SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_32742**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on nutrient and amino acids content of soybean (Glycine max L. Merill) under 'Eutrochrepts'.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. No general conclusion in abstract, please state clearly main finding of this experiment** **2. Method of nutrient analysis has to be explain clearly** **3. When and how phosphorus and sulphur fertilization is not clearly explained.** **4. Please notify where and when the experiment was carried out** **5. Conclusion has to be stated clearly at the end of result and discussion or at the separate sub heading**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Title of last column at Table 1 should be Mn instead of Mg 2. References in Introduction are mostly out of date (more than 5 years). It should be more recent references (less than 5 years)	
Optional/General comments	Author presents very good data, however he/she lacks exploring the interesting fact for the discussion. For example, how each nutrients in soybean associate to each other.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Zainal Muktamar***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Soil Science, University of Bengkulu, Indonesia***