SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_35023**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Beans Genotypes (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) of the Black Group in the Cerrado Environment, Brazil**
Type of the Article	**Short communication**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The proposed for review manuscript considered a question related with the evaluation of seven black bean cultivars under the soil and climatic conditions of the Brazilian cerrado. In this study, they were tested seven black bean cultivars of basic agronomic traits. Abstract is clear, informative, brief and representative of the work and underlines the significance of the subject. Introduction is understandable, clear and comprehensive exposing the reader to the topic. Purpose and objectives are scientifically appropriate. In "Introduction" are cited more than 6 authors. Individual sections of the manuscript are adequately represented. The authors comment results of previous studies on the relevant subject. The findings are particularly valuable having in a mind importance of the crop studied.	
Minor REVISION comments	However, some minor errors were noted: In Abstract: Lines 7-8 almost literally repeats Lines 52-55 It should be edited. In Material and Methods Table 1 is not mentioned in the main text. I think that Table 1 and Table 2 Can be combined into one table. In Results and discussion	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	The location in figure 3 should be after the reference and the text. That is, after Line 237. In conclusion: This part must be given in an expanded, not just one sentence.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Valentin Kosev***
Department, University & Country	***Department - Plant Breeding and Seed Production, Institute of Forage Crops, Bulgaria***