

Physico-Chemical Properties and Resistance of Ten Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean*) Varieties to Attack by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in the Sudano-sahelian and Sudano-guinean Zones of Cameroon

ABSTRACT

Aims: This research aimed at selecting indigenous Bambara groundnut varieties with high nutritional value and inherent resistance to insect attack for cultivation in sudano-sahelian (SS) and sudano-guinean (SG) zones of Cameroon.

Study design: The susceptibility of varieties to insect attack was assessed by adopting the standard evaluation of Dobie index and the experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design (CRD) with five replications.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Biological Sciences (laboratory of Applied Zoology), UIT (laboratory of food chemical engineering) at Ngaoundere (SG zone). The work was also carried-out at Maroua (SS zone), starting from Jun to October 2013 for susceptibility assessment and from march 2014 to April 2015 for physico-chemical characterization of varieties.

Methodology: Ten Bambara groundnut genotypes were infested with bruchids for six days. Comparative data on the mean percentage adult emergence, mean developmental period and susceptibility index (SI) were then collected for analysis. Seeds were also analyzed for physical and chemical properties to study the physico-chemical basis of resistance to bruchid attack and the nutritional value.

Results: Varieties were significantly different regarding their physical properties ($F = 13.32 - 92.89$; $P < 0.001$), chemical composition ($F = 6.57 - 2936.00$; $P < 0.001 - 0.01$) and susceptibility index ($F = 107.02 - 152.59$; $P < 0.001$). Overall, SS zone was most suitable for insect development. Biophysical characteristics were not found important to characterize the susceptibility of varieties to insect attack. Carbohydrates were negatively correlated ($r = -0.53$) with Bambara groundnut SI under SS conditions, while total polyphenolic compounds were positively correlated ($r = 0.52$) under SG conditions. Galaji, Black eye and Guerade guerlal consistently demonstrated high tolerance to infestation by *C. maculatus* and therefore, may be recommended for relatively longer storage.

Conclusion: The physical parameters of the grains did not linearly relate with varietal resistance to *C. maculatus*. However, it may be inversely or directly correlated with chemical characteristics, depending on the agro-ecological zone. Further analyses of grain biochemical components that may relate to varietal resistance to *C. maculatus* are required.

Keywords: *Callosobruchus maculatus*, Bambara groundnut varieties, physico-chemical properties, resistance, soudano-guinean zone, soudano-sahelian zone.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L. Verdcourt) is an indigenous African crop and reported to have originated from north-eastern Nigeria and Northern Cameroon where the wild forms are still found [1]. In the West Africa, Nigeria is the centre of production while Cameroon, Central African Republic and Chad are the largest producers in Central Africa [2]. Far-north is the centre of Bambara groundnut production in Cameroon and account for over 63% of National production, and thus supplies other regions [3]. In Africa, the crop is the third most commonly eaten legume after groundnut and cowpea [4]. This legume makes a balanced food, as it contains sufficient quantities of carbohydrates (49%-63.5%), protein (15%-25%), fat (4.5%-7.4%), fiber (5.2%-6.4%), ash (3.2%-4.4%) and 2% mineral with relatively high proportions of lysine and methionine compared to whole fresh cow milk 88% moisture, 48% carbohydrate, 32% proteins, 3.4% fat, 0.7% ash, and 0.01% cholesterol [5].

To respond efficiently throughout the year to population food request in the arid zones of sub-Saharan Africa food product must be stored, since its production is seasonal. Unfortunately, stored Bambara groundnut is very susceptible to insect attack, with bruchids (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) being the key pests [6, 7]. *C. maculatus* was reported as a major pest of Bambara groundnut in Far North region of Cameroon [8]. It starts infestation in the field, but heavy damage is done in storage [9]. This bruchid causes substantial quantitative and qualitative losses by perforation, thus taint the taste of the seeds and reducing the degree of usefulness, decreasing value and viability [10, 11]. In SG zone of Cameroon, infestation can cause up to 100 % grain damage and up to 21 % loss in grain weight within four months storage [12]. The control of this pest is then crucial to the increased and sustainable production of Bambara groundnut in tropical Africa.

Since the 1950s, synthetic insecticides are widely available and have been used extensively to fight against stored product insect pests [13]. Nevertheless, their indiscriminate use has resulted in the development of resistance by pest resurgence and outbreak of new pests, toxicity to non-target organisms and hazardous effects on the environment endangering the sustainability of ecosystems [14, 15].


In order to reduce both over-dependence on chemicals for control, and seed loss due to bruchid attacks, the search for host plant resistance in Bambara groundnut seeds may be the option of choice. In fact, a plant with resistance offers an attractive alternative pest management approach because it provides an efficient, economical and environmentally responsible approach for effectively managing pests. Hence, it is pertinent that a study of bruchid responses to locally available improved varieties and landraces of different pulse species be conducted periodically in different ecologies. Studies have been reported on the susceptibility of Bambara groundnut [8, 10, 16] varieties to *C. maculatus*. However, the resistance status of several varieties of these pulse species in Cameroon, is either unknown within an agro-ecological zone and / or across agro-ecological zones in the country. In fact, a resistant variety to insect attack in one locality may be susceptible in another due to the interaction between the genotype and the environment as well as the reproductive performance of the pest in a given environment [17-19]. The physical and chemical basis of resistance of available Bambara groundnut varieties in Cameroon are also not yet elucidated.

Study was then undertaken to evaluate the susceptibility of ten varieties of Bambara groundnut to infestation by *C. maculatus* with the aim to selecting those with high nutritional value and inherent resistance for cultivation or inclusion in breeding programs in SS and SG zones of Cameroon.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Collection and identification of Bambara groundnut varieties

Bambara groundnut varieties (Figure 1) used in these experiments consisted of 10 local widely cultivated lines. Seeds were collected from farmers in Far North Region of Cameroon and were identified and named at Department of Annual Crops, IRAD (Institute of Agricultural Research for Development) station of Maroua, Far North Region of Cameroon on the basis of their locality of production, local name, and testa or eyed colour. According to these parameters the adapted names are given in table 1 and figure 1.



81



82 Figure 1. Bambara groundnut varieties collected from farmers in Far North Region of Cameroon

83 The seeds were cleaned by removing manually all foreign matter such as dust, dirt and chaff as well
 84 as immature and damaged seeds and then disinfested by keeping them in a freezer at -4°C for 21
 85 days prior to the bio-assays, in order to eliminate the potential forms of any living organism. The
 86 seeds were then kept under laboratories conditions (Ngaoundere: $22.73 \pm 1.28^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 82.75 ± 2.61
 87 % r.h., Maroua: $27.17 \pm 2.54^{\circ}\text{C}$ and 78.66 ± 6.40 % r.h.) for at least 2 weeks before use for
 88 experiments. The moisture content of the seeds was preliminary determined by drying at 105°C for
 89 24h in oven [20].

90 **2.2. Insect rearing**

91 The research work was conducted at Ngaoundere (SG zone) and Maroua (SS zone) under laboratory
 92 conditions. The population of *C. maculatus* used in these studies originated from Mokolo, Far north,
 93 Cameroon, in December 2012. At each site of study 250 g of Bambara groundnut (Kodek) and then
 94 30 *C. maculatus* adults were introduced in glass jars (900 ml) for the insect rearing. Kodek was
 95 chosen after the preliminary test because of its suitability for *C. maculatus* development than other
 96 varieties. The adults were removed after one-week ovipositional period by sieving the grains through
 97 a 3-mm mesh sieve to separate the grains from the cowpea weevils, after which the grains were
 98 returned into the jars. The glass jars containing the infested Bambara groundnut (eggs and larvae)
 99 were kept to establish the insect colony used for experiment. Seeds were renewed after four
 100 generations of *C. maculatus* and infested with adults from different jars to avoid cost of inbreeding
 101 [21] and polymorphism induction in culture [22], since only the flightless forms were used in our study.

102 **2.3. Assessment of the Degree of Resistance to the Pest**

103 Experiments were conducted in ambient laboratories conditions at Maroua (SS zone) and
 104 Ngaoundere (SG zone). The averages temperature were $28.22 \pm 2.10^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $23.00 \pm 1.37^{\circ}\text{C}$ with
 105 respective ranges of $22.00 - 34.00^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $20.00 - 27.00^{\circ}\text{C}$, respectively in Maroua and Ngaoundere.
 106 In the same order the relative humidity (r.h.) averages were 77.62 ± 8.72 % and 81 ± 2.31 % with
 107 respective ranges of $41.00 - 94.5\%$ and $70.00 - 88.00$ %.
 108 Fifty grams seeds of each variety have been individually placed in small glass jar (500 ml) before ten
 109 pairs (σ/φ) of newly emerged (not more than 24 h old) adults of *C. maculatus* were introduced. The
 110 jars were then covered with gauze cloth and perforated metal lids to allow the weevils to breathe and
 111 avoid any external contamination. Six days after infestation, the adults were removed, while
 112 observations for emergence started 3 weeks after infestation. The grains were observed daily for adult
 113 emergence till the end. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design (CRD) with
 114 five replications. The SI is given by the formula [23]:

$$115 \quad SI = \frac{\log F1}{MDP}$$

116 where F1 was the total number of emerging adults and MDP was the median developmental period
 117 (in day), which was calculated as the time from mid-oviposition period to 50% emergence of F1
 118 generation.

119 The Dobie SI was used as the criterion to separate varieties into different resistance groups [24]
 120 following the scales as follows: scale index of ≤ 4 was classified as resistant; scale index of $4.1 - 6.0$
 121 as moderately resistant; scale index of $6.1 - 8.0$ as moderately susceptible; scale index of $8.1 - 10$ as
 122 susceptible; and scale index of > 10 was classified as highly susceptible.

123 **2.4. Evaluation of grain physico-chemical characteristics**

124 Kernel weight (g per 100 kernels) was measured by selecting randomly 100 seeds and weighing
 125 them in an electronic balance (Kern&Sohn GmbH, D-72336 Balingen, Germany) to an accuracy of
 126 0.001 g [25]. The length, width and thickness of each variety were determined using a vernier caliper
 127 with 0.05 mm accuracy. Fifty grains were randomly selected from each sample of about 2500 seeds.
 128 The three principal dimensions of the selected grains were measured and their averages taken. The
 129 average diameter (Dg) [26], surface area (S) [27], the sphericity (Sp) [28] and grain volume [29] were
 130 determined. The curvature, smoothness, and color of the seeds were determined on a visual basis.
 131 Proximate analyses were performed on two resistant, two moderately susceptible and two susceptible
 132 varieties under SG condition. The moisture and crush ash contents of the seeds were determined

according to AFNOR methods [20, 30]. Carbohydrates and soluble sugars [31], total proteins [32, 33], lipids content [34], crude fiber content [35], total polyphenolics and saponins content were quantified [36]. Phytates, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids, steroids and triterpenoids were screened by using qualitative [37].

2.5. Data analysis

All data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures [38]. In order to ensure assumptions of ANOVA before analysis, data on adult emergence, mean developmental period and index of susceptibility were tested for normality and heterogeneity of variance. The number of F_1 progenies was log transformed. Then, the transformed and non-transformed data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. Tukey standardized “Honestly Significant Difference” (HSD) tests were used to differentiate statistically different means at 5% level of significance.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Degree of resistance to the pest

Bambara groundnut varieties showed significant difference ($P < 0.001$) in the number of weevil infestation in each agro-ecological zone (Table 1). The number of adults which emerged range from 2 to 83 in SS zone ($F_{9,40} = 201.71$) and from 0 to 41 in SG zone ($F_{9,40} = 97.14$). In the two agro-ecological zones Kodek was the highest infested followed by Hossere (47 emerged adults) in SS zone and Batao (28 emerged adults) in SG zone. Galaji and Black eye were the least infested varieties in SS zone whereas no adult emergence was recorded on Guerade guerlal in SG zone. The ability of *C. maculatus* to produce its progeny on Kodek, Batao, Hossere and Guerade guerlal was strongly influenced by environment ($t = 6.06 - 12.20$; $P < 0.001$), while the same statistically performance was recorded on Black eye, Cat eye, Lordi and Guidiguais ($t = 0 - 1.60$; $P = 0.1532 - 1$). Kodek, Hossere, Guerade guerlal and Hina varieties recorded respectively higher number of adult emergence in SS zone than in SG zone, while Batao and Galaji varieties recorded respectively the higher number in SG. But overall, means were positively and significantly correlated within environment ($r = 0.71$, $P < 0.05$).

Table 1: Mean adult emergence of *Callosobruchus maculatus* infested Bambara groundnut

Mean number of emerged adult ($\pm$ S.E.)			
Variety	Sites		<i>t</i>
	Maroua	Ngaoundere	
Batao	9 $\pm$ 0 ^{cd}	28 $\pm$ 2 ^b	8.32 ^{***}
Black eye	3 $\pm$ 0 ^d	3 $\pm$ 0 ^{de}	00.00 ^{ns}
Cat eye	7 $\pm$ 1 ^{cd}	7 $\pm$ 1 ^{cd}	0.60 ^{ns}
Galaji	2 $\pm$ 1 ^d	6 $\pm$ 1 ^{de}	5.08 ^{**}
Guidiguis	15 $\pm$ 1 ^c	13 $\pm$ 1 ^c	1.60 ^{ns}
Hina	12 $\pm$ 1 ^c	8 $\pm$ 1 ^{cd}	2.51 [*]
Hossere	47 $\pm$ 5 ^b	8 $\pm$ 1 ^{cd}	8.25 ^{***}
Kodek	83 $\pm$ 2 ^a	41 $\pm$ 3 ^a	12.20 ^{***}
Lordi	8 $\pm$ 1 ^{cd}	9 $\pm$ 1 ^{cd}	0.75 ^{ns}

GG	8 ± 1^{cd}	0 ± 0^e	6.08 ^{***}
Mean	19 ± 4	12 ± 2	1.85 ^{ns}
F value	201.71 ^{***}	97.14 ^{***}	-
CV (%)	20.94	23.23	-

^{ns}: $P > 0.05$; * : $P < 0.05$; ** : $P < 0.01$; *** : $P < 0.001$

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly at the 5% level by Tukey test

Gg = Guerade guerlal

Analysis of the results (Table 2) showed significant difference among Bambara groundnut varieties with respect to mean developmental period ($F_{9,40} = 21.88 - 966.15$; $P < 0.001$). The highest developmental period was recorded on Lordi (33.80 days) and on Black eye (52.60 days) respectively under SS and SG zones, while the lowest was observed on Cat eye (28.40 days) and Guidiguais (45.60 days) respectively in the same order. *C. maculatus* developmental period was strongly influence by environment for each Bambara groundnut variety. Data analysis using t-test applied to compare means with respect to developmental period among the sites of the study varied from 16.74 to 81.00 at 5 % level of probability. The increase of developmental period of weevils from one zone to another was not proportional and insignificantly correlated ($r = 0.55$; $P = 0.0977$). For example, Black eye and Galaji recorded respectively 31.6 and 33.40 days in SS zone, while 52.60 and 47.00 days were recorded in SG zone.

Table 2. Mean developmental period of *Callosobruchus maculatus* infested Bambara groundnut

Variety	Mean developmental period (day $\pm$ S.E.)		
	Sites		t
	Maroua	Ngaoundere	
Batao	33.00 ± 0.00^{abc}	48.80 ± 0.20^{bc}	79.00 ^{***}
Black eye	31.60 ± 0.40^{bcd}	52.60 ± 1.03^a	19.01 ^{***}
Cat eye	28.40 ± 0.40^e	47.00 ± 0.00^{cde}	46.50 ^{***}
Galaji	33.40 ± 0.51^{ab}	47.00 ± 0.63^{cde}	16.74 ^{***}
Guidiguais	31.20 ± 0.20^{cd}	45.60 ± 0.40^e	32.20 ^{***}
Hina	31.80 ± 0.37^{bcd}	50.00 ± 0.63^b	24.77 ^{***}
Hossere	31.80 ± 0.37^{bcd}	48.00 ± 0.45^{bcd}	27.78 ^{***}
Kodek	30.00 ± 0.00^{de}	46.20 ± 0.20^{de}	81.00 ^{***}
Lordi	33.80 ± 0.66^a	49.60 ± 0.40^b	20.40 ^{***}
GG	28.60 ± 0.51^e	£	-

Mean	31.36 ± 0.28	48.31 ± 1.72	5.74 ^{***}
F value	21.88 ^{***}	966.15 ^{***}	-
CV (%)	2.85	2.55	-

^{ns}: $P > 0.05$; * : $P < 0.05$; ** : $P < 0.01$; *** : $P < 0.001$

Means in a column followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly at the 5% level by Tukey test

Gg = Guerade guerlal; £ = no developmental period was recorded due to no adult emergence

The SI of the 10 Bambara groundnut varieties ranged from 0.84 for Galaji to 14.73 for Kodek in SS and from 0.00 for Guerade guerlal to 8.00 for Kodek in SG and there were significant difference among them ($F_{9,40} = 107.02$ and 152.59 , respectively at Maroua and Ngaoundere; $P < 0.001$) (Table 3). Overall, SIs were higher in SS zone than in SG zone ($t = 5.09$; $P < 0.001$), but it almost varied randomly from one site to another and thus correlation between means among the sites ($r = 0.51$) was not significant ($P = 0.1304$). For example SI (12.03) for Hossere was higher than SI (8.64) for Guidiguais in SS zone, whereas SI (5.53) for the latter was higher than that for the former (4.16) in SG zone; SI for Kodek was the highest in both two agro-ecological zones, while SI for Batao in SS (6.57) and SG (6.77) was not significantly different ($t = 0.83$; $P = 0.4282$). Only two varieties (Galaji and Black eye) were regarded as resistant to insect attack in SS zone, whereas, in addition to these two resistant varieties Guerade guerlal was regarded as the most resistant in SG zone. While 50 % of the varieties (Lordi, Batao, Guerade guerlal, Cat eye and Hina) tested were regarded as moderately susceptible in SS zone, 50 % including Cat eye, Hossere, Hina, Lordi and Guidiguais were regarded as moderately resistant in SG zone. Hossere and Kodek were regarded as highly susceptible in SS, whereas Batao and Kodek were regarded respectively as moderately resistant and moderately susceptible to cowpea weevil attack in SG zone.

Table 3: Index of susceptibility of *Callosobruchus maculatus* infested Bambara groundnut varieties

	Index of susceptibility (± S.E.)		
Variety	Sites		t
	Maroua	Ngaoundere	
Batao	6.57± 0.18 ^{dMS}	6.77 ± 0.17 ^{bMS}	0.83 ^{ns}
Black eye	3.84 ± 0.23 ^{eRR}	2.32 ± 0.16 ^{eRR}	5.43 ^{***}
Cat eye	6.99 ± 0.31 ^{cdMS}	4.03 ± 0.23 ^{dRR}	7.67 ^{***}
Galaji	0.84 ± 0.84 ^{lRR}	3.63 ± 0.19 ^{dRR}	3.23 [*]
Guidiguis	8.64 ± 0.26 ^{cSS}	5.53 ± 0.12 ^{cMR}	10.82 ^{***}
Hina	7.80 ± 0.25 ^{cdMS}	4.21 ± 0.19 ^{dMR}	11.42 ^{***}
Hossere	12.03 ± 0.22 ^{bVS}	4.16 ± 0.28 ^{dMR}	21.89 ^{***}
Kodek	14.73 ± 0.09 ^{aVS}	8.00 ± 0.09 ^{aMS}	51.37 ^{***}
Lordi	6.11 ± 0.27 ^{dMS}	4.36 ± 0.21 ^{dMR}	5.06 ^{***}

GG	$6.88 \pm 0.50^{\text{cdMS}}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{fRR}}$	13.78 ^{***}
Mean	7.44 ± 0.54	4.30 ± 0.31	5.09 ^{***}
F value	107.02 ^{***}	152.59 ^{***}	-
CV (%)	11.26	9.34	-

^{ns}: $P > 0.05$; * : $P < 0.05$; ** : $P < 0.01$; *** : $P < 0.001$

Means in a column followed by the same lower case letter (s) do not differ significantly at the 5% level by Tukey test

Gg = Guerade guerlal; RR= resistant, MR = moderately resistant; MS = moderately susceptible; SS = susceptible; VS = very susceptible

3.2. Physical properties of Bambara groundnut varieties harvested from different regions of northern Cameroon

For all parameters studied there were statistical significant differences between varieties ($F = 9.05 - 4758.05$; $P < 0.001$). The average values of geometric diameters, sphericities, volumes and geometric surface area were established (Table 4) and varied from 9.14 ± 0.10 to 13.30 ± 0.10 mm, 0.87 ± 0.00 to 0.93 ± 0.00 , 406.83 ± 12.83 to 1245.43 ± 27.90 mm³ and 242.59 ± 4.83 to 502.51 mm², respectively. The hundred mass varied from 45.94 ± 0.22 to 151.66 ± 0.84 g. Lordi had so far the higher value for each parameter except sphericity (the least spherical variety) than other varieties. The least heavy, shorter diameter, small volume and surface area varieties were Hina followed by Hossere and Galaji. Seed testa and eye colours were also other variable traits in Bambara groundnut harvested in northern Cameroon (Table 5).

Table 4. Physical proprieties (mean $\pm$ SE) of ten Bambara groundnut varieties tested for their reaction to *Callosobruchus maculatus* attack

		Parameters				
SSt	Variety	100 GM (g)	GD (mm)	D.Sph.	Vol. (mm3)	GS (mm2)
RR	GG	$88.23 \pm 0.22^{\text{b}}$	$11.18 \pm 0.14^{\text{b}}$	$0.90 \pm 0.01^{\text{bc}}$	$748.60 \pm 26.29^{\text{b}}$	$363.51 \pm 8.80^{\text{b}}$
	Black eye	$58.10 \pm 0.08^{\text{fg}}$	$9.81 \pm 0.14^{\text{cd}}$	$0.91 \pm 0.00^{\text{ab}}$	$511.82 \pm 23.20^{\text{cd}}$	$284.12 \pm 8.79^{\text{cd}}$
	Galaji	$48.76 \pm 0.08^{\text{h}}$	$9.81 \pm 0.09^{\text{cd}}$	$0.90 \pm 0.01^{\text{bc}}$	$501.58 \pm 14.23^{\text{cd}}$	$279.66 \pm 5.44^{\text{cd}}$
	Cat eye	$63.88 \pm 0.33^{\text{e}}$	$9.86 \pm 0.11^{\text{cd}}$	$0.90 \pm 0.01^{\text{bc}}$	$512.58 \pm 17.84^{\text{cd}}$	$282.98 \pm 6.70^{\text{cd}}$
MS	Hossere	$52.92 \pm 0.49^{\text{f}}$	$9.39 \pm 0.11^{\text{de}}$	$0.91 \pm 0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$442.72 \pm 15.35^{\text{de}}$	$259.14 \pm 6.00^{\text{de}}$
	Lordi	$151.66 \pm 0.84^{\text{a}}$	$13.30 \pm 0.10^{\text{a}}$	$0.87 \pm 0.00^{\text{d}}$	$1245.43 \pm 27.90^{\text{a}}$	$502.51 \pm 7.77^{\text{a}}$
	Hina	$45.94 \pm 0.22^{\text{i}}$	$9.14 \pm 0.10^{\text{e}}$	$0.90 \pm 0.01^{\text{bc}}$	$406.83 \pm 12.83^{\text{e}}$	$242.59 \pm 4.83^{\text{e}}$
	Guidiguais	$82.11 \pm 1.04^{\text{c}}$	$11.15 \pm 0.11^{\text{b}}$	$0.91 \pm 0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$735.27 \pm 21.71^{\text{b}}$	$363.82 \pm 6.84^{\text{b}}$
SS	Batao	$56.35 \pm 0.37^{\text{g}}$	$10.09 \pm 0.12^{\text{c}}$	$0.88 \pm 0.01^{\text{cd}}$	$548.36 \pm 18.90^{\text{c}}$	$293.12 \pm 6.58^{\text{c}}$

VS	Kodek	69.61±0.49 ^d	11.51±0.09 ^b	0.93±0.00 ^a	805.58±18.68 ^b	393.02±5.60 ^b
	F	3634.03***	128.31***	9.05***	153.70***	134.02***

***: $P < 0.001$

Means within the column followed by the same small letter ('s) did not differ significantly at 5% level by Tukey test.

SSt: susceptibility status, GM: grain mass, GD: geometric diameter, D.Sph.: degree of sphericity, Vol.: volume, GA: geometric surface, RR: resistant, MS: moderately susceptible, SS: susceptible, VS: very susceptible, GG: Guerade guerlal

Table 5. Origin, locality of production and seed colour of ten Bambara groundnut varieties

Variety	Origin	Locality of production	Seed colour	Eyed colour
Kodek	Kossehone market, Mayo Tsanaga, Far North Region	Northern Cameroon	Cream	White
Guidiguis	Guidiguis	Far North and North Regions	Cream with Beige Red spots	White surrounded by a Beige Red band
Hossere	Kossehone market	Far North and North Regions	Cream	White surrounded by a Grey White patch
Hina	Hina market, Mayo Tsanaga, Far North Region	Far North and North Region	Salmon Orange	White
Batao	Rhumsiki, Mayo Tsanaga, Far North	Far North and North Region	Mahogany Brown	White
Lordi	Maroua market	Far North Region	Cream with numerous Agate Grey spots and longitudinal Orange	White surrounded by an Agate Grey band

Brown stripes				
Galaji	Mokolo market, Mayo Tsanaga, Far North Region	Far North and North Regions	Pale Brown with irregular Black Red spots	White
Table 5 continue				
Guerade guerlal	Guili	Bourha and Mogode Sub- Divisions	Agate Grey with numerous Black Red spots and two large ones on both extremities	White surrounded by an Agate Grey band
Cat eye	Ngong (North Region)	Far North and North Regions	Cream with Black Grey spots on both extremities	White surrounded by a Black Grey and an Agate Grey bands
Black eye	Ngong (North Region)	North Region	Cream with longitudinal Black Grey stripes	White surrounded by Black Grey

222 3.3. Biochemical profiles of varieties

223 Table 6 showed the chemical proximate composition of Bamabara groundnut seeds. The statistical
 224 analyses showed significant differences ($P < 0.001 - 0.01$) for all biochemical parameters studied.
 225 Hossere was the least moistened and other varieties had the same statistical means. Galaji, Lordi and
 226 Kodek respectively the resistant, susceptible and very susceptible varieties were recorded to have
 227 lower ash content, and Black eye the most resistant variety had the higher value. The quantity of total
 228 carbohydrates was high in general and varied from 59.32 to 72.17 %, respectively for Hossere and
 229 Lordi. Hossere, Kodek and Black eye were the same on their total carbohydrates basis; Lordi and
 230 Galaji constituted another group. The quantity of soluble sugar was very low except in Hossere and
 231 Black eye varieties. Lordi recorded the lower crude fiber content and other varieties had the statistical
 232 same value for this parameter. Protein content varied slightly among varieties ($17.32 \pm 0.03 \% -$
 233 $18.00 \pm 0.01 \%$). Varieties were different with respect to their fat content ($F_{6,14} = 7370.00$, $P < 0.001$)
 234 and values were relatively high for all varieties (6.31–7.59 %) except for Hossere (2.39 %).

235 Table 6. Chemical profiles (mean $\pm$ SE) of seven Bambara groundnut varieties tested for their reaction
 236 to *Callosobruchus maculatus* attack

Comp.	Varieties			
	Resistant		Moderately susceptible	
	Galaji	Black eye	Lordi	Cat eye

MC ¹	10.84 ± 0.24 ^A	10.01 ± 0.10 ^{AB}	10.81 ± 0.07 ^A	10.53 ± 0.34 ^{AB}
As ¹	3.08 ± 0.16 ^B	4.34 ± 0.67 ^A	3.10 ± 0.22 ^B	3.75 ± 0.03 ^{AB}
TS ¹	69,41 ± 1,42 ^{AB}	60,71 ± 1,65 ^C	72,17 ± 0,83 ^A	66,97 ± 1,60 ^B
SS ¹	1.99 ± 0.07 ^D	10.93 ± 0.23 ^A	0.56 ± 0.07 ^G	0.99 ± 0.10 ^F
CF ¹	6.59 ± 0.28 ^A	6.63 ± 0.27 ^A	4.42 ± 0.28 ^C	6.74 ± 0.18 ^A
Fat ¹	7.35 ± 0.08 ^B	7.20 ± 0.04 ^B	6.49 ± 0.03 ^C	7.59 ± 0.03 ^A
Pro ¹	17.42 ± 0.04 ^C	17.32 ± 0.03 ^C	18.00 ± 0.01 ^A	17.38 ± 0.01 ^C
Sap ²	36.16 ± 5.46 ^{AB}	21.90 ± 2.20 ^C	24.06 ± 5.57 ^{AB}	36.45 ± 5.50 ^A
TPP ²	17.12 ± 0.55 ^C	11.08 ± 0.21 ^E	9.97 ± 0.74 ^E	15.43 ± 0.21 ^D

237

238 Table 6 continue

Comp.	Varieties			
	Susceptible	Very susceptible		F-value
	Guidiguis	Hossere	Kodek	
MC ¹	10.59 ± 0.22 ^{AB}	9.05 ± 0.29 ^C	10.57 ± 0.09 ^{AB}	25.542 ^{***}
As ¹	3.43 ± 0.23 ^B	3.91 ± 0.27 ^{AB}	3.47 ± 0.15 ^B	6.568 ^{**}
TS ¹	55,66 ± 0,83 ^D	59,32 ± 1,66 ^{CD}	60,39 ± 2,18 ^C	47.236 ^{***}
SS ¹	5.37 ± 0.13 ^C	7.73 ± 0.13 ^B	1.51 ± 0.69 ^E	2936.00 ^{***}
CF ¹	5.19 ± 0.34 ^{BC}	5.97 ± 0.37 ^{AB}	6.00 ± 0.27 ^A	24.75 ^{***}
Fat ¹	6.31 ± 0.01 ^C	2.39 ± 0.05 ^E	6.95 ± 0.06 ^B	7370.00 ^{***}
Pro ¹	17.94 ± 0.05 ^A	17.60 ± 0.05 ^B	17.55 ± 0.03 ^B	182.284 ^{***}
Sap ²	38.38 ± 2.77 ^A	36.87 ± 4.37 ^A	33.36 ± 7.89 ^{AB}	5.05 ^{**}
TPP ²	23.18 ± 0.73 ^A	0.97 ± 0.21 ^F	21.30 ± 0.74 ^B	575.12 ^{***}

239 **: $P < 0.01$; ***: $P < 0.001$

240 Means in a line followed by the same letter(s) do not differ significantly at the 5% level by Tukey test

241 Comp: component, MC: moisture content, As: ash, TS: total sugar, SS: soluble sugar, CF: crud fiber,

242 Pro: protein, Sap: saponins, TPP: total polyphenolic compounds, DM: dried matter, 1: in g/100g DM,

243 2: in mg/1g DM

244 3.4. Relationship between physico-chemical characteristics of varieties and their 245 resistance to insect attack

Grain physical characteristics were not correlated with SI in both two agro-ecological zones (Table 7). Total carbohydrates and soluble sugars were negatively correlated with varietal resistance under SS conditions and total polyphenolic compounds positively correlated under SG conditions (Table 8).

Table 7. Correlation between physical properties of Bambara groundnut and susceptibility index (SI) of *Callosobruchus maculatus*

SI/	Physical properties				
Loc	Grain mass	Geometric diameter	Sphericity	Volume	Surface area
SS	0.037 ^{ns}	0.227 ^{ns}	–	0.140 ^{ns}	0.186 ^{ns}
SG	-0.076 ^{ns}	0.150 ^{ns}	–	0.110 ^{ns}	0.144 ^{ns}

^{ns}, not significant; Loc, locality; SS, Sudano-Sahelian zone; SG, Sudano-Guinean zone; –, the value of correlation was not determined due to constant value of sphericity

Table 8. Correlation between biochemical constituents of Bambara groundnut and susceptibility index (SI) of *Callosobruchus maculatus*

SI/	Biochemical constituents				
Loc	MC	Ash	TC	SS	Prot
SS	-0.36 ^{ns}	0.11 ^{ns}	-0.53 ^{**}	-0.47 [*]	0.24 ^{ns}
SG	0.23 ^{ns}	-0.33 ^{ns}	-0.29 ^{ns}	-0.05 ^{ns}	0.34 ^{ns}

Table 8 continue

SI/	Biochemical constituents			
Loc	Fat	CF	Sap	TPP
SS	-0.47 ^{ns}	-0.21 ^{ns}	0.25 ^{ns}	-0.01 ^{ns}
SG	0.144 ^{ns}	-0.29 ^{ns}	0.31 ^{ns}	0.52 [*]

^{ns}: not significant; *: $P < 0.05$; **: $P < 0.01$

Loc, locality; SS, Sudano-Sahelian zone; SG, Sudano-Guinean zone; MC, moisture content; TC, total carbohydrates; SS, soluble sugar; Prot, proteins; CF, crud fibers; Sap, saponins; TPP, total polyphenol

4. DISCUSSION

Significant differences among the ten genotypes of Bambara groundnut for seed physical properties indicated the diversity of varieties in pulse species. Variability for pulses physical properties was also

reported [27, 39– 42]. The physical properties of grains are necessary for the design of equipment to handle, transport, processing and store the crop [43] and farmers select for a wide range of characteristics (e.g. size, color, skin texture, shape, vigor, taste). Seed index (weight of 100 seeds) directly influences the productivity and determines grain quality for commercialization and also the seed vigor [39, 44]. Lordi, Guerade guerlal and Guidiguais varieties may be most valuable because of their high seed index and seed seize. In addition to their physical properties, chemical profiles of products are very important characteristics to be considered in the evaluation of food quality. The content of carbohydrates, proteins, lipid, crude fibers and ash for all the tested varieties, except the lipid content for Hossere were in the range reported [5]. Accordingly, these varieties may be used by rural farmers as supplements to cereals which are very poor in proteins and lipid. In fact, cereals are the basic diets of rural farmers in northern Cameroon as they are not able to offer themselves food not locally produced, and available legumes consumption with higher nutritional value may be necessary for their balance food.

In addition to their importance in assessment of food quality, physical and chemical properties of grains play an important role in the susceptibility to pest insect attack during storage. Thus, the suitability of legume species for infestation by its insect pest varies among the varieties [45–47] and variables such as adult emergence, developmental period and susceptibility index are the most reliable indicators for resistance of host plant to damage by its pest during storage [48,49].

The least infested Bambara groundnut varieties (i.e.: Galaji, Guerade guerlal and Black eye) termed as resistant to weevil attack may have inherent factors that result in less emerged *C. maculatus* adults than other (the very susceptible one) such as Kodek which lack these factors. The difference in number of adults that emerged were probably related to the number of eggs aborted and the number of larvae that survive to adulthood as a result of varieties variability. It has been suggested that variety that express resistance have physical or biochemical attributes that modify behavioral responses (xenobiosis) or that adversely affect development or survival of the pest insect species through metabolic aberrations (antibiosis) [45]; but in this study physical characteristic such as volume, surface area, sphericity, smoothness or curvature and color which varied among varieties did not influence the susceptibility of Bambara groundnut grains to *C. maculatus* either in SG or SS zones, in contrast to that reported for cowpea grains [49]. However, these physical characteristics are known to affect the number of eggs deposited by *Callosobruchus* spp [45, 50] which may be correlated with the rate of grain infestation. The number of eggs deposited was not recorded in our study. In fact, the number of eggs laid by an insect is less important than the proportion of adults that emerged from these eggs in its influence on the rate of multiplication [51]. Hence, this factor of physical resistance may have little interest. However, the thickness and hardness of the seed coat may be partially responsible for differences among varieties with respect to the number of cowpea weevil that emerged. The seed coat, a mechanical barrier may make it difficult for eggs to adhere to the seed or prevent the larva's penetration into the seed when they are hatched [52]. The probably thick seed coat of Batao was very hard in the dry weather conditions of SS zone and this may more prevented larva's penetration into the seed than in SG zone. Thus, beyond some level of seed coat thickness and hardness it may be not possible for larva to bore into the seed cotyledon. It is also reasonable to speculate that the difference in number of *C. maculatus* observed among these varieties are perhaps due mainly to difference in their genetic or biochemical characteristics. Total carbohydrates content may interact with environmental conditions and impart resistance to *C. maculatus* in the SS zone while total polyphenolic compounds may be suitable for insect development in SG zone, in contrast to some findings reported in the literature [53–56]. Indeed, the non-digested oligosaccharides such as raffinose, stachyose and verbascose were reported to have negative effect on herbivores [57] and their quantity may be higher in resistant varieties. Correlation between the moisture content, ash content, proteins, fat and crude fibers and the infestation rate was not significant as reported by Akintola and Oyegoke [51]. In contrast positive correlation between the primary metabolites and the susceptibility of cowpea seeds to *C. maculatus* attack was reported [58]. In fact, evaluating only one or two plant seed components cannot provide an effective way of checking the degree of resistance towards storage pests [59]. Tannic acid the principal anti-nutritional fraction of total polyphenols [57] was not detected in our investigation, except in Galaji variety. The resistance of that variety either in SS or in SG agro-ecological zones may be partially attributed to this anti-nutritional compound and probably to other secondary metabolites such as steroids and triterpenoids which were abundant. There have been suggested that the presence of anti-metabolic compounds in seeds have been associated with resistance to insect attack [58, 60]. Further investigations on insecticidal trypsin and α -amylase inhibitors are required to elucidate well more factors responsible for resistance of screened Bambara groundnut varieties in this study.

Under the same experimental conditions the difference in the average duration of the development time of *C. maculatus* observed may be linked to the toxic factors that may display less or more anti-mitotic activity by inhibiting the growth of cells as previously reported [61]. Anti-metabolic compounds may act in two different ways: some may display anti-mitotic activity and hence delay the time of insect pest development without killing them, while other may kill the larvae of their pest without having anti-mitotic activity. Thus, Hossere which recorded higher number of emerged adults and mean developmental period than Guerade guerlal could have anti-mitotic toxicants in its composition while the last one could have non anti-mitotic with larvicidal properties. Both reduction in the number of progeny production and the increase of elapse time from egg to adult emergence might increase the tolerance of a variety to the pest. The interactions between genotype and environment may result in specific or same variety responses to bruchids attack. The longer elapse time of insect development under SG zone than under SS may be explained by both differences in temperature and relative humidity between the two zones. Previous studies [18, 19] indicate that temperature and relative humidity are the most important variables influencing generation times (egg to adult) when beetles are raised on preferred host beans and temperature of 35°C is optimum for egg-laying and progeny development in *C. maculatus*. Thus, within a limited range, increasing temperature will decrease the generation time.

The susceptibility of legume seed to insect pest attack is associated to successfulness of insect growth and to elapse time from egg to adult (generation time). Variety that allows higher percentage adult emergence and short developmental period may suffer from heavy damage; this one that allows less percentage adult emergence and short generation time may suffer from moderate damage.

5. CONCLUSION

From the results of the present finding only two (Galaji and Black eye) and three (Guerade guerlal, Galaji and Black eye) varieties were resistant to bruchids attack respectively in SS zone and SG zone, of the ten varieties tested. Seed legumes suffer from heavy damage by cowpea weevil attack during storage in SS than in SG zone of Cameroon (Northern Cameroon). Nevertheless, Galaji and Black eye may be recommended for relatively longer storage to achieve the goal of long term and sustainable pest management strategies in Northern Cameroon in general.

REFERENCES

1. PROTA. Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 1. In Cereals and Pulses; 2006.
2. Heuzé V, Tran G. Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) seeds. Feedipedia.org. A programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO; 2013.
Available: <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/530>.
3. AGRI-STAT. Annuaire des Statistiques du Secteur Agricole Campagnes 2007/ 2008, Cameroun. 2010; 16: 98 p. French.
4. Omoikhoje SO. Assessment of the nutritive value of Bambara groundnut as influenced by cooking time. Livest Res Rural Develop. 2008; 20 (4).
Available: <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/4/omoi20055.htm>
5. Murevanhema YY, Jideani VA. Potential of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc) milk as a probiotic beverage-a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nut. 2013; 53(9): 954–967.
6. Nyamador SW. Influence des traitements à base d'huiles essentielles sur les capacités de reproduction de *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* pic. et de *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae): mecanisme d'action de l'huile essentielle de *Cymbopogon giganteus* chiov. Thèse de Doctorat, Université de Lomé, Togo. 2009 : 177 p. French.
7. Magagula CN, Maina YT. Activity of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on selected Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L. Verdc.) landraces and breeding lines. J Biol Agric Healthcare. 2012; 2(3): 84–91.

- 376 8. Kouninki H, Sobda G, Nukenine NE. Screening of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) lines for
377 *Callosobruchus maculatus* resistance in the Far North Region of Cameroon. J Renew Agric. 2014;
378 2(1): 18–22.
- 379 9. Douma A, Liman AI, Toudou A, Alzouma I. Comportement de vingt variétés de niébé (*Vigna*
380 *unguiculata* (L.) Walp) vis-à-vis de *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Cahier
381 Agric. 2006; 15(2): 187–193. French.
- 382 10. IITA (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture). Annual Report 1988/89. Ibadan Nigeria. 1989.
- 383 11. Ali SM, Mahgoub SM, Hamed MS, Gharib MSA. Infestation potential of *Callosobruchus chinensis*
384 and *C. maculatus* on certain broad bean seed varieties. Egyp J Agric Res. 2004; 82: 1127–1135.
- 385 12. Kosini D, Nukenine EN, Tofel KH. Efficacy of Cameroonian *Ocimum canum* Sims (Lamiaceae)
386 leaf extract fractions against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), infesting
387 Bambara groundnut. J Entomol Zool Stud. 2015; 3(5): 487–494.
- 388 13. Yallappa R, Nandagopal B, Thimmappa S. Botanicals as Grain Protectants. Hindawi Publishing
389 Corporation Psyche 2012. 2012: 13 p/doi:10.1155/2012/646740.
- 390 14. Wheeler WB. Role of Research and Regulation in 50 Years of Pest Management in Agriculture. J
391 Agric Food Chem. 2002; 50: 4151–4155.
- 392 15. Abdulahi N, Majeed Q. Evaluation of the efficacy of *Vitalleria paradoxa* seed powder on the
393 oviposition egg viability and mortality of *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. Coleoptera: Bruchidae on
394 treated cowpea seeds. Afr J Gen Agric. 2010; 6(4): 289–293.
- 395 16. Lale NES, Ajayi FA. Susceptibility of unprotected seeds and seeds of local Bambara groundnut
396 cultivars protected with insecticidal essential oils to infestation by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.)
397 (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J Stored Prod Res. 2001; 37: 47–62.
- 398 17. Ndong A, Kébé Kh, Thiaw Ch, Diome T, Sembène M. Genetic Distribution of the Cowpea (*Vigna*
399 *unguiculata* (L.)Walp) Bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus* F., Coleoptera, Bruchidae) Populations in
400 Different Agro-Ecological Areas of West Africa. J Anim Sci Adv. 2012; 2(7): 616–630.
- 401 18. Lale NES, Vidal S. Effect of constant temperature and humidity on oviposition and development of
402 *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) and *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic) on Bambara groundnut, *Vigna*
403 *subterranea* (L.) Verdcourt. J Stored prod Res. 2003; 39(5): 459–47.
- 404 19. Ouedraogo PA, Sou S, Sanon A, Monge JP, Huignard J, Tran B et al. Influence of temperature
405 and humidity on populations of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and its parasitoid
406 *Dinarmus basalis* (Pteromalidae) in two climatic zones of Burkina Faso. Bull Entomol Res. 1996;
407 86(6): 695–702.
- 408 20. AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation). Recueil des normes françaises des produits
409 dérivés des fruits et légumes. Jus de fruits. 1ère édition, Paris (France). 1982; 27 p. French.
- 410 21. Fox CW, Reed DH. Inbreeding depression increases with environmental stress: an experimental
411 study and meta-analysis. Evolution. 2011; 65(1): 246–258.
- 412 22. Taylor TA. Population dynamics of *Taeniothrips sjostedti* (Tribom) (Thrisanoptera: Thripidae) on
413 cowpea and alternate host, *Centrosema pubescens* Benth. in Nigeria. Rev Zool Afr. 1974; 88: 689–
414 702.
- 415 23. Dobie P. The laboratory assessment of the inherent susceptibility of maize varieties to post-
416 harvest infestation by *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera, Curculionidae). J stored Prod Res.
417 1974; 10: 183–197.
- 418 24. Dobie P. The contributions of topical stored products centre to the study of insect resistance in
419 stored maize. Trop Stored Prod Inform. 1977; 3: 7–22.
- 420 25. Mohammad RS, Reza A, Akram A, Abbas A. Moisture-Depend Physical Properties of Safflower
421 (Goldasht). Adv J Food Sci Techn. 2010; 2(6): 340–345.
- 422 26. Unal H, Isik E, Alpsoy HC. Some physical and mechanical properties of black eyed pea (*Vigna*
423 *unguiculata* L) grains. Pak J Biol Sci. 2006; 9(9): 1797–1806.

- 424 27. Noubissié JBT, Bell JM, Ngakeu DF, Njintang NY, Youmbi E. Diallel analysis of cowpea (*Vigna*
425 *unguiculata* (L.)Walp.) for some physical properties of seed under the Sudano-guinean conditions.
426 Agric Biol J North Am. 2011; 2(4): 698–707.
- 427 28. Moshsein NN. Physical properties of plant and animal materials. 2nd ed. Gordon and Breach
428 Science Publishers, New York, USA; 1986.
- 429 29. Jain RK, Bal S. Physical properties of pearl millet. J Agric Eng Res. 1997; 66: 85–91.
- 430 30. AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation). Recueil de normes françaises. Corps gras,
431 graines oléagineuses, produits dérivés. 2^e éd., Paris (France) ; 1981. French.
- 432 31. AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation). Recueil de normes françaises. Produits
433 agricoles alimentaires: directives générales pour le dosage de l'azote avec minéralisation selon la
434 méthode de Kjeldahl. AFNOR, Paris (France); 1984. French.
- 435 32. Devani MB, Shishoo JC, Shal SA, Suhagia BN. Spectrophotometrical method for determination of
436 nitrogen in Kjeldahl digest. JAOAC. 1989; 72: 953–956.
- 437 33. UICPA (Union Internationale de Chimie Pure et Appliquée). Méthode d'analyse des matières
438 grasses; sixième édition, 1989; 640 p. French.
- 439 34. Wolff JP. Manuel d'analyse des corps gras; Azoulay éd., Paris, 1968 ; 519 p. French.
- 440 35. Makkar HPS, Blummel M, Borowy NK, Becker K. Gravimetric determination of tannins and their
441 correlations with chemical and protein precipitation methods. J Sci Food Agric. 1993; 61: 161–165.
- 442 36. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods. 1st ed. Chapman and Hall, London, 1973; 273 p.
- 443 37. Adeniyi SA, Orjiekwe CL, Ehiagbonare JE, Arimah BD. Preliminary phytochemical analysis and
444 insecticidal activity of ethanolic extracts of four tropical plants (*Vernonia amygdalina*, *Sida acuta*,
445 *Ocimum gratissimum* and *Telfaria occidentalis*) against beans weevil (*Acanthscelides obtectus*). Int J
446 of Phys Sci. 2010; 5(6): 753–762.
- 447 38. SAS. The SAS system version 9.1 for windows. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA; 2003.
- 448 39. Sarwar M. Assessment of resistance to the attack of bean beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus*
449 (Fabricius) in chickpea genotypes on the basis of various parameters during storage. Songklanakarin
450 J Sci Techn. 2012; 34 (3): 287–291.
- 451 40. Lopes FCC, Gomes RLF, Filho FRF. Genetic control of cowpea seed sizes. Scientia Agricola.
452 2003; 60 (2): 315–318.
- 453 41. Kabas O, Yilmaz E, Ozmerzi A, Akinci I. Some physical and nutritional properties of cowpea seed
454 (*Vigna sinensis* L). J Food Eng. 2007; 79: 1405–1409.
- 455 42. Yalcin I, Ozarslan C. Physical properties of vetch seed. Biosyst Eng. 2004; 88(4): 507–512.
- 456 43. Kaptso KG. Potentiel technologique des farines de niébé (*Vigna unguiculata*) et de voandzou
457 (*Vigna subterranea*) pour la préparation du koki (gâteau de pâte cuite à la vapeur). Thèse de
458 Doctorat/PhD, Département de Sciences Alimentaires et Nutrition de l'Ecole Nationale Supérieure des
459 Sciences Agro-Industrielles (ENSAI) de l'Université de Ngaoundéré Cameroun. 2008; 298 p. French.
- 460 44. Baryeh EA. Physical properties of Bambara groundnuts. J Food and Eng. 2001; 47: 321–326.
- 461 45. Amusa OD, Ogunkanmi AL, Bolarinwa K, Ojobo O. Evaluation of Four Cowpea Lines for Bruchid
462 (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) Tolerance. J Nat Sci Res. 2013; 3(13): 46–52.
- 463 46. Badii KB, Asante SK, Sowley ENK. Varietal Susceptibility of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) to the
464 storage Beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Int J Sci Techn Res. 2013;
465 2(4): 82–89.
- 466 47. Redden RJ, McGuire J. The genetic evaluation of bruchid resistance in seeds of cowpea. Aust J
467 Agric Res. 1983; 34: 707–715.
- 468 48. Jackai LEN, Asante SK. A case for the standardization of protocols used in screening cowpea,
469 *Vigna unguiculata* for resistance to *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J Stored
470 Prod Res. 2003; 39: 251–263.
- 471 49. Mogbo TC, Okeke TE, Akunne CE. Studies on the Resistance of Cowpea Seeds (*Vigna*
472 *unguiculata*) to Weevil (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) Infestations. Am J Zool Res. 2014; 2(2): 37–40.

- 473 50. Swella GB, Mushobozy DMK. Comparative susceptibility of different legume seeds to infestation
474 by cowpea bruchids *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Plan Prot Sci.* 2009;
475 45: 19–24.
- 476 51. Addo-Bediako A, Lephale S, Ayodele V. Susceptibility of seven cowpea cultivars (*Vigna*
477 *unguiculata*) to cowpea beetle (*Callosobruchus maculatus*). *Agric Sci Res J.* 2012; 2(2): 65–69.
- 478 52. Abdel-Sabour GAK, Obiadalla HA, Abd El-Rehim KA. Genetic and Chemical Analysis of Seed
479 Coat of Cowpea and Bean Cultivars in Relation with Resistance to Weevil Pest. *J Gen Eng Biotech.*
480 2009; 7(2): 17–25.
- 481 53. Adeyemi MMH. The potential of secondary metabolites in plant material as deterrents against
482 insect pests: A review. *Afr J Pure Appl Chem.* 2010; 4(11): 243–246.
- 483 54. Adeyemi MMH. A Review of Secondary Metabolites from Plant Materials for Post-harvest Storage.
484 *Int J Pure Appl Sci Techn.* 2011; 6(2): 94–102.
- 485 55. Jabanesan A. Secondary metabolites of plant used in pest control. *Int J Current Mol Res.* 2013;
486 1(1): 12–15.
- 487 56. Pellegrini N, N'Dri Yao D, Kouassi KN, Erba D, Scazzina F, Casiraghi MC. Nutritive Evaluation of
488 the Bambara Groundnut Ci12 Landrace [*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc. (Fabaceae)] Produced in Côte
489 d'Ivoire. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015; 16: 21428–21441.
- 490 57. Phillips RD, McWatters KH, Chinnan MS, Hung YC, Beuchat LR, Sefa-Dedeh S et al. Utilisation of
491 cowpeas for human food. *Field Crops Res.* 2003; 82: 193–213.
- 492 58. Venugopal KJ, Janarthanan S, Ignacimuthu S. Resistance of legume seeds to the bruchid,
493 *Callosobruchus maculatus*: Metabolites relationship. *Ind J Exp Biol.* 2000; 38: 471–476.
- 494 59. Piergiovanni AR, Sonnante G, Della Gatta C, Perrino P. Digestive enzyme inhibitors and storage
495 pest resistance in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) seeds. *Euphytica.* 1991; 54: 191–194.
- 496 60. Gbaye OA, Millard JC, Holloway GJ. Synergistic effects of geographical strain, temperature and
497 larval food on insecticide tolerance in *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). *J Appl Entomol.* 2012; 136:
498 282–291.
- 499 61. Jamil K, Rani U, Thyagarajan G. Water hyacinth—a potential new juvenile hormone mimic. *Int Pest*
500 *Control.* 1984; 26(4): 106–108.

501