



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36106
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimum transplanting date maximizes the growth and yield of rice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic is relevant and the purpose of the authors to give a comprehensive review is remarkable. However, take into account: 1) Review the format to present the references in the text. Example: [1]. 2) Line 27: phonological is phenological. 3) Line 59: Justify with bibliography, which physical factors do not allow transplantation 4) Line 122: is Tukey. Line 132-133: I suggest discussing it and justifying it with bibliography. 5) Line 170, 171 and 172: I suggest discussing it and justifying it with bibliography. 6) I suggest not citing the F, only the "p". Per example Line 182. In the bibliography: please review format suggested by the journal and control commas, spaces, scientific names with italics, line spacing, etc.	
Minor REVISION comments	Fig S2: To consider: Start the y-axis in 1000 to observe more the differences. Fig S1 : To consider: Start the y-axis in 50 to observe more the differences.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Estación Experimental Paraná, Argentina