



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36035
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Adoption of Technologies for Cashew Production in Nampula, Mozambique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The introduction is a bit long Tables have no source In terms of methodology; explain the choice of sites and producers. At the level of producers how the choice was made	
Optional/General comments	It's a good job Good review of literature on the subject The theoretical framework is well suited Good references	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barry Silamana
Department, University & Country	Department of Natural Resource Management, Institute of Environment and Agricultural Research (INERA), Burkina Faso