



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37819
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotype competition of passion fruit in southern Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title suggested: Assessment of passion fruit trees genotypes in terms of fruit quality and yields in Rio Grande do Sol - Brazil. Abstract Check conclusion part, word in was repeated twice. use show instead of the word present. Grammar Check spelling of the word 'pulp' all over your work (e.g. on line 225) Line 76, Use In total, instead of totaled. Line 189, in terms of what parameter Urussanga cultivar was better for? Line 191: Check punctuation there is a loose 's' Line 223 is very broad. Conclusion Support the first conclusion. Based on what? There are no significant differences among BRS and catarina cultivars in terms of fruit mass, fruit length, fruit diameter and pulp yield.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anibal Condor Golec
Department, University & Country	Spain