

THE EFFICACY OF ZINGIBER OFFICINALE (GINGER-ZINGIBERACEAE) CRUDE EXTRACTS APPLIED AS INDIVIDUAL AND MIXED WITH DENNETTIA TRIPETALA (PEPPERFRUIT-ANNONACEAE) AGAINST MUSCA DOMESTICA (HOUSEFLY) LARVAE.

Abstract

Aims: To evaluate the efficacy of *Zingiber officinale* applied individually and mixed with *Dennettia tripetala* in equal proportions; their insecticidal strength was also compared using dipping and feeding techniques.

Methodology: Insects were cultured in cages (30cmx30cmx30cm) built with wood, nets and gauze at the Department of Animal and Environmental Biology Laboratory. Freshly emerged larvae (1 day old) were used for the bioassay. The cages were placed on plastic stands which contained engine oil to prevent infestation of other insects and mites. 20 larvae each, were transferred into petri dishes containing larvae food (2ml of powdered milk mixed with distilled water) using fine art brush. The plants (*Z. officinale*) rhizome *D. tripetala* fruits were purchased from the market. *Z. officinale* rhizome was sliced into tiny pieces while *D. tripetala* skin was peeled off to obtain the seeds. They were dried at ambient temperature ($28 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$) for four weeks, afterwards they were grounded with an electric blender (Philips) and sieved with 0.1mm mesh size sieve to obtain fine powder which was stored in an airtight container to prevent the active ingredients from evaporating and kept in a cupboard until the time for use. The crude extracts were obtained by homogenizing 10g of dust (plant sample) with 100ml (80/20) of solvents (v/v) (hydro-ethanol) and left for 24 hours thereafter, it was filtered with a filter paper and the residue (active compound) was evaporated over a water bath at 40°C . To obtain the final concentration; the extracts were dissolved in a known volume of distilled water; to obtain 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000ppm, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 mg of extract was dissolved in a ml of distilled water. Mortality and means were monitored every 12 hours for 72 hours. The experiment was replicated twice with distilled water serving as control. The toxicity was also tested using dipping and feeding techniques.

Result: Shows the mortality observed within a period of 72 hours, percent mortality and probit mortality of *Z. officinale* on *M. domestica* larvae using dipping technique. The result shows that mortality was significant ($P < 0.05$) as concentrations increased from 200-1000ppm but mortality was not significant as duration of exposure increased from 12-72 hours. The LC_{50} and LT_{50} are 0.4898 mg/ml and 40 hours. No mortality was observed when the insects were fed with crude extracts but they (insect larvae) were weakened (their movement was sluggish and they did not pupate. when *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala* were mixed in equal proportions using dipping and feeding techniques. Mortality was generally significant ($p < 0.05$) as both concentrations and duration of exposure increased. When the insects were dipped in crude extract and when they were fed with crude extract. When they were dipped in crude extract, a LC_{50} and LT_{50} of 0.2754 mg/ml and 30 hours was obtained while LC_{50} and LT_{50} of 0.4786 mg/ml and 44 hours was obtained when they were fed with crude extract.

Conclusion: This result showed that plant extracts used for this study could be promising bioinsecticide especially when mixed in equal proportion and may be considered in integrated pest management.

Introduction: Housefly is one of the most common household insect pests. It feeds on human food thereby transmitting disease to man and livestock since it is a vector of many diseases such as typhoid, cholera, dysentery, salmonella, etc [1,2]. It also transmits the eggs of parasitic worms hence Houseflies are seen as carriers of easily communicable disease. Diseases are found on the legs and hairs covering the body of houseflies. They come in contact with these pathogens when females lay their eggs on decaying organic matter. Houseflies transmit these pathogens to food substance or any surface they come in contact with within seconds. They are able to transmit these diseases because they liquefy solid food before feeding on them as they have sucking mouthparts and people get infested when they feed on it. Their presence in homes (around man) is irritating as they are considered as unhygienic insects [1]. They tend to disturb men and livestock during leisure and work periods [3]. Eliminating this insect pest totally maybe difficult, reducing its population below economic threshold and stopping its contact with human and livestock food and water is essential and a step to make homes and environment hygienic. Chemical insecticides which are usually used in controlling housefly [3] have great adverse effects on the ecosystem at large, as their residues left in the environment are hazardous. Chemical insecticides also make the insect pest become resistant [4,5]. Thus researchers are in continuous search of alternative methods for controlling insect pests. Plants have been used in medicine even before man understood the cause of infectious disease [6] this has led to the use of bio insecticides in controlling insect pest because they are better alternatives to chemical insecticides. Ginger, *Zingiber officinale* Roscoe is a flowering plant in the family Zingiberaceae whose rhizome or simply ginger is widely used as spice. It has gingerols and shogaol as its active constituents amongst other compounds [7] which suffocate insects. *Dennettia tripetala* (pepper fruit) is an indigenous medicinal fruit tree of the family Annonaceae known to have β -phenylnitroethane (a nitro compound) as the compound responsible for its high insecticidal activity [8]. There are many reports on the use of bioinsecticides in controlling housefly such the work of [9], [10, 11, 12, 13, 14,15] but less research has been done on the comparison of plant extracts applied individually and mixed in equal proportions. Thus, this work will be evaluating the efficacy of *Z. officinale* applied individually and mixed with *D.tripetala* in equal proportions; their insecticidal strength will also be compared using dipping and feeding techniques.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect collection and culture

Insects were collected near a garbage site by placing fresh fish in a small plastic plate inside the rearing cages. The fish served as a culture medium for laying eggs and larvae development. The cage (30cmx30cmx30cm) was built with wood, nets and wire gauze. A wooden door was fixed by its side by its side, and the floor was made with plywood. Freshly emerged larvae (1 day old) were used for the bioassay. The cage was placed on plastic stands which contained engine oil to prevent infestation of other insects and mites [3]. The larvae was transferred into petri dishes containing larvae food (2ml of powdered milk mixed with distilled water) using fine art brush. This procedure was adopted from [3, 16, 17] with some modifications.

Preparation of test plant materials

The plants used for this study were purchased from a local market in Ukwuani Local Government area of Delta State and identified as *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala* by a Botanist in the Department of Botany Delta State University, Abraka. *Z. officinale* rhizome was sliced into tiny pieces while *D. tripetala* skin was peeled off to obtain the seeds which were used for this study. They were dried at ambient temperature ($28\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$) for four weeks, afterwards ground with an electric blender (Philips) and sieved with 0.1mm mesh size sieve to obtain fine powder. It was stored in an airtight

container to prevent the active ingredients from evaporating and kept in a cupboard until the time for use. This procedure was adopted from [18,17] with slight modifications.

Preparation of plant crude extract

The crude extracts were obtained by homogenizing 10g of dust (plant sample) with 100ml (80/20) of solvents (v/v) (hydro-ethanol) and left for 24 hours thereafter, it was filtered with a filter paper and the residue (active compound) was evaporated over a water bath at 40°C. To obtain the final concentration; the extracts were dissolved in a known volume of distilled water; for example: 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000ppm was obtained by dissolving 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 mg of extract in a ml of distilled water. This method was adopted from (9)

133 Larvicidal Bioassay

134

135 Mortality of larvae were monitored and recorded every 12 hours for 72 hours . Two replicates
136 of each concentration were made and the mean values were taken as the final result. Each group
137 had 20 larvae and distilled water was used as control experiment. The toxicity of crude extracts
138 was tested by these methods:

139

140 Dipping Technique

141

142 The larvae of each group were carefully dipped into various concentrations of crude extracts with
143 a dip net and allowed to stand in it for 40 seconds, and then introduced into petri dish with food
144 (2ml of powdered milk mixed with distilled water).

145

146 Feeding technique

147

148 Various concentrations of the crude extract were added to larvae food (2ml of powdered milk
149 mixed with distilled water) in petri dish and the larvae were introduced into the petri dish. This
150 method used was adopted from [9] with a slight modification.

156 Statistical analysis of Data

157

158 The results obtained were recorded and statistical analysis was performed using two-way
159 ANOVA (Ms Excel), Descriptive statistics and Probit Analysis (SARS).

160

161 Results

162

163 Table 1 shows the mortality observed within a period of 72 hours, percentage mortality and
164 probit mortality of *Z. officinale* on *M. domestica* larvae using dipping technique. The result
165 shows that mortality was significant ($P<0.05$) as concentrations increased from 200-1000ppm
166 but mortality was not significant as duration of exposure increased from 12-72 hours. The LC_{50}
167 and LT_{50} were 0.4898 mg/ml and 40 hours (Figures 1&2). No mortality was observed when
168 the insects were fed with crude extracts but they (insect larvae) were weakened (their movement
169 was sluggish and did not pupate. Tables 2 and 3 shows the results obtained when *Z. officinale*
170 and *D. tripetala* were mixed in equal proportions using dipping and feeding techniques.
171 Mortality was generally significant($p<0.05$) as concentrations increased from 200-1000ppm.
172 There was also significant difference($p<0.05$) in the mortality as the duration of exposure
173 increased from 12 to 72hrs. These significant differences were obtained when the insects
174 were dipped and fed in crude extract. LC_{50} and LT_{50} of 0.2754 mg/ml and 30 hours was
175 obtained (Figures 3 and 4) when they were dipped while LC_{50} and LT_{50} of 0.4786 mg/ml and 44
176 hours was obtained when they were fed with crude extract (Figures 5 and 6).

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

191
192
193
194

195 Table 1: Percent mortality and probit mortality of *M. domestica* larvae exposed to *Z. officinale*
196 for up to 72 hours by dipping
197 method

201	Conc	Log	Period of Exposure (hours)							Percent		Probit
207	mg/ml of	Conc								mortality	Mean	Mortality
208												
209	H ₂ O		12	24	36	48	60	72	after 72hr	after 72hrs		
213	0.2	-0.6690	0	1	2	2	2	2	45	1.5		4.87
217	0.4	-0.3974	2	2	4	2	1	2	65	2.167		5.39
221	0.6	-0.2218	2	3	2	3	2	3	75	2.5		5.67
225	0.8	-0.0969	2	3	2	3	3	5	90	3		6.28
229	1.0	0.0000	3	3	4	3	3	4	100	3.33		8.71

235
236

237 P = 0.00776 (concentrations)
238 P = 0.299727 (duration of exposure)




Figure 1: Relationship between probit mortality of housefly larvae and logarithm concentration to give ml concentration at (antilog) LC_{50} when larvae were dipped in *Z. officinale*.




Figure 2: Relationship between probit mortality of housefly larvae and duration of exposure period to give time at LT_{50} when larvae were dipped in *Z. officinale*.

Table 2: Percent mortality and probit mortality of *M. domestica* larvae exposed to *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala* in equal proportions for 72 hours by dipping method [19]

Conc mg/ml of	Log conc	Period of Exposure(hours)						percent mortality after 72 hrs	Mean after 72hrs	Probit Mortality
		12	24	36	48	60	72			
H ₂ O										
0.2	-0.6690	0	0	2	2	3	3	50	1.667	5.00
0.4	-0.3974	0	1	2	3	4	3	65	2.1667	5.39
0.6	-0.2218	1	2	3	4	4	1	75	2.5	5.67
0.8	-0.0969	2	3	4	4	3	3	95	3.1667	6.64
1.0	0.0000	2	4	3	5	3	3	100	3.333	8.71
P = 0.02								P=0.001(exposure period)		




Figure 3: Relationship between probit mortality of housefly larvae and logarithm concentration to give ml concentration at (antilog) LC₅₀ when larvae were dipped in crude extracts of *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala*. [19]




Figure 4: Relationship between probit mortality of housefly larvae and duration of exposure to give LT_{50} when larvae were dipped in crude extracts of *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala*. [19]

Table 3: Percent mortality and probit mortality of *M. domestica* larvae exposed to *D. tripetala* and *Z. officinale* in equal proportion for 72 hours by feeding method [19]

Conc	Log	period of exposure(hours)							Percent	Probit
mg/ml of	conc								Mortality	Mortality
H ₂ O		12	24	36	48	60	72	after 72hrs	after 72hrs	
0.2	-0.6690	0	1	1	0	0	2	20	0.667	4.16
0.4	-0.3974	0	3	0	2	0	0	25	0.833	4.33
0.6	-0.2218	2	4	0	4	2	0	60	2	5.25
0.8	-0.0969	4	5	5	2	0	0	80	2.667	5.84
1.0	0.0000	5	5	4	3	0	0	85	2.833	6.04

P = 0.05 (concentrations)

P = 0.02 (duration of exposure)




Figure 5: Relationship between probit mortality of housefly larvae and logarithm concentration to give ml concentration at (antilog) LC_{50} when larvae were fed with *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala* in equal proportions [19].




Figure 6: Relationship between probit mortality of housefly larvae and exposure period to give LT_{50} when larvae were fed with *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala* in equal proportions [19]

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

From the results obtained, crude extracts of *Z. officinale* and *D. tripetala* are toxic to freshly emerged larvae (a day old) of *M. domestica*. The high insecticidal activity and post application effect of these crude extracts are as results of their constituent compounds; the volatile nature of the constituent compound could have also increased larvae mortality and insecticidal activities. It was also observed that test plant materials may have had synergistic effects when they were mixed in equal proportions which led to its lower LC₅₀ and LT₅₀. Similar findings have also shown that when extracts are mixed together, there may be synergistic effects. [20] reported that *Piper guineense* and *Zingiber officinale* had additive effects against *Callosobruchus maculatus* when mixed in a 50:50 proportion. [18] also reported that *C. citratus* and *O. suave* had synergistic effects against *C. maculatus* in cowpea when they were mixed in a 60:40 proportion. [21] reported that when the mixture of fruit powders of *Piper guineense* and *Dennettia tripetala* in equal proportion (50:50) were used to treat *C. maculatus*, there was additive effects which significantly caused their mortality, reduced their oviposition and adult emerge. [17] reported that *Ocimum suave* (wild basil) leaf oil caused mortality on housefly and had a LC₅₀ value of 0.09ml/50ml of water and LT₅₀ value of 4.40 hours when they studied toxicity of *Ocimum suave* leaf oil on adult housefly. They also reported that there was significant difference (P<0.05) as both concentrations increased from 0.05-0.20ml/50ml of water and duration of exposure increased from 1 to 6 hours. [10] also reported that the LT₅₀ and LC₅₀ values of *S. aromaticum* oil is 27.05 hours and 9.83% while *C. nardus* oil has LT₅₀ value of 38.99 hours and LC₅₀ value of 13.60% and *C. odorata* oil has LT₅₀ value of 52.08 hours and LC₅₀ value of 29.36% respectively when he evaluated larvicidal and oviposition deterrent activities of essential oils against housefly

Dipping technique was observed to cause higher mortality than feeding technique as shown in the results obtained, this could be because larvae inhaled the extracts which may have acted as fumigants and contact poison to cause mortality. The insecticidal potency of the extracts may have reduced when the food (mixed with plant extracts) came in contact with the digestive enzymes in the larvae. The larvae may have also been repelled and may not have fed on the food (mixed with plant extracts). This might be the reason why the dipping technique caused more mortality and had lower LC₅₀ when compared to the feeding method. This result is in accordance with the result obtained by [19] when they compared the efficacy of feeding and dipping housefly larvae in crude extracts of *D. tripetala* and *Z. officinale*. [20] also treated housefly larvae with *Citrullus colocynthis* (50% and 10%) using dipping and feeding method and observed that dipping the insects in crude extracts caused more mortality and effects on larvicidal activity. [22] also observed that dipping houseflies in crude extracts of Chinese star anise fruits were very effective in causing mortality and reducing development, this is contrary to the observation of [23] when they carried out potential studies of non-conventional chemicals against housefly larva; their study showed that feeding method caused more effects on larvicidal activity than when they were dipped in chemicals. The difference in results could be because of crude extracts differential mode of action and their effective concentration.

[9], observed that *Citrullus colocynthis* with 50% concentration against *M. domestica* larvae caused larva mortality, pupa mortality and inhibit adult emergence. [16], observed that *Mentha piperita* and *lavandula angustifolia* essential oils have great insecticidal effect on *M. domestica* larvae; the extracts caused mortality, prolonged larva and pupa duration and reduced adult emergence. [24] reported that *Calotropis procera*, *Piper longum* and *Polygonum hydropiper* had synergistic (additive) effects against *M. domestica* larvae and seven vital life-history traits of *M. domestica* which include fecundity, percent egg hatch, larva duration, numbers of pupae and adults, female ratio and adult longevity. [11] evaluated the effect of Sweet Flag Rhizome (*Acorus calamus*) extract for Biological activity against housefly and observed that the extract caused high mortality against housefly and can be used as an alternative source for the control of houseflies. From the results obtained, plant extracts were toxic especially when mixed in equal proportions (50:50), thus they can be considered as bioinsecticides and could be useful in integrated pest management (IPM) as the results obtained are promising. Although, bioinsecticides may not be as active as synthetic insecticides and do not act as fast as them, they are better alternatives because they are cost effective, environmental friendly and readily available. Bioinsecticides are prophylactic measure of protection against insects.

REFERENCES

- 1 Keiding, J. (1986). Division of Vector Biology and Control. *J. World Health Organisation*
- 2 Palacios, S. M., Bertoni, A., Rossi, Y., Santander, R. and Alejandro, U. (2009). Efficacy of Essential Oils from Edible Plants as Insecticides against the House fly, *Musca domestica* L. *Molecules* **14**, 1938-1947
- 3 Bisseleua H. B. D. ., Gbewonyo, S. W. K. and Obeng-Ofori, D. (2008). Toxicity, Growth Regulatory and Repellent Activities of Medicinal Plant Extracts on *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae) . *African Journal of Biotechnology* **7**(24), 4635-4642
- 4 Scott, J. G., Alefantis T. G., Kaufman, P. E. and Rutz, D.A. (2000). Insecticide resistance in houseflies from cage-layer poultry facilities. *Pest Management Science* **56**: 147-153
- 5 Shono, T. and Scott, J. G. (2003). Spinosad resistance in the housefly, *Musca domestica*, is due to a recessive factor on autosome 1. *Pest Biochem Physiol.* **75**:1-7
- 6 El-Sherbini, T.G. and Hanykamel O.N. (2014). Insecticidal Effects of *Fortunella crassifolia* Essential oil used against Housefly (*Musca domestica*). *Int. J. Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **3**(6), 1-9.
- 7 Gosh, A.K. (2011). *Zingiber officinale* a Natural Gold. *International Journal of Pharma and Biosciences* **2**(1), 283-294.
- 8 Anyaele, O.O. and Amusan, A.A.S. (2003). Toxicity of Hexanolic Extract of *Dennettia tripetala* (G. Baxa) on Larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (L). *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, **6**, 49-53.

762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779

786
787
788

820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847

- 9 Asid, A. N., Al-Ghamdi, Kh. M., Mamdouh, I. N. and Mangoud, A. (2015). Assessment of Bitter Apple, *Citrullus colocynthis* Extracts on the Housefly, *Musca domestica* L. *Journal of Advances Environmental Biology*, **9**(8), 72-78.
- 10 Soonwera, M. (2015). Larvicidal and Oviposition Deterrent Activities of Essential Oils against Housefly (*Musca domestica* L.). *J. Agricultural Technology* **11**(3), 657-667.
- 11 Meenakshisundaram, T. J., Harikrishnan, N. R. and Anna, T. (2014). Evaluation of Extract from Sweet Flag Rhizome for Biological Activity against Housefly. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary Science and Technology* **3**(1), 140-144.
- 12 Ahmed, S., Malik, H., Riaz, M. A. and Akthar, M. (2013). Influence of plant extracts on the Life History and Population Development of Housefly, *Musca domestica* L. *J Zoology* **45** (2), 345-349.
- 13 Begum, N., Sharma, B. and Pandey, R. S. (2010). Evaluation of Insecticidal Efficacy of *Calotropis procera* and *Annona squamosa* Ethanol Extracts against *Musca domestica*. *J. Biofertilizers and Biopesticides* **1**(1), 1- 6.
14. Santander, R. I., Echeverriai, J., Cabezasi, N., Palacios, S. M. and Rossi, Y. (2010). Insecticide Properties of the Essential Oils from *Haplopappus foliosus* and *Bahia ambrosoides* against Housefly, *Musca domestica* L. *Journal of Chilean Chemical Society* **55**(3), 392-3
- 15 Ande, A. T. (2001). Biological Activities of some Plant Materials against the Housefly – *Musca domestica*. *J. Biological Science* **1**(4), 293-296.
- 16 Bosly, A. H. (2013). Evaluation of Insecticidal Activities of *Mentha piperita* and *Lavandula angustifolia* Essential Oils against Housefly, *Musca domestica* L. *Journal of Entomology and Nematology*, **5**(4), 50-54.
- 17 Ojianwuna, C. C., Edafemakor, A. G. and Iloh, A. C. (2011). Toxicity of *Ocimum suave* (wild basil) Leaf Oil on Adult Housefly (*Musca domestica*). *Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Science* **1**(10), 417-420.
- 18 Ojianwuna, C.C. and Umoru P.A. (2010). Effects of *Cymbopogon citrus* (Lemon grass) and *Ocimum suave* (Wild basil) Applied as Mixed and Individual Powders on the Eggs Laid and Emergence of Adult *Callosobrochus maculatus* (Cowpea bruchids). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, **5**(20):2837-2840
- 19 Ojianwuna, C.C. and Surveyor, F.I. (2016). Insecticidal Potentials of *D. tripetala* applied alone and mixed with *Z. officinale* in equal ration against *M. domestica* larvae. *Nigerian Journal of Science and Environment*. (Accepted).

- 852 20 Akunne, C. E., Afonta, C.N., Mogbo, T.C., Ononye, B.U. and Ngenegbo, U.C.
853 (2014). Evaluation of the Efficacy of Mixed Powders of *Piper guineense* and *Zingiber*
854 *officinale* against *Callosobrochus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *American*
855 *Journal of Biology and Life Sciences*, **2**(2), 63-67

- 863 21 Dawudo, E. O. and Ofuya, T. I. (2000). Effects of Mixing Powders of *Piper guineense*
864 Schum and Thonn and *Dennettia tripetala* Baker on Oviposition and Adult Emergence of
865 *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F), Infesting Cowpea Seeds in Storage. *Appl. Trop. Agric.*, **5**
866 156-160.
867
- 868 22 Sripongpun, G. (2008). Contact Toxicity of the Crude Extract of Chinese Star Anise Fruits
869 to Housefly Larvae and their Development. *J. Science and Technology* **30**(5), 667-672
870
871
872
873
874
- 875 23 Al-Ghamdi, Kh. M., Saleh, M. S., Mahyoub, J.A., Asid, A. N., Al-Najada, A. R., Mamdouh,
876 I. N. and Alfarhan, B. Z. (2014). Potential Studies of Non-Conventional Chemicals
877 against Housefly Larvae *Musca domestica* L. *Journal of Life Science* **11**(12), 1046-1048.

- 882 24 Islam, M. S. and Aktar, M. J. (2013). Larvicidal Efficacies of some Plant Extracts and
883 their Synergistic Effects with Cypermethrin on the Life-History Traits of *Musca*
884 *domestica* L. *International Journal of Innovations in Bio-Sciences* **3**(3), 92-103.

890

894

895

896

897

903

909

916

921

922