

IDENTIFICATION OF FARMER'S CONSTRAINTS TO MAIZE PRODUCTION IN THE HUMID FOREST ZONE OF CAMEROON

ABSTRACT

Ten farmers were randomly selected in the humid forest zone of Cameroon to form the main focus group of 50 people. A total of 178 farmers were individually interviewed. A Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) was conducted in five villages in the Bimodal Humid forest zone (BHFZ) of Cameroon in 2013. The objectives of the study were to elucidate farmer's perceptions on maize cultivars and to identify farmer's constraints on their maize production system. Quantitative data analyses were performed using least square means of the Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS) version 17. Results showed that poor soil fertility was among the major six problems listed by farmers in the BHFZ. Maize was the main cereal produced as food and cash crop in the study area. The main land management practice was slash and burn with a fallow system. The major constraints facing farmers were inadequacy of improved varieties, post-harvest handling challenges, weeds infestation, poor soil fertility and high cost of fertilizers. Therefore, it became necessary to explore for more sustainable and affordable ways of increasing yield of the crop through identification of high-yielding and stable maize tolerant to these farmer's constraints. The practice of appropriate agricultural system associated with the utilization of improved and adapted varieties could significantly increase their maize yield.

Keywords: Participatory Rural Appraisal; farmer's constraints; focus group; soil fertility, Maize tolerant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize productivity in sub-Saharan Africa as in Cameroon is low due to the variable production environment, stress and limited access to essential inputs. Maize (*Zea mays* L.) production in Cameroon is mainly in the hands of small scale farmers. Farmers grow the crop in highly variable and stress-prone environments [1]. The level of adoption of improved maize varieties was estimated in some villages in the humid forest zone of Cameroon and found to be low compared to the use of local varieties in those areas [2]. For effective breeding program, farmers' preferences for varieties should be clearly identified through researcher-farmer interaction and collaboration [3]. Breeders should involve farmers in their breeding programs to learn more about the most important selection criteria of male and female farmers for the cultivars preferred in their environments [4]. This encourages the use of locally adapted cultivars resulting in less dependence on introductions. Such a strategy has resulted in selection and development of new wheat, barley, common bean, quinoa, potato and maize cultivars in various part of the world [4]. This is a clear indication that breeders must be well acquainted with the farmer preferences such as the requirements for specific agronomic criteria, storage, processing and marketing traits if adoption rate is

29 expected to be high [4]. The Bimodal Humid Forest Zone (BHFZ) of Cameroon has in the
30 recent past seen the integration of maize into the cropping system. This has largely been
31 due to the high demand for maize especially the fresh green cob in the administrative
32 capital, (Yaounde), and the high return on the per unit area compared to the traditional root
33 crops. However most of the soils in this zone are acidic, decreasing the yields [5]. The Acid
34 Tolerant Population (ATP) introduced to the area decreases in yield when grown in the acid
35 soils [6]. With the farmers' inability to correct acidity of the soils by applying agricultural lime,
36 it has become imperative to develop acid tolerant hybrids which are also high-yielding.
37 These hybrid varieties should have stable yield in most of the soils of the BHFZ. To develop
38 a successful and adopted maize improved variety, it is very important for the researcher to
39 consider farmers' preferences in terms of their ideal maize variety and also their specific
40 needs vis-à-vis the cropping of the crop. It is with this reason that a participatory rural
41 appraisal (PRA) in the South Region of Cameroon was carried out with the objectives of: (i)
42 Elucidate farmer perceptions on maize cultivars (ii) Identify farmers' constraints on maize
43 production.

44 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

45 **2.1 Study site**

46 The study was conducted in the Bimodal Humid Forest Zone (BHFZ) of Cameroon which
47 covered three regions out of 10 in the country. Five villages (Asso'osseng, Biyeyem, Djop,
48 Ndengue and Nkoemvone) were involved in the study. The annual rainfall in BHFZ is 1800
49 mm with bimodal distribution [7]. The soils are Oxisols and typically Kandiodox highly
50 weathered and dominated by kaolinitic clay with high Aluminium (Al) toxicity [8]. The study
51 site mainly has forest vegetation with mixed cropping as the main farming system. The crops
52 grown include cocoa, maize, groundnut, cassava and yam. The villages were selected
53 based on their acidic nature of the soils where maize is a major cereal crop cultivated.

54 **2.2 Sampling procedure and data collection**

55 A multidisciplinary research team comprising of a Plant Breeder, (the principal investigator),
56 a social scientist, a facilitator, an agricultural extension officer, three students at the Masters
57 level from the University of Yaounde and SupAgro Institute of Montpellier, France.

58 The selection of the households within each of the five villages was done through random
59 sampling of farmers who were planting maize either as a sole crop or as a mixed crop in the
60 studied area, their willingness and availability to cooperate with the research team. The
61 selected villages were closed to the station of Institute of Agricultural Research for
62 Development (IRAD) at Ebolowa. Focus group discussion was used where, 10 farmers were
63 randomly selected from each of the five villages to form the main focus group of 50 people.
64 These farmers were subdivided into five groups. For socio-cultural reasons and free
65 discussion among the farmers, male and female groups were formed separately. Contact
66 was established with the group via telephone and/or government zonal extension agent.

67 The focus group discussion was done using the format below as guidelines in developing a
68 questionnaire:

- 69 • Introduction and purpose of the meeting
- 70 • Presentation of research team
- 71 • Presentation of the representative of farmers in the village
- 72 • Questions on farming system(s)

- 73 • Questions on crop production with more emphases on maize cropping
- 74 • Questions on the difficulties encountered in farming
- 75 • Questions on marketing, labor and expectations including other comments.

76 A recorder was discretely used during the group discussion to facilitate information
77 gathering. In addition, illustrated charts were used to help elicit farmers' preferences,
78 difficulties and their geographical location. [9] also adopted the same way in their work. The
79 questions were translated into local language to help farmer understanding and for
80 clarification of information. This was either done by a research team member or a participant
81 from the farmers' group.

82 Although a leader guided the discussion, other scientists could intervene at any moment in
83 case some relevant information may have been skipped. The zonal extension agent was the
84 key informant and when necessary, added information when farmers were not able to
85 provide. Care was taken to avoid people monopolizing the discussion because of their
86 wealth status or their leadership role.

87 The group discussions involved listing of production constraints, varieties grown and
88 identifying the preferred traits of maize cultivars. In the focus group discussions, individuals
89 and group members were at times asked to write their contributions and present to the
90 farmer group. Additional relevant data were also collected from secondary sources such as
91 published and also from the Agriculture ministry in relation to agriculture production in the
92 study areas. Farmers were randomly selected to list the five most pertinent problems of
93 farming which were characterized. The weight of each problem was estimated by attributing
94 the coefficient 1 to 5 to the best five pertinent problems with the coefficient 5 attributed to the
95 main difficulty encountered.

96 A structured questionnaire was prepared based on the information gathered from the focus
97 group discussions. A questionnaire was drafted to gather individual household information in
98 each village. Individual interviews were used to assess thoughts, opinions, and feelings of
99 individual farmers. A total of 178 farmers were individually interviewed.

100 **2.3 Data analysis**

101 The qualitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to characterize the sample
102 households in terms of different socio-economic and biophysical features. While the
103 quantitative data analyses were performed using least square means of the Statistical
104 Package for Social Scientists (SPSS) version 17. Descriptive statistics, Stata software for
105 modelization and mean comparisons were used for data collected in each village followed by
106 comparisons among villages. Ranking, scoring and pair wise scoring were used in each of
107 the focus groups.

108 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

109

110 **3.1 Focus group discussion**

111 Farmers were randomly selected the five most pertinent problems listed by the selected
112 farmers. Farmers ranked change in weather as the most important constraint followed by
113 post-harvest decay and weeds infestation (Table 1).


114

115 **Table 1: Production constraints by farmers and their classification in order of**
116 **priorities**

Problem	Weight	Ranking
Change in weather	22	1
Conservation problem (post-harvest problem)	17	2
Weeds control	13	3
Market problem	12	4
Maize shelling problem	10	5
Poor soils (set-aside)	9	6
High cost and lack of fertilizers	9	7
Field road management problem (infrastructure problem)	5	8
Unawareness on improved and adapted seeds	5	9
Pests and diseases	3	10

117

118 Pests and diseases were reported to be the least important (rank 10). All the constraints
119 were grouped into biotic and abiotic problems (Figure 1). The expression of abiotic
120 constraints was higher at Asso'ooseng, Nkoemvone, Ndjop and Ndengue.



121

122 **Figure 1: Major constraints to maize production**

123

124 **3.2 Individual interviews**

125 **3.2.1 Farmer characteristics**

126 The sample of farmers from Ebolowa was composed of 53% women and 47% of men. At
127 individual village level, there were more women than men in Asso'osseng and Djop (Figure
128 2).




Figure 2: Farmers' distribution according to gender

Farmers were also classified according to their age per village and their level of education (Table 2). Many (43.8%) farmers were between 31 – 45 years old while 34.4% of farmers were between 46-65 years old. At Biyeyem, there were no farmers less than 30 years and over 65 years old. The highest level of study achieved by farmers was secondary school and 56.9% of them had reached that level (Table 3).

Table 2: Farmers' distribution according to the age per village (%)

Characteristics	Villages					
	Asso'osseng	Biyeyem	Djop	Ndengue	Nkoemvone	Overall
Age of farmer						
< 30 years	17.2	0.0	40.7	13.8	17.6	18.8
31 – 45 years	20.7	56.5	44.4	48.3	58.8	43.8
46 – 65 years	55.2	43.5	14.8	34.5	17.6	34.4
>65 years	6.9	0.0	0.0	3.4	5.9	3.1
Level of studies						
No formal education	10.3	4.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	5.4
Primary	51.7	16.0	51.9	34.5	23.5	36.2
Secondary	37.9	80.0	48.1	51.7	70.6	56.9
Tertiary (University)	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	5.9	1.5

None of the female farmers reached the tertiary school. The level of education as well as the age of the farmers was significantly observed in the size sample of the population (Table 4).

144 **Table 3: Farmers' characteristics according to the level of instruction per gender (%)**

	Gender		
	Male	Female	Overall
Age of farmer			
< 30 years old	18.7	18.5	18.6
31 – 45 years old	50.5	43.2	47.1
46 – 65 years old	29.7	34.6	32.0
> 65 years old	1.1	3.7	2.3
Level of studies			
No formal education	4.3	3.7	4.0
Primary	22.8	42.7	32.2
Secondary	63.0	53.7	58.6
Tertiary (University)	9.8	0.0	5.2

145

146 None of the female farmers reached the tertiary school. The level of education as well as the
147 age of the farmers was significantly observed in the size sample of the population (Table 4).

148 **Table 4: Chi square of some characters coming from the survey**


	Farmer sex				
	df	$\chi^2_{cal.}$	χ^2_{read}	P-value	Significance
Age of farmer	3	7.82	7.82	0.05	*
Level of education	3	14.26	7.82	0.003	**

149

150 *: significant at 5%; **: significant at 1% Independence: The null hypothesis is that the response category is
151 independent of the treatment group. The alternate hypothesis is that there is some sort of (ill defined) association.

152 **3.2.2 Farmers' activities**

153 The main activity practiced by farmers in all the five villages was agriculture (Figure 3).



154

155 **Figure 3: Sample distribution of farmers according to their main activity**

156 Also, the distribution of farmers based on their main activity per village (Table 5). At
157 Asso'osseng and Nkoemvone, 2.4% and 17.9% of farmers were temporary workers in the
158 Research Institute. Few of them manage small businesses (2.4% at Asso'osseng, 3.3% at
159 Ndengue and 7.7% at Nkoemvone) while most of them farmed (Table 5).


160 **Table 5: Sample distribution according to the main activity by village (%)**

		Main activity				TOTAL
		Agriculture	Business/Trading	Temporary	Other	
VILLAGE	ASSO'OSSENG	70.7	2.4	2.4	24.4	100.0
	BIYEYEM	93.3	0.0	0.0	6.7	100.0
	DJOP	96.4	0.0	0.0	3.6	100.0
	NDENGUE	96.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	100.0
	NKOEMVONE	43.6	7.7	17.9	30.8	100.0
	OTHER	30.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	100.0
	ALL	74.7	2.8	4.5	18.0	100.0

161

162 3.2.3 Maize and other type of crops grown in different villages

163 There was a diversity of crops grown in the villages. Among them, maize, cassava,
 164 cocoyam, groundnut, cocoa and sweet potato were the most important (Figure 4). Rice and
 165 sorghum were not widely grown in that part of the country.



166

167 **Figure 4: Distribution of farmers based on their village and the type of crop grown**

168 The most important cereal produced in all the villages was maize where averages of 97.7%
 169 farmers were producing it. Only 3.4% of farmers grew rice at Asso'osseng, 3.4% at Ndengue
 170 and 5.9% at Nkoemvone. Sorghum was grown at Ndengue by 3.4% of farmers (Table 6).
 171 Vegetables such as beans (51.1%), groundnut (78.2%) and green vegetables (52.6%) were
 172 also produced.

173 3.2.4 Agricultural and production system used by farmers


174 In all the villages, most farmers (72.5%) practiced intercropping system (Table 6).

175 **Table 6: Agricultural system used and type of maize variety grown by farmers (%)**

	Villages					
	Asso’osseng	Biyeyem	Djop	Ndengue	Nkoemvone	Total
System used						
Monoculture (maize only)	18.5	28.6	37.0	20.7	5.9	22.9
Intercropping	81.5	53.6	59.3	79.3	94.1	72.5
Relay cropping	0.0	17.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	4.6
Provenance of water for crop						
Rain	92.3	96.2	92.3	100.0	88.2	94.5
Irrigation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rain and irrigation	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8

176

177 22.9% grew maize only (monoculture) in their field during a given agricultural season (69%
 178 of men and 31% of women) while 4.6% of farmers practiced relay cropping system (100% of
 179 men) (Figure 5). In all the villages, farmers produce under rain-fed agriculture (94.5% of
 180 farmers) while few of them (4.7%) used irrigation system (Table 6).
 181



182

183 **Figure 5: Farmers' distribution according to the agricultural system by gender**

184

185 **3.3 DISCUSSION**

186 **3.3.1 General constraints**

187 Poor soil fertility was among the six major problems listed by farmers in the BHFZ. According
188 to [5], soil acidity is a major constraint in the humid forest area of Cameroon and this acidity
189 is mainly due to Al toxicity ,while in western Ethiopia, two major constraints of the production
190 of the maize are the unavailability of improved seed and lack of production inputs[10] .The
191 soils in this region are of poor fertility due to a combination of Al toxicity and deficits caused
192 by leaching or decreased availability of P, Ca, Mg and some other micronutrients, especially
193 Mo, Zn and B [11]. As a consequence the productivity of the crop in general, particularly
194 maize reduced significantly. Farmers mentioned that the change of weather was among the
195 major problems facing maize farming. The weather patterns have become unpredictable.
196 The raining seasons are no more following exactly the calendar of cropping seasons. This is
197 a general constraint globally and therefore, is not specific to the study environment.

198 **3.3.2 Increasing population in farming**

199 In the present research, 47% of farmers were between 31 to 45 years old while 32% of them
200 were between 46 to 65 years old indicating that young people were involved in agricultural
201 activities. This result could be explained by the fact that the 1990's economic crises in
202 Cameroon made the Government to reduce by half the number of youth employed.
203 Consequently, most of these youths became self- employed and farmers, especially in
204 maize production, which is considered like one of their target areas because of the wide
205 consumption of the crop. Additionally, about 55% of the Cameroonian population lives in the
206 rural environment with agriculture as their main activity [12].

207 **3.3.3 The redundancy appearance of women in agriculture**

208 Results from the study indicated that 59% of farmers had reached the secondary school
209 level while only 4% were found to be illiterate. The government policy in the country is to
210 provide basic education to every citizen. This reflects the level of education observed among
211 the farmers. It was revealed from this study that male farmers were more educated than
212 female meaning that girls dropped from school very early and started farming activity
213 especially when they gave birth. It has been noted that the female farmers started farming
214 earlier than males and stopped during their old age (after 65 years) while most men stopped
215 early (between 46 to 65 years old). This could be explained by the fact that young ladies
216 stopped going to school earlier than the young men. The age and the level of study of
217 farmers in the survey area depend on their gender. More women were involved in farming
218 than men in this study region of the country. This could be explained by a higher number of
219 women than men in those areas. The result matched with those of [13] on the size of
220 population which was approximately 16.5 million inhabitants, 51% was composed of women
221 and only 49% of men. Among women, 65% of them lived in the rural areas.

222 **3.3.4 The common food crops**

223 The principal crops produced in most of the villages were: maize, cassava, macabo,
224 groundnut, cocoa, sweet potato, green leaves, beans, other crops (such as plantain,
225 banana, yam, and pepper), fruits, rice and sorghum. This result is similar to that of [14] which
226 stated that subsistence food crops grown in the Southern Region were comprised of taro,
227 yams, cassava, rice, banana, plantain, maize, potatoes, roots and tubers, avocado, beans,
228 okra, and were traded largely outside the cash economy. Farmers were forced to abandon
229 cocoa or coffee plantations for other income – generating opportunities such as food crops

230 [15]. Among the cereals produced, maize is the most important (98%) and has become one
231 of their cash crops. According to [10], maize was ranked number one as both food and cash
232 crop by 82%.

233 **3.3.5 The agricultural system still precarious**

234 The agricultural system used by smallholders was based on traditional slash-and-burn
235 agriculture or shift cropping. In this system, farmers slashed the forest vegetation, burned
236 the biomass and then cropped before abandoning the land to fallow. The length of the fallow
237 varied from about three years in high population areas with relatively fertile soils to over ten
238 years where population density was low and soil acidity was a major constraint [16; 17]. This
239 technique improved the nutrients elements of plants in the soil. The problems of low
240 productivity and food security had been attributed to slash and burn cropping systems [18].

241 **4. CONCLUSION**

242
243 Farmers usually grow maize for subsistence and as a cash crop or source of income. Maize
244 production is facing different constraints in the humid forest zone including the problem of
245 low production per unit area, low soil fertility, high cost of fertilizers, post-harvest
246 conservation of maize and weeds control are prevalent. Breeding maize variety with multiple
247 traits will curb most of these constraints hence enhance adoption of maize in the humid
248 forest zone of the country. The practice of appropriate agricultural system associated with
249 the utilization of improved and adapted varieties could significantly increase their maize
250 yield.

251

252 REFERENCES

253

254 [1] Banziger M. & Meyer J. Collaborative maize cultivar development for stress-prone
255 environments in southern Africa. IN Cleveland, D. A. & Soleri, D. (Eds.) *Farmers, Scientists*
256 *and Plant Breeding*. CAB International. 2002. p. 269-296

257 [2] Tandzi N.L, Ngonkeu M.E., Nartey E., Yeboah M., Ngue J., Mafouasson A.H., Ngang
258 N.A., Bassi O. et Gracen V. Farmers' adoption of improved maize varieties in the humid
259 forest area of Cameroon, *International journal of Scientific Engineering and Applied Science*.
260 2015; 1(8):17-28.

261 [3] Sibiya J., Tongoona P., Derera J. & Makanda I. Farmers' desired traits and selection
262 criteria for maize varieties and their implications for maize breeding: A case study from
263 kwazulu-natal province, South Africa. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the*
264 *Tropics and Subtropics*. 2013; 114(1): 39-49.

265 [4] Danial D., Parlevliet J., Almekinders C. & Thiele G. Farmers' participation and breeding
266 for durable disease resistance in the andean region. *Euphytica*. 2007; 153(3): 385-396.

267 [5] Njomgang R., Yemefack M., Nyobe T., Tchienkoua M., Ambassa-Kiki R., et al. Profil du
268 pays sur les besoins en éléments nutritifs des plantes cultivées dans les principales zones
269 agro-écologiques du cameroun: Description sommaire des zones agro-écologiques (zae) du
270 cameroun. Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'Alimentation et l'Agriculture (FAO) &
271 Institut de Recherche Agricole pour le Développement (Cameroun). 2010. p. 6.

272 [6] The C., Mafouasson H., Calba H., Mbouemboue P., Zonkeng C., et al. Identification de
273 groupes hétérotiques pour la tolérance du maïs (*Zea mays* L.) aux sols acides des
274 tropiques. *Cahiers Agricultures*. 2006; 15(4):337-346.

275 [7] The C., Calba H., Horst W. J. & Zonkeng C. Maize grain yield correlated responses to
276 change in acid soil characteristics after 3 years of soil amendements. Seventh Eastern and
277 Southern Africa Maize Conference. 2001. p. 222-227.

278 [8] The, C., Tandzi, N.L., Zonkeng, C., Ngonkeu, E.L.M., Meka, S., Leon, C. and Horst, W.J.
279 Contribution of introduced inbred lines to maize varietal improvement for acid soil tolerance.
280 IN Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M.A.B., Lum, A.F., Menkir, A. and Ouedraogo, M. (Eds.)
281 Demand-Driven Technologies for Sustainable Maize Production in West and Central Africa.
282 IITA-Cotonou, Bénin, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA). 2005. p. 53-63.

283 [9] Vissoh P. et al. perceptions et stratégies d'adaptation aux changements climatiques: le
284 cas des communes d'Adjohoun et de Dangbo au Sud -Est Bénin. *Cahiers d'Outre-Mer*. 2012
285 ;(260): 479-492

286 [10] Abera W. et al. preferences and constraints of maize farmer's in the development and
287 adoption of improved varieties in the mid-altitude, sub-humid agKotto-Same, Moukam A.,
288 Njomgang R., Tiki-Manga T., Tonye J., et al. Alternatives-to-slash-and-burn (asb) report and
289 synthesis of phase II in Cameroon, ASB Coordination Office, Nairobi-Kenya. 2000.

290 [11] Gupta N., Gaurav S. S. & Kumar A. Molecular basis of aluminium toxicity in plants: A
291 review *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2013; 4:21-37.

- 292 [12] Etoundi S.M.N. and Dia, K.B. Determinants of the adoption of improved varieties of
293 maize in Cameroon: Case of CMS 8704. African Economic Conference. 2008. p. 397-413.
- 294 [13] Ayuk, B. A. & Arrey, W. A. The daily life of a typical cameroonian woman, 44th
295 International Studies Association (ISA) Annual Convention Portland Hilton, Oregon United
296 States of America. 2003.
- 297 [14] Pamo E. T. Country pasture/forage resource profiles: Cameroon, Food and Agriculture
298 Organization of the United Nations (FAO). 2008. p. 52.
- 299 [15] Ngo Nkot L., Nwaga D., Ngakou A., Fankem H. & Etoa F. X. Variation in nodulation and
300 growth of groundnut (*arachis hypogaea* L.) on oxisols from land use systems of the humid
301 forest zone in southern Cameroon. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2013;10 (20):3996-
302 4004.
- 303 [16] Degrande A. & Duguma B. Adoption potential of rotational hedgerow intercropping in
304 the humid lowlands of Cameroon. *Agricultural Research & Extension Network*. 2000; 103:12.
- 305 [17]Asaah E. K., Tchoundjeu Z., Leakey R. R. B., Takou sting B., Njong J., et al... Trees,
306 agroforestry and multifunctional agriculture in Cameroon. *International Journal of*
307 *Agricultural Sustainability*. 2011; 9(1): 110-119.
- 308 [18] Kotto-Same, Moukam A., Njomgang R., Tiki-Manga T., Tonye J., et al. Alternatives-to-
309 slash-and-burn (asb) report and synthesis of phase II in Cameroon, ASB Coordination
310 Office, Nairobi-Kenya. 2000.