



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36477
Title of the Manuscript:	Pesticide usage and implications of the diurnal and seasonal dynamics of cocoa hemipteran pests on their management in South Western Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript needs to be re-written. The information provided is good, but many aspects should be improved. The English is really poor and it should be edit. The logical sequence of the text needs to be improved. There are other methods of control of pests, such as cultural, mechanical biological and preventative measures that could be discussed in this manuscript, even though the farmers don't use them. Also, the application equipment terms are not correct; it should be manual sprayer and nebulizer. Also, cocoa pest, should be Hemiptera pests found in cocoa plants, since the study focus this order. Conclusions don't match the objectives. Author needs to emphasize the importance of the facts found.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariah Baggio-Deibler
Department, University & Country	USA