

Application of Spectroscopy for Nutrient Prediction of Oil Palm

ABSTRACT

Oil palm crop has been an important source of income to Malaysian economy, thus it is important to ensure the crops obtain optimum nutrient supply to achieve a higher productivity. This study aimed to investigate the ability of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy for predicting nutrient deficiency of oil palm tree based on its leaf samples. Near-infrared spectral data was measured using a full range spectroradiometer with wavelength ranging from 350 to 2500 nm from three different frond numbers, namely frond 3, frond 9 and frond 17. Partial least square method was used to develop calibration and prediction models data for the prediction of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium of oil palm. The result indicated that the full range spectrometer can be used to predict the nutrient deficiency of oil palm tree based on 30 leaf samples. Frond 17 was found to have a better prediction accuracy than frond 3 and frond 9. The value of coefficient of determination (R^2) for frond 17 for values of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium of 0.98, 0.98 and 0.98 while frond 3 results with 0.21, 0.12 and 0.19 and frond 9 had values of 0.05, 0.49 and 0.48 respectively. In terms of Root Mean Square Error of Prediction for frond 17 ranged between 1.40 and 1.55 while frond 3 and frond 9 ranges from 0.01 to 0.15 and 0.01 to 0.21 respectively. In summary, spectroradiometer can be used to predict nutrient deficiency in oil palm frond frond17 using partial least square analysis.

Keywords: Oil Palm, Nutrients, Deficiency, Spectrometer, Partial Least Square.

1. INTRODUCTION

Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) which originates from West Africa [1] is a lucrative commercial crop which is mainly cultivated in countries such as Nigeria, Malaysia and Indonesia, the latter two being the largest producers and exporters [2]. Palm oil has become a very important crop with its wide uses not only in the food industry but cosmetics and pharmaceuticals as well, making it crucial that palm oil yield is maximised in all possible ways. A healthy palm is necessary to maximise yield, and it is possible to maintain good healthy palm through regular application of fertiliser.

In general, fertilizers account for about 40-50 percent of the in-field production costs in the oil palm industry [3]. However, since the fertiliser cost is increasing, the industry is aiming to optimize the use of fertilizer for sustaining high yields and profits per unit area through balanced fertilization and improved fertilizer efficiency [4]. Hamdan et al.[5] studied the effects of Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) fertilizers on oil palm bunch components and found that a lack of N could significantly affect the number of bunch, bunch weight and total oil per bunch. . In short, the deficiency symptoms of N include pale green or yellow lower fronds, purplish brown leaflet tips and reduced fronds and yield; K symptoms are chlorotic and necrotic spots on older fronds, orange spotting, small frond size and late

31 crowning; P symptoms include stunted growth, small diameter of palm trunk and short fronds
32 [6]. This finding signifies the importance of regular fertiliser application for best results in its
33 productivity and yield. Nevertheless, application of fertiliser is very costly with high yearly
34 maintenance, thus precision agricultural practice [7] was introduced to minimise wastage
35 and cost by only applying the required amount of nutrition for the palm tree [8]. In this way,
36 wastage of fertiliser can be avoided. In order to apply only the required amount of fertiliser,
37 the actual amount of fertiliser needed by crop need to be studied. In the plantation industry,
38 nutrient requirements are commonly determined by obtaining a part of the palm for chemical
39 analysis, which uses destructive methods and is very much time consuming.
40 Non-destructive methods should replace the conventional destructive analysis method to
41 determine the nutrient level and this can be accomplished by using spectroscopic method
42 [9]. The spectrometer takes spectral radiance measurements of study object to learn about
43 its properties without destroying it. This unique ability of spectrometer has become a tool for
44 data acquisition in all kinds of field including the agricultural field. Its application on
45 agricultural products is important to achieve a non-destructive method to determine
46 properties of the agricultural product. This includes the usage of spectrometer to determine
47 taste characterization of Valencia oranges [10] and sugar content in sugarcane internodes
48 [11].
49 Spectrometer is also widely used for palm oil in various applications. CheMan and Mirghani
50 [12] applied the spectroradiometer to determine the moisture content in crude palm oil while
51 Ammawath et al. [13] used the infrared spectrometer to determine Butylated Hydroxytoluene
52 (BHT) in palm olein and palm oil with known levels, and also to determine iodine levels in
53 palm oil [14]. Besides that, it can also be used to detect diseases in palm tree, such as
54 Ganoderma [15,16].
55 This study focuses on the application of spectrometer to determine nutrient deficiency in oil
56 palm trees based on reflectance data of leaf samples. The specific objectives of the studies
57 were to investigate the feasibility of using spectrometer 1) to determine N, P and K prediction
58 with statistics analysis using Partial least square (PLS); also 2) to compare nutrient levels of
59 different fronds, samples frond 3 (F3), frond 9 (F9) and frond 17 (F17) [17;18].
60
61

62 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

63 2.1 Leaf Samples

64 Different aged palm tree has different levels of critical deficiency content (CDC) of nutrients
65 at which maximum yield is obtained [19]. For a start, leaf samples were taken from 5 year
66 old oil palm trees at the United Plantation (UP) farm situated at Jenderata, Teluk Intan,
67 Malaysia. Twenty palms had no N fertilizer application with partial application of P, while 10
68 remainder palms had no application of P with partial levels of N. All 30 palms had other
69 nutrient application in the normal levels. A normal oil palm tree has between 40 and 60
70 fronds. However, in this study, there were three fronds of interest from each tree, namely F3,
71 F9 and F17 [17;18]. A total of 30 samples were taken for each frond type, in accordance to
72 the leaf sampling unit (LSU) palm system [6;20].
73 The F17 has been the main choice for nutrient assessment based on pioneer researches as
74 good representation because it is the center frond of an oil palm tree. [21;22;23].
75 Furthermore, F3 and F9 were selected to represent as control for this experiment since both
76 the fronds were usually used in occasional leaf analysis for micronutrients representations
77 for palms below age of 3 years [17;6]. A comparison was later done in another experiment
78 between palm of 5, 10 and 20 years old for frond 17 as it's the only frond that was
79 consistently available for palm of all three ages. Palm of age 5 years was selected because
80 after the age of 6 and above, nutrient variation are minimal due to an established canopy,
81 however this age is crucial since a healthy palm tree starts to fruit after 3 years old.
82
83

Leaf sampling indicates nutritional status of the crop while soil sampling determines nutrient availability and supply [22]. Therefore, leaf sampling is the subject of interest. A sum of 30 leaf samples of each fronds (F3, F9 and F17) were randomly collected from 30 trees [20]. Both left and right leaflets from the mid-section of the oil palm fronds were cut for scan as in Figure 1.




Fig 1: Oil palm frond mid-section

Since the leaflets were exposed to harsh weather conditions, its cuticles were filled with dirt and moss. Hence, there is a cleansing step required prior to commence of data acquisition using the spectroradiometer, to reduce errors. The cut leaflets were cleansed with a clean piece of cloth that was dipped into distilled water. Only a slight pressure was applied on the leaflet during the cleansing action to ensure its cuticles and upper epidermis was unharmed. Then, each leaflet were scanned from the top at its mid-section. Once the reflectance data was acquired from leaflets using the spectroradiometer, they were bagged individually and placed in a cooling storage box to reduce biological activity changes before they were taken to the laboratory (2 to 8 km away) for a conventional chemical analysis [24].

2.2 Spectral Reflectance Measurements

The device used for data acquisition was the Analytical Spectral Devices (ASD) Fieldspec 4, a full range spectroradiometer (FRS) that has wavelength from 350 to 2500 nm which provides uniform visible (vis), near infrared (NIR) and short wave infrared (SWIR) manufactured by Boulder, US. This device is equipped with superior signal throughput, signal-to-noise, and radiometric performance; this device ensures data integrity in unpredictable atmospheric conditions with wavelength accuracy of 0.5 nm and wavelength reproducibility of 0.1 nm.

A total of 10 readings were taken (which was then averaged into one) facing the upper epidermis of each leaflet at its midsection. The left and right side readings were also averaged into one reading per frond. Theoretically, one oil palm tree (same target) will have three observation (F3, F9 and F17). Measurements were easily taken using a clip-on probe with very high accuracy for non-destructive functionality of live oil palm leaflet, that scans in just 100 milliseconds with 1000 W quartz-halogen lamp and highly regulated power supply, there is no room for imparity. This device was used to obtain the reflectance spectra of oil palm leaves [25]. Relative reflectance spectra were computed by dividing sample radiance with reference radiance from spectral on white reference panel (made of Labsphere Spectralon plaque) for each wavelength. All spectral data were transformed into ASCII format and processed using the View Spec software for Windows, designed with Graphical User Interface (GUI) [26].

2.3 Pre-Processing Method

Before starting on the PLS analysis, 50 nm of the first and last data points were removed from the original reflectance spectra to avoid noise interruption, resulting in 400 nm to 2450 nm region of wavelength [27]. The spectral data was then tested for pre-processing for optimal performance including the Multiplicative Scatter Correction (MSC), first and second

derivatives and Standard Normal Variate (SNV), Gaussian Filter, normalisations and Savitzky Golay Smoothing. with Multiplicative Scatter Correction (MSC) being the best pre-processing technique for this study [28].

All the types of pre-processing techniques were tested with a few types of possibilities for instance area, range maximum and mean normalization were explored to seek for the best method of pretreatment. The PLS was performed to build the calibration and validation models for all three fronds. To evaluate among the pre-processing techniques, coefficient of determination (R^2) value and root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP) of the PLS model were taken into consideration [10]. As a result, multiplicative scatter correction (MSC) was found to be the best pre-processing technique for this study.

2.4 Statistical Analysis- Partial Least Squares

In general, PLS regression reduces the predictors to a smaller set of uncorrelated components and then performs least squares regression on these components, instead of on the original data. In PLS regression, emphasis is given in developing predictive models. PLS regression generalizes and combines features from principal component analysis (PCA) and multiple regression (MR) to predict or analyse a set of dependent variables from a set of independent variables or predictors.

This prediction is achieved by extracting from the predictors a set of orthogonal factors called latent variables (LV) which consist of the best predictive power. Before developing the calibration models, the sample data was randomly divided into calibration set (75 % of the whole samples) and prediction set (25 % of the whole samples) [29]. PLS regression constructs an orthogonal basis of LV, in such a way that they are oriented along directions of maximal covariance between the spectra matrix X and the response value Y [10,30]. The maximum number of LV was set at 10 after experimenting with 7 and 13 [31]. Results of any number higher than this did not represent the actual data.

Samples for prediction models were selected by taking one of every four samples from the entire sample set, to ensure the entire range of data was covered, mainly because the data consist of different nutrient treatments [29]. Then PLS with full cross validation was used to evaluate quality and prevent over-fitting of graph to obtain the calibration models while the prediction set evaluates the models. All three fronds (F3, F9 and F17) had 30 samples each where 23 samples were used for calibration while 7 samples were used for prediction. One (5%) outliers were removed from the sample group as suggested by the PLS model results to generate calibration and prediction model that better represent the data from the sample group.

The statistical software program for multivariate calibration called the 'The Unscrambler' (version 10.2, Camo Process AS, Oslo, Norway) was used to perform pre-processing and model developments. Two statistical parameters of Root Mean Square Error of Prediction (RMSEP), and coefficient of determination (R^2) were used to measure the performance of the three types of calibration and prediction models.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Figure 2 shows spectral curves for 30 oil palm leaf samples for F17 of 5 year old oil palm tree, in the range of 400-2450 nm. The spectral graph shows the shape of leaf reflectance taken from the top of each leaflet. Wavelength with the range of 640 to 780 nm indicates the chlorophyll content of green leaf [32]. The higher reflectance lines shows leaves with less moisture contents while the lower lines shows leaves, having higher moisture contents, especially in the SWIR (1300 nm to 2500 nm) region [33].

Table 1 shows the performance of PLS regression prediction models for frond number F3, F9 and F17 of oil palm leaf samples. The data has been pre-processed using MSC, selected as the best method for these range of data. There are three macronutrients of interest namely N, P and K. It was observed that the prediction value indicated by R^2 is the highest for all three nutrients for F17 compared to F3 and F9.




Fig 2: Typical spectral curve of oil palm reflectance of F17, using full range spectroradiometer

189
190
191
192
193
194
195

Table 1: PLS with MSC model performance for N, P and K of F3, F9 and F17

Wave length (nm)	Frond 3 (F3)				Frond 9 (F9)				Frond 17 (F17)			
	Calibration		Prediction		Calibration		Prediction		Calibration		Prediction	
	R^2	RMSEC	R^2	RMSEP	R^2	RMSEC	R^2	RMSEP	R^2	RMSEC	R^2	RMSEP
N	0.82	0.05	0.21	0.15	0.91	0.04	0.05	0.21	0.94	0.02	0.91	0.34
P	0.95	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.96	0.00	0.49	0.01	0.79	0.00	0.72	0.00
K	0.95	0.01	0.19	0.06	0.95	0.01	0.48	0.04	0.90	0.01	0.90	0.03


196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212

Results from F3 for the calibration shows very good as indicated by the R^2 values of 0.82, 0.95 and 0.95 for N, P and K respectively. However, the prediction performance of F3 for N, P and K were poor, with the R^2 representation values of 0.21, 0.12 and 0.19 respectively, although it had a pretty good calibration model. **This result indicates that F3 has almost no relationship with N, P and K nutrients.**


For F9 of oil palm leaf samples, it was observed that the calibration performance as indicated by R^2 is good for all three nutrients of 0.91, 0.96 and 0.95 respectively with relative low Root Mean Square Error of Calibration (RMSEC) ranging from 0.00 to 0.04. However, the prediction accuracy of the model as indicated by R^2 were lower than the calibration model's results ranging between 0.05 to 0.48, while the RMSEP were slightly higher than RMSEC, ranging between 0.01 and 0.21. **These results justifies that in occasional practices, leaf analysis from F3 and F9 are usually selectively used to determine the micronutrients, while F9 is also used for routine leaf sampling for oil palm tree of 3 years and below. [6].**

Table 1 also shows the performance of both calibration and prediction models for F17 of oil palm leaf samples. From the table, it is observed that the calibration performance as indicated by R^2 were very good for all three nutrients of N and K with values of 0.94 and 0.90

213 respectively, but slightly low for P with R^2 value of 0.79. The prediction performance results
 214 for representation of R^2 value of N, P and K, were 0.91, 0.72 and 0.90 respectively. In
 215 addition to that, the results of RMSEC value of F17 were relatively low ranging from 0.01 to
 216 0.02 while the RMSEP values were slightly higher than RMSEC, ranging between 0.03 and
 217 0.34. The lower results for P in both calibration and prediction models indicates that P
 218 nutrient is less found in oil palm leaf. Unlike N and K that has obvious deficiency symptoms
 219 on oil palm leaves, P deficiency is more clearly seen in stunted palm and decreased trunk
 220 diameter, giving rise to tall oil palm trees [6]. The results obtained from F17 for N, P and K
 221 showed better results for both calibration and prediction models compared to an earlier
 222 studies conducted by Khorramnia [34] with R^2 ranging from 0.58 to 0.69 and 0.12 to 0.46 for
 223 calibration and prediction respectively. This study was conducted using similar spectroscopy
 224 sensor but produced higher R^2 values most probably because one or two outliers were
 225 removed from the sample group according to the feedback from PLS model itself, thus
 226 resulting in better calibration and prediction model.
 227 From the results, it is observed that frond number F17 gave reasonable PLS prediction
 228 results compared to F3 and F9 for N, P and K using the spectrometer. This is most probably
 229 due to F17 being the frond number close to the mid-section of its palm tree, giving better
 230 representation of the whole palm tree, especially in terms of macronutrients. In summary, the
 231 FRS proves to provide better prediction of nutrients compared to visible and near infrared
 232 range (450-900 nm) and electromagnetic radiation (EMR) sensing system that reported
 233 insignificant results for R^2 values on reflectance data for nutrient from oil palm leaves.
 234 [20;35]. This is because of the advantage of Fieldspec 4 FRS that comes with a probe that
 235 easily clips onto the leaf sample, thus highly reducing environmental errors of sunlight
 236 scatter and equipment inadequacy.
 237



238
 239
 240 **Fig 3: Scatter plots of F17 for Nitrogen for prediction model**
 241



242
 243
 244 **Fig 4: Scatter plots of F17 for Phosphorus for prediction model**

245




Fig 5: Scatter plots of F17 for Potassium for prediction model

246
247
248
249

250 Figure 3, 4 and 5 shows the prediction graphs of three nutrients N, P and K of F17,
251 respectively. In these figures, both the ordinate and abscissa represents the predicted and
252 measured values of nutrients in percentage of dry matter (D.M.).

253 From the results, the F17 shows better statistical relationships to all the three nutrients'
254 prediction value compared to F3 and F9. The F17 has been the main frond used in leaf
255 analysis to detect nutrient level in oil palm tree, as its gives an overall representation of the
256 tree [21;22]. Nevertheless, it was found in this study that different fronds have different levels
257 of nutrients, although they belong to the same tree. This is because of the canopy
258 development which varies the intensity of sunlight radiation exposure of different frond types
259 [22].

260 In general, the prediction accuracies obtained in this study are not very high, possibly due to
261 variation between the subsamples, reflecting the heterogeneous nature of the oil palm
262 leaflet. The level of nutrient in oil palm tree varies according to many important factors
263 namely the rainfall, soil type, terrain, climate and other environmental factors. However, the
264 nutrient levels can differ substantially although in the same agro-ecological environment as
265 the dry weight of the fronds (F3, F9 and F17) depends on its environment [36;37].
266 Limited number of samples could also affect the accuracy of the model. Studies with larger
267 sample group of different environmental factors and more type of nutrients is suggested for
268 future studies. Also on micronutrients for F3 and F9. It is also proposed to have in-field data
269 acquisition to avoid possible biochemical disturbance of the leaves. Overall, using the
270 spectrometer with larger leaf sample number is suggested to improve the prediction model
271 results.

272

273 4. CONCLUSION

274

275 As conclusion, this study shows that the spectrometer with PLS models can be applied to
276 predict nutrient deficiency of N, P and K for oil palm from its leaf samples. The results show
277 that the frond number F17 gives better prediction result for N, P and K than other two fronds
278 (F3 and F9) with R^2 and RMSEP values of 0.98 to 0.98 and 1.40 to 1.53 respectively. The
279 F17 have been commonly used in leaf analysis to detect the nutrient level of oil palm tree
280 [20;21;22]. This preliminary study suggests that nutrient deficiency can be determined fairly
281 using spectrometer with PLS regression on F17 of oil palm tree. Spectrometer can be used
282 to encourage non destructive method of analysis which creates a new platform for analysis
283 incorporation for instant in-field results in the future.

284

285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335

REFERENCES

1. Saxon E, Roquemoire S. Palm oil, union of concerned scientists: root of the problem. Chapter 6, 2011; pp 1-15.
2. Roodi SM, Yahya A, Aziz SA. Field performance of a single chasis integrated machine system in planting oil palm seedlings. *Journal of Agricultural Machinery Sciences*. 2011;7(2): 185-190.
3. Hairuddin MA, Tahir NM, Baki SRS. Overview of image processing approach for nutrient deficiencies detection in elais guineensis. *IEEE International Conference on System Engineering and Technology (ICSET)*. 2011; 9781: 4577-1255.
4. Teo CB, Chew PS, Goh KJ, Kee KK. Optimising return from fertilizer for oil palms: an integrated agronomic approach. Paper presented at the IFA Regional Conference for Asia and the Pacific, Hong Kong, China. 1998; 7-10 (December).
5. Hamdan AB, Tarmizi AM, Zin ZZ, Mohd TD. The effects of N, P and K fertilizers on oil palm bunch components. *Proc. of the National Seminar on Opportunities for Maximizing Production through Better OER and Offshore Investment in Oil Palm*. PORIM, Bangi. 1998; p. 22.
6. Fairhurst T, Caliman JP, Hardter R, Witt C. Oil palm: Nutrient disorders and nutrient management. *Oil Palm Series Volume 7*. Potash & Phosphate Institute (PPI)/Potash & Phosphate Institute Canada (PPIC) and International Potash Institute (IPI); French Agricultural Research Centre for International Development (CIRAD) and CTP Holdings. 2004 (reprinted in 2006, 2010).
7. Stan G, McBride WD. Farm and operator characteristics affecting the awareness and adoption of precision agriculture technologies in the US. *Journal of Precision Agriculture*, SpringerLink. 2003; 4(2) : 163-177.
8. Bongiovanni R, Deboer JL. Precision agriculture and sustainability. *Journal of Precision Agriculture*, SpringerLink. 2004;5(4) : 359-387.
9. Khorramnia K, Shariff ARM. Potential applications of remote sensing technologies on oil palm nutrient management. *The 33rd ASIAN Conference on Remote Sensing*, Pattaya Thailand. *Aiming Smart Space Sensing (ACRS)*. 2012.
10. Jamshidi B, Minaei S, Mohajerani E, Ghassemian H. Reflectance Vis/NIR spectroscopy for nondestructive taste characterization of valencia oranges. *Elsevier B.V. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 2012;85: 64-69.
11. Nawi NM, Jensen T, Chen G. Application of spectroscopic method to predict sugar content of sugarcane internodes. *J. Trop. Agric. and Fd. Sc.* 2013a;41(2) : 211 – 220.
12. CheMan YB, Mirghani MES. Rapid method for determining moisture content in crude palm oil by fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *AOCS Press, JAOCS*, 2000;77(6): 631-637.
13. Ammawath W, CheMan YB, Rahman RBA, Baharin BS. A fourier transform infrared spectroscopic method determining butylated hydroxytoluene in palm olein and palm oil, *JAOCS, AOCS Press*, 2006;83(3): 187-190.
14. CheMan YB, Setiowaty G, Van DVFR. Determination of iodine value of palm oil by fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, *JAOCS*, 1999;76: 693-699.
15. Shafri HZM, Anuar MI. Hyperspectral signal analysis for detecting disease infections in oil palm. *International Conference on Computer and Electrical Engineering*, *IEEE Computer Society*, 2008; pp 312-316.
16. Lelong CD, Roger JM, Bregand S, Dubertret F, Lanore M, Sitorus NA, Raharjo DA, Caliman JP. Evaluation of oil palm fungal disease infestation with canopy hyperspectral reflectance data. *Sensors* 2010;10: 734-747.
17. Wanasuria S, Setyobudi H, Mayun IB, Suprihatno B. Iron Deficiency of Oil Palm in Sumatra. *Better Crops International*. 1999; 13 (1): pp 33-35.

- 336 18. Chin PY, Varley JA, Ward JB. The Foliar Composition of the Oil Palm in West
337 Malaysia: II. The Relationships between Nutrient Contents. Cambridge University
338 Press. 1970; 6(3); pp:191-196.
- 339 19. Corley R.H.V, Tinker P.B. The Oil Palm. Blackwell Science Ltd. 2003; Chap11;pp: 349.
- 340 20. Fairhurst TH, Mutert E. Interpretation and management of oil palm leaf analysis data.
341 Better Crops International.1999;13(1): 48-51.
- 342 21. Hashim M, Ibrahim AL, Rasib AW, Shah R, Nordin L, Haron K. Detecting Oil Palm Tree
343 Growth Variability Using a Field Spectroradiometer. ASIAN-PACIFIC Remote Sensing
344 and GIS Journal. 2001; 14: pp 25-32
- 345 22. Pushparajah E. Leaf analysis and soil testing for plantation tree crops. Retrieved
346 from;[http://www.agrifoodgateway.com/articles/leaf-analysis-and-soil-testing-plantation-](http://www.agrifoodgateway.com/articles/leaf-analysis-and-soil-testing-plantation-tree-crops)
347 [tree-crops](http://www.agrifoodgateway.com/articles/leaf-analysis-and-soil-testing-plantation-tree-crops). 1994: pp:1-9
- 348 23. Goh KJ, Chew PS Kee KK. K Nutrition for mature oil palm in malaysia. International
349 Potash Institute Basel/ Switzerland. 1994.
- 350 24. Bechlin MA, Fortunato FM, Silva RMD, Ferreira EC, Neto JAG. A simple and fast
351 method for assesment of the nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium rating of the fertilisers
352 using high-resolution continuum source atomic and molecular absorption spectrometry.
353 Journal of Spectrochimica Acta Part B. Elsevier. 2014;101: 240-244.
- 354 25. Nawi N.M, Jensen T. and Chen G.. The Application of Spectroscopic Methods to
355 Predict Sugarcane Quality Based on Stalk Cross-sectional Scanning. Journal of
356 American Society of Sugar Cane Technologists. 2012;Vol 32. pp 16-27.
- 357 26. Abdel REM, Ahmed FB, Berg VDM. Estimation of sugarcane leaf nitrogen
358 concentration using in-situ spectroscopy. International Journal of Applied Earth
359 Observation and Geoinformation 2010;12: 52 – 57.
- 360 27. Herve Abdi. Partial least square regression, PLS-regression.encyclopedia of
361 measurement and statistics. Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage.2007.
- 362 28. Jayaselan HAJ, Ishak WIW, and Nawi NM. (2017). Pre-processing Method to Predict
363 Nutrient Deficit in Oil Palm using Spectrometer, In publication at Biosystem
364 Engineering.
- 365 29. Nawi NM, Chen G, Jensen T, Mehdizadeh SA. Prediction and classification of sugar
366 content of sugarcane based on skin scanning using visible and shortwave near
367 infrared. Biosystem Engineering, Elsevier. 2013c; 115: 154-161.
- 368 30. Nicolai BM, Beullens K, Bobelyn E, Peirs A, Saeys W, Theron KI, Lammertyn J.
369 Nondestructive measurement of fruit and vegetable quality by means of NIR
370 spectroscopy: a review. Postharvest Biol. Technol. 2007;46: 99–118.
- 371 31. Nawi NM, Chen G, Jensen T. Visible and shortwave near infrared spectroscopy for
372 predicting sugar content of sugarcane based on a cross-sectional scanning method.
373 Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy. 2013d; 21: 289-297.
- 374 32. Meroni M, Colombo R. Leaf level detection of solar induced chlorophyll fluorescence
375 by means of a subnanometer resolution spectroradiometer. Remote Sensing
376 Environment. Elsevier. 2006: 103: 438-448.
- 377 33. Ngie A, Ahmed F, Abutaleb K. Assessing maize foliar water stress levels under field
378 conditions using in-situ spectroscopy.
- 379 34. Khorramnia K., Khot L.R., Shariff A.R.M., Ehsani R., Mansor S. and Rahim A.A. 2014.
380 Oil Palm Leaf Nutrient Estimation by Optical Sensing Techniques. ASABE. 57 (4) :
381 1267-1277. DOI: 10.13031/trans.57.10142.
- 382 35. Caliman JP, Lanore M, Lelong CCD, Roger JM, Syakharosie AR. Towards precision
383 agriculture for oil palm mineral nutrition management: relationships between the
384 reflectance spectrum of oil palm leaves and nutrient deficiencies", published at the
385 PIPOC 2007 International Palm Oil Congress Palm oil: Empowering change August
386 26-30 Kuala Lumpur.

- 387 36. Goh Kj, Sg Buloh Po. fertiliser recommendation systems for oil palm: Estimating the
388 fertiliser rates. Proceedings of MOSTA Best Practices Workshop Agronomy and Crop
389 Management. 2005.
- 390 37. Foster HL. Assesment of oil palm fertiliser requirements in: Oil palm management for
391 large and sustainable yields (Fairhurst T and Hardter R eds.). Potash and Phosphate
392 Institute (PPI), Potash and Phosphate Institute Canada (PPIC) and Int Potash Institute
393 (IPI), Singapore: 2003; 231-257.
- 394
- 395
- 396