



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36035
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Adoption of Technologies for Cashew Production in Nampula, Mozambique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There should be a recent census; 2007 is quite old. [83] 'individuals' or 'an individual' [111] "It is believed" ... by whom? To say that "adoption is a two-stage process" is a broad statement and between these two stages, there are specific steps and they are non-linear.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Cherine Akkari</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Geography and Environmental Management , University of Waterloo, Canada</i>