



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_32530
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Cow Dung and Cowpea Growth Habits on the Productivity of Cocoyam/Cowpea Intercrop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Records of Agronomic Measurements Lines 107 to 111. Provide hours and temperature used for shoot oven dry before weighing. Lines 116-117. Indicate whether harvestable area or the tagged ones were used for pod weight and pod yield. REFERENCE: Willey (1977) was in text but was not referenced.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research work was carefully done, introduction was good with enough argument and adequate authority to support the arguments raised. Materials and methods was neatly calved out, tables were clear and it can be understood by young scientists. Discussions were sound and was well related to other research work.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kwabena Atakora</i>
Affiliation:	<i>University of Education Winneba, Ghana</i>