



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_34437
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of farmers' save seed and improved seed on maize productivity in south western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title should be revised.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Corrected in the Revised manuscript. The yellow-marked lines have been corrected by the reviewer, when the red-marked lines are to be addressed. Spacing of the References need to be edited. As the maize varieties namely ILE-1-OB and BR9928DMR-SR-Y proved to be beneficial in terms of yield and economy, the data in the Results Table may be highlighted as bold.	
<u>Optional / General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupnarayan Sett
Department, University & Country	Department of Genetics & Plant Propagation, Tropical Forest Research Institute (Icfre, Moefcc, Goi), India