



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36958
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of gibberellic acid (GA3) and rootstock on the evolution of the mandarin tree induction "Nadorcott" in the Atlantic coastal zone, Northern Morocco
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Suggest Title is 'Effect of gibberellic acid (GA3) on rootstock of mandarin "Nadorcott" in the Atlantic coastal zone, Northern Morocco' 2. Paper is too lengthy, can be summarised. Long sentence must be shortened. 3. Not logically presented, needs to be more coherent. 4. Include and cited too many references 5. Omit words such as 'We count.....' 6. Statistical analysis is not described 7. Conclusion is not included 8. All publications cited in the text should be presented in the list of references in alphabetical order. Eg. Agusti, 1981 etc... 9. All cited references are not listed in the reference list and all listed references are not cited. Eg. García-Luis et al 1995, etc.....	
Minor REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
Optional/General comments	Some statements are confusing in most of the places. Use the scientific writing skills for elaborations	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayath P. Kirthisinghe
Department, University & Country	Department of Crop Science, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka