ. *Mycorrhizal Symbioses.* Academic Press, London. 1997.
- 366 14. Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Gianinazzi S. Influence of intergenic grafts between host and non-
367 host legumes on the formation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza. *New*
368 *Phytol.* 1992; 120: 505–508.
- 369 15. Ngakou A, Tamò M, Parh IA, Nwaga D, Ntonifor NN, Nebane CLN. Management of
370 cowpea flower thrips *Megalurothrips sjostedti* (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in Cameroon.
371 *Crop Prot.* 2008; 27(3-5): 481-488.
- 372 16. Sakariyawo OS, Okeleye MO, Dare KA, Atayese MO, Oyekanmi AA, Aderibigbe SG,
373 Okonji CJ, Ogundaini OG, Soremi PAS. Agronomic Evaluation of Some Drought
374 Tolerant Nerica Rice Varieties to Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) Inoculation in
375 the Rainforest Transitory Zone of Nigeria. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2013; 5(11): 118-126.
- 376 17. Dalpé Y. Mycorrhizae: a tool for plant protection, but not a panacea. *Phytoprotection.*
377 2005; 86(1): 53-59.
- 378 18. Mehboob I, Zahir ZA, Mahboob A, Shahzad SM, Jawad A, Arshad M. Preliminary
379 screening of *Rhizobium* isolates for improving growth of maize seedlings under axenic
380 conditions. *Soil Environ.* 2008; 27: 64-71.
- 381 19. Sakariyawo S, Okeleye KA, Dare MO, Atayese MO, Oyekanmi AA, Aderibigbe SG,
382 Okonji CJ, Ogundaini OG, Soremi AA, Adeyemi, PAS. Performance of some selected
383 Nerica rice inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (AMF) for double cropping in
384 the rainforest transitory zone of Nigeria. *Nigerian J. Crop Sci.* 2014; 2(1): 17-26.
- 385 20. Oladele S, Awodun M. Response of lowland rice to biofertilizer inoculation and their
386 effects on growth and yield in southwestern Nigeria. *J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* 2014; 3(2):
387 371-390.
- 388 21. Phillips JM, Hayman DS. Improved procedures for clearing and staining parasitic and
389 vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Trans. British*
390 *Mycol. Soc.* 1970; 55: 158–161.

- 391 22. Kormanik PP, McGraw AC. Quantification of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae in plant
392 roots. In: Schenck NC. (ed.). *Methods and Principles of Mycorrhizal Research*. The
393 American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul. 1982; 37–45.
- 394 23. McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA. A new method which
395 gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal
396 fungi. *New Phytol.* 1990; 115: 495-501.
- 397 24. Brundrett MC, Bougher N, Dell B, Grove T, Malajczuk N. Working with mycorrhizas in
398 forestry and agriculture. Canberra, Australia: ACIAR Monograph 32. 1996.
- 399 25. Ngakou A, Mbaiguinam M, Nadjilom Y, Tokam NM. Agro-morphological and physical
400 paddy seed attributes of Nerica and local rice varieties as affected by mycorrhizal
401 inoculation and compost application under upland conditions. *Int. J. Agric. Sci. Res.*
402 2013; 3(1): 43-62.
- 403 26. Tang VH, Cuyper X, Laudelout H. Influence of aluminium on phosphorus and nitrogen
404 absorption by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in the presence of different concentrations of
405 potassium. *Agron. EDP Sci.* 1983; 3(5): 417-421.
- 406 27. Blum A. Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation. *Plant Growth*
407 *Regul.* 1996; 20: 135-48.
- 408 28. Turner NC, Wright GC, Siddique KHM. Adaptation of grain legume to water-limited
409 environments. *Adv. Agron.* 2001; 71: 193-231.
- 410 29. Ashrafuzzaman M, Hossen FA, Ismail MR, Hoque MA, Islam MZ, Shahidullah SM,
411 Meon S. Efficiency of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) for the
412 enhancement of rice growth. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2009; 8: 1247-1252.
- 413 30. Isahak A, Ahmad A, Rosenani AB, Jamil H. SRI rice crop establishment. *Trans.*
414 *Malaysian Soc. Plant Physiol.* 2012; 20: 20-20.
- 415 31. Chi F, Shen SH, Cheng HP, Jing YX, Yanni YG, Dazzo FB. Ascending migration of
416 endophytic rhizobia, from roots to leaves, inside rice plants and assessment of benefits
417 to rice growth physiology. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2005; 71: 7271-7278.
- 418 32. Mohammadinejhad-Babandeh SN, Doroodian HR, Besharati H. Effect of bio bacterial
419 (*Azetobacter*, *Azorhizobioum*, *Azospirillum*) on yield and yield components of rice in
420 Bandar-Anzali, North of Iran. *Res. J. Biol.Sci.* 2012; 7: 244-249.
- 421 33. Vahed HS, Shahinrokhsar P, Heydarnezhad F. Performance of phosphate solubilizing
422 bacteria for improving growth and yield of rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) in the presence of
423 phosphorus fertilizer. *Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci.* 2012; 4: 1228-1232.
- 424 34. Gopalakrishnan S, Upadhyaya HD, Vadlamudi S, Humayun P, Vidya MS, Alekhya G,
425 Singh A, Vijayabharathi R, Bhimineni RK, Rathore SM, Rupela O. Plant growth-
426 promoting traits of biocontrol potential bacteria isolated from rice rhizosphere. Nakas
427 JP, Hagedorn C. (Eds.). McGraw-Hill Publishing, New York. 2012; 189-218.
- 428 35. Umar A, Ukwungwu MN, David TG. Response of Nerica rice to fertilizer application at
429 Doko, central Nigeria. *E3 J. Environ. Res. Manag.* 2013; 4(7): 299-301.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 430 36. FAO. Expert meeting on how to feed the world in 2050: a paper presented by Economic
431 and Social Development Department. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
432 Nations. 2009.
- 433 37. Richard RA. Selectable traits to increase crop photosynthesis and yield of grain crops. J.
434 Exp. Bot. 2000; 51: 447-458.
- 435 38. ADRAO (Africa Centre for Rice). Varietal and participative selection of rice. Technician
436 manual. Sié M, Dogbé S, Diatta M. (Ed.). Cotonou, Benin, 2009; 118.
- 437 39. Biswas JC, Ladha JK, Dazzo FB. Rhizobia inoculation improves nutrient uptake and
438 growth of lowland rice. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 2000; 64: 1644-1650.
- 439 40. Ngakou A, Nwaga D, Ntonifor NN, Tamò M, Nebane CLN, Parh IA. Contribution of
440 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), rhizobia and *Metarhizium anisopliae* to cowpea
441 production in Cameroon. Int. J. Agric. Res. 2007b; 2(9): 754-764.
- 442 41. Alikhani HA, Saleh-Rastin N, Antoun H. Phosphate solubilization activity of rhizobia
443 native to Iranian soils. Plant Soil. 2006; 287: 35-41.
- 444 42. Araujo AES, Baldani VLD, Galisa PS, Pereira JA, Baldani JI. Response of traditional
445 upland rice varieties to inoculation with selected diazotrophic bacteria isolated from rice
446 cropped at Northeast of Brazil. Appl. Soil Ecol. 2013; 64: 49-55.
- 447
- 448
- 449
- 450
- 451
- 452
- 453
- 454