



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_33697
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Bacteria as Antagonist against <i>Xanthomonas oryzae</i> pv. <i>oryzae</i> , the Causal Agent of Bacterial Leaf Blight of Paddy and as Plant Growth Promoter
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)								
Compulsory REVISION comments	Suggestions for improvement: Ms_JEAI_33697 <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Manuscript Ms_JEAI_33697</th> <th>Repairs needed to be done</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Abstract</td> <td>Methods for screening, the test of antagonistic bacteria against plant pathogen, and the plant growth promoter should be added.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Results and Discussion</td> <td>The results obtained should be proven by the Figure (antagonistic bacteria against pathogenic activity, protease production, cellulose, lipase, siderophore, IAA, nitrogen fixation)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Conclusion</td> <td> - In the discussion need to compare, the results obtained from the research against previous studies that have the same topic. - Just load the conclusions of the research done without explaining the theory again. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Manuscript Ms_JEAI_33697	Repairs needed to be done	Abstract	Methods for screening, the test of antagonistic bacteria against plant pathogen, and the plant growth promoter should be added.	Results and Discussion	The results obtained should be proven by the Figure (antagonistic bacteria against pathogenic activity, protease production, cellulose, lipase, siderophore, IAA, nitrogen fixation)	Conclusion	- In the discussion need to compare, the results obtained from the research against previous studies that have the same topic. - Just load the conclusions of the research done without explaining the theory again.	
Manuscript Ms_JEAI_33697	Repairs needed to be done									
Abstract	Methods for screening, the test of antagonistic bacteria against plant pathogen, and the plant growth promoter should be added.									
Results and Discussion	The results obtained should be proven by the Figure (antagonistic bacteria against pathogenic activity, protease production, cellulose, lipase, siderophore, IAA, nitrogen fixation)									
Conclusion	- In the discussion need to compare, the results obtained from the research against previous studies that have the same topic. - Just load the conclusions of the research done without explaining the theory again.									
Minor REVISION comments										
Optional/General comments										

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Desak Gede Sri Andayani
Department, University & Country	Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI), Indonesia