UNDER PEER REVIEW

EFFECTS OF LANDSCAPE SLOPE POSITION, URBAN REFUSE COMPOST AND SEWAGE SLUDGE ON SOIL PROPERTIES AND CASSAVA YIELD IN SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA

Abstract

Understanding the effects of landscape slope position and organic waste residues on soil properties and cassava yield is a critical component of site-specific management. A field study was conducted in an Ultisol at Nsukka, southeastern Nigeria to investigate the effects of landscape slope positions and two organic waste residues (urban refuse compost and sewage sludge) on soil properties and cassava yield. The treatment consisted of two landscape slope positions, two organic waste residues, and a control; arranged in a split – plot design in RCBD. The two slope positions - mid slope (26%) and toe slope (5%) occupied the main–plot, while organic residues at the rate of 50% inorganic nitrogen fertilizer requirement of cassava and a control were the sub-plot treatment. The result obtained from this study showed that slope position significantly ($p<0.05$) influenced the soil properties after harvest. The toe-slope soil was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher in dry bulk density, water holding capacity, field capacity moisture content and more resistant to mound dispersion by rain drops. The mid-slope soil was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher in percent water stable aggregates. There was significant difference ($p<0.05$) between the non-amended plots and the organic wastes amended plots in respect of aggregate stability as measured by percent water stable aggregate (% WSA) index. Post-harvest soil analysis revealed that plots amended with urban refuse compost (UR) and sewage sludge (SS) did not differ significantly their pH, total porosity, bulk density, water holding capacity, field capacity moisture content, saturated hydraulic conductivity and mound dispersion as measured by the length of exposed nail. Weed infestation, fresh shoot and root yield were significantly ($p>.0.05$) higher at the toe slope position. However, % survival was significantly ($p>.0.05$) higher at the mid slope position. Significant interactions of the slope positions and organic residues were observed in bulk density, total porosity, and fresh root yield. The highest fresh root yield of 11.63 tha^{-1} of the study was obtained in plots amended with urban refuse compost under the toe slope position. Urban refuse compost had the least fresh root yield per hectare (1.32 tha^{-1}) when applied in midslope landscape position but highest (11.63 tha^{-1}) when applied in toe-slope landscape position.

Keywords: Landscape slope position, urban refuse, sewage sludge, Ultisol, cassava

INTRODUCTION

Cassava (Manihot esculenta crantz) is an important cash and food crop of resource-limited farmers in Africa, Asia and Latin America and the Caribbean. It offers many different alternative uses as processed food, animal feed, starch, alcohol, biofuel for vehicles etc. Nigeria produces over 45 million tons of cassava per annum making her the largest producer of cassava in the world (FAO, 2008). There is considerable pressure on farmers to increase cassava root

UNDER PEER REVIEW

yield per unit area to meet with the agricultural transformation agenda of the Federal government. Production being a function of area and yield requires an expansion in the area of production and average yield per hectare.

The pressure has necessitated intensive cultivation, continuous tillage, bush fires and other unsuitable agricultural practices with their negative consequences on soil fertility. Low soil fertility is therefore, one of the bottlenecks to sustainable cassava production and productivity in Nigeria. Although cassava tolerates harsh environmental conditions such as low soil fertility, it is known to respond to applications of organic and inorganic fertilizer (Ojeniyi *et al*., 2009). The cost of inorganic fertilizer has been enormously increasing to the extent that they are out of reach of the small and marginal farmers. It has become impracticable to apply such costly inputs for a crop of low marginal returns. Therefore, a search for an alternative fertilizer resource becomes increasingly important.

The application of organic wastes with a high organic matter content, such as Sewage sludge (Albiach *et al*., 2001) and Urban refuse (Eriksen *et al.*, 1999) to soil is a current environmental and agricultural practice for maintaining soil organic matter, reclaiming degraded soils, and supplying plant nutrients. Urban refuse and sewage sludge have shown desirable effects on soil properties and on food and fiber production (Webber, 1978, Mitchell *et al*. 1982). However, research information is quite scarce on the response of cassava to the application of these wastes. Changes in physical condition and chemical composition of soils may be induced by application of these wastes and should also be considered in the agricultural utilization of such materials.

The increased demand for cassava production has also induced farming of marginal lands on steep slopes previously held in pasture and wood lot. Slope controls the movement of water and material in hill slope, contribute to spatial differences in soil properties, and influence crop yield (Foth, 1978, Akamigbo and Asadu, 1986, Wezel *et al*. 2002). In a study, Tsui *et al*. (2004) reported that slope factor involved in the transport and accumulation of solutes resulted in higher pH , exchangeable Ca, and Mg in the depositional areas of foot slope ,while higher organic carbon, exchangeable Na, available nitrogen, potassium and extractible Zn were highest on the summit. Gebeyaw, (2015) also reported that clay fraction of lower slope soil was highest, followed by middle slope, intermediate slope and higher slope. Conversely, sand was highest at higher slope, followed by middle slope, intermediate slope and lower slope, respectively. The

UNDER PEER REVIEW

highest corn biomass production, nutrient uptake and grain yield were obtained in lower slope position than upper and middle slope positions (Changere and Lal, 1997).

Although, landscape position influence on soil properties are established, further studies are required to clarify its interaction effects with urban refuse and sewage sludge on an Ultisol and cassava yield. Research findings in relation to slope position influence can provide information on soil suitability for cassava production, diagnosing soil constraints and potentials as basis for recycling urban refuse and sewage sludge.

This study therefore intends to provide definitive information on the potential effect of landscape position and organic residues (urban refuse and sewage sludge) on the yield of cassava and properties of an Ultisol in Nsukka, southeastern Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of study area

The research was carried out in the Laboratory and Research Farm of the Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. The site is located by Latitude 06^0 25′ N and Longitude 07^0 24′ E and at an altitude of approximately 400m above sea level. Generally, the climate was characterized by mean annual total rainfall of about 1600mm and mean annual evapotranspiration (ET) of about 1560mm. The soils used for the study belong to the Nkpologu series classified as a typic kandic paleustult (Akamigbo and Igwe, 1990). They lie at two slope positions – mid slope (26%) and toe slope (9%). Urban refuse compost was collected from a refuse dump site at Nsukka while sewage sludge was collected from the University sewage treatment plant.

Field Study

The experimental design was a split- plot design in RCBD with three replications. Slope position (mid slope and toe slope) constituted the whole plot treatment while organic residues (urban refuse compost and sewage sludge) and un-amended control, constituted the sub-plot treatment. The study was conducted in $147m^2$ (14 m x 10.5 m) block in each landscape slope position. The land was prepared by clearing, tilling and ridging manually because of the steep slope. The size of each experimental plot was $12m^2$ (4m X 3m) with 50 and 100cm paths separating adjacent plots and blocks, respectively. Each waste was applied such that the total

UNDER PEER REVIEW

nitrogen application would be about half the mineral nitrogen fertilizer recommendation of 17kg/ha for cassava, (IITA, 2005), 3 weeks before the desired planting date and ploughed under within 48 hours.

Cassava cuttings of TMS 30572 variety was planted at a spacing of 1m X 1m to give a plant population of 10, 000 stands/ha. Twenty – 6" nails were inserted into the topmost part of the ridges in each plot to assess soil loss due to soil detachment from mounds as determined by length of exposed nails at the end of the farming season. Weeding was done by hand picking and the weight of weeds collected from each plot was dried and weighed. Other cultural practices were carried out timely. Harvesting was done after ten months of planting. At harvest, the number of survived plants was counted and their percentage computed. Then, their roots and shoots were harvested, weighed and recorded.

Laboratory Studies:

The chemical properties of the Urban refuse and Sewage sludge were carried out using standard laboratory methods. Prior to land preparation, surface (0-20 cm) soil samples were collected using steel core over each slope position. Loose soil samples were also collected using an auger, air-dried and passed through 2mm sieve for routine analysis. Composite and auger soil samples were also collected from each treatment after harvest for analysis. Gravimetric moisture content and water retained at field capacity (FC) was determined using Obi (2000) method. Analysis of particle size distribution of the < 2mm fractions was done by the Bouyoucos hydrometer method as described by Gee and Bauder (1986) using sodium hexametaphosphate. Soil bulk density was determined by the core method (Blake and Hartage (1986) using the formula,

BD (g cm- 1) = MS / V
Where, BD = bulk density (gcm^{-3})
MS= mass of dry soil sample (g)
V =Volume of sample (cm^{-3})
The soil volume is equivalent to the volume of the core.

$V = \pi r^2 h$
Where V = volume of core = volume of soil.
π= constant 3.142
r = radius of the core
h = height of the core

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Aggregate stability was determined using wet sieving methods (Kemper and Rosenau, 1986). In this method, 25 g of the <4.75 mm soil sample was put in the topmost of a nest of four sieves of 2.00, 1.00, 0.50 and 0.25 mm sizes. Water-stable aggregates (WSA) on each sieve were estimated after wet sieving and oven drying and recorded as percentages of the original mass as shown:

$WSA = (Mr/Mt) \times 100$

Mr is mass of resistant aggregates and Mt is the total mass of wet-sieved soil. The WSA were then categorized into 4.75-2.00, 2.00-1.00, 1.00-0.50, 0.50-0.25 and <0.25 mm.

The steady-flow soil column method (Reynolds and Elrick, 2002) was used to determine saturated hydraulic conductivity. Total porosity was calculated from the relationship between bulk density and particle density. Particle density (ρp) was assumed to be 2.65 Mg cm^{-3} for most mineral soils.

$ST = (1 - \rho b / \rho p) \times 100$

ST = Total porosity (%)

ρb = bulk density (Mg cm^{-3})

ρp = particle density(Mg cm^{-3})

Air porosity (space occupied by air when the sample is at field capacity i.e. at 0.1 bar suction for sandy soils) was expressed as percentage of the sample volume and calculated thus:

$S = St - Qv\ (0.1)$

S = air porosity (or macro porosity) (%)

ST = Total porosity (%)

Q V. (60cm) = percentage volume of water held at 60cm suction (that is field capacity, %)

Soil pH was determined in 1:2 soils to solution ratio in water (H_2O) using Beckman Zeromatic pH meter (Peech, 1965). Soil organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black wet oxidation method (Allison, 1982), and later converted to soil organic matter (SOM) by multiplying by 1.724

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using GENSTAT DISCOVERY version (GENSTAT 2009). Differences between means of treatment were compared using LSD ($P<0.05$).

UNDER PEER REVIEW

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil Properties Prior to Treatment Application

The properties of the mid slope and toe slope soils prior to treatment application are shown in Table 1. The two soils lie on different physciographic surface with 9% slope for toe slope soil and 26% for the mid slope soil. Their properties are similar in many aspects. Both soils were slightly acidic, sandy clay loam in texture, low in organic carbon and exchangeable cation content. The mid slope soil was higher in organic matter, nitrogen and C.E.C probably due to several years of grass fallow. The toe slope soil was however, higher in phosphorus and calcium which may have accounted for its higher pH value of 5.9. Most of their chemical nutrient elements are below the critical values (Adeoye and Agboola, 1985); which call for the supplemental application of soil amendment like urban refuse compost and sewage sludge.

Table 1: Selected physico-chemical properties of the soils used for the study.

Parameter	Mid slope	Toe slope
Slope position (%)	26	9
Sand (gkg^{-1})	740	760
Silt (gkg^{-1})	60	60
Clay (gkg^{-1})	200	180
Textural class	Sandy clay loam	Sandy clay loam
WSA (%)	60.4	44.9
pH H_20 (1:2.5)	5.6	5.9
pH KCl	4.9	5.0
Total N (gkg^{-1})	9.6	8.8
Na ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	0.12	0.10
K ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	0.13	0.12
Ca ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	0.7	1.6
Mg ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	0.5	0.9
C.E.C ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	5.0	4.0
Avail. P. ($mg\ kg^{-1}$)	6.0	10.0
OC (%)	1.32	0.76

WSA = Water stable aggregate, OC = Organic carbon, C.E.C = Cation exchange capacity

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2 shows the nutrient composition of the urban refuse compost and sewage sludge used for the study. The urban refuse compost was higher in pH, but low in nitrogen while, sewage sludge was slightly acidic, high in % organic carbon, magnesium and phosphorus. It is expected that the test soils and cassava would benefit from the added wastes since the soils are low in plant nutrients.

Table 2: Chemical properties of urban refuse and sewage sludge used for the studies

Parameter	Urban Refuse Compost	Sewage Sludge
pH (H_20)	7.7	6.4
Percent Organic carbon	5.5	24.7
Total N (gkg^{-1})	9.5	42.6
Avail. P ($mg\ kg^{-1}$))	600	800
Ca ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	2.4	1.34
Mg ($cmolkg^{-1}$)	2.0	4.08

Effect of Urban refuse and Sewage sludge on Soil Properties

Application of the urban refuse compost (UR) and sewage sludge (SS) at both landscape slope positions had non-significant effect on the soil pH, total porosity, and bulk density, water holding capacity, field capacity moisture content, saturated hydraulic conductivity and mound dispersion as measured by the length of exposed nail (Table 3). However, there was significant difference ($p<0.05$) between the non-amended plots and the organic wastes amended plots in respect of aggregate stability as measured by percent water stable aggregate (% WSA) index. The soil amended with UR had the highest % WSA of 58.3 which did not differ significantly from the sewage sludge treated soil. There was non–significant effect of the organic wastes on soil pH. However, pH generally decreased in all the plots when compared with the pre-treatment status (Table 1). Ahmed *et al*. (2010) reported similar decrease in soil pH. The results indicate that the use of these soil amendments as nutrient source may not impose pH related threats. This is of paramount importance as pH conditions the mobility of heavy metals in soil and their subsequent absorption by plants. The non-significant effect of the amendments on most of the physical properties of the soil may be ascribed to the long-term effect of the grass fallow, which may have masked the effect of the added organic wastes. The result on total porosity disagrees with that of Guidi *et al*., (1982) which noted that sludge and composts improve soil porosity and water stability index of soil aggregates in a similar way to manure. Findings on aggregate stability agree with that of Bazzoffi *et al*., (1998), Chenu *et al.* (2000), Puget *et al.* (2000) and Tejada and Gonzalez (2004). They noted that a good soil structure depended on the content and nature of the organic matter added. Organic matter promotes flocculation of clay minerals, which

UNDER PEER REVIEW

is an essential condition for the aggregation of soil particles. Ahmed *et al*., (2010) reported that sludge derived organic matter contributes to the formation of macro-aggregate through the bindings of pre-existing micro-aggregates. Because the same amount of organic matter was applied to the soils, the variability in the results obtained in the soil structural stability must have been due to the different chemical nature of the organic wastes added to the soil (Table 2). The increased structural stability in this study could not influence the soil aeration. The bulk density was not altered probably because the quantity applied was not enough to exert significant dilution effect on the denser soil mineral fraction.

Table 3. Mean values of soil properties as influenced by application of urban refuse compost and sewage sludge.

Organic residues	pH	WSA (%)	Total porosity (%)	Bulk density (Mgm^3)	LEN (cm)	WHC (%)	FC (%)	SHC ($cmhr^{-1}$)
Urban refuse compost	4.38	58.3	45.6	1.27	1.86	25.19	22.41	54.2
Sewage sludge	4.33	55.3	48.1	1.22	1.57	28.65	22.82	56.2
No amendment	4.18	47.9	45.2	1.30	1.94	25.68	21.61	32.0
F-LSD $_{(0.05)}$	n.s	5.72	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s

WSA = percent water stable aggregates, WHC=Water holding capacity, FC = Field capacity moisture content, SHC = Saturated hydraulic conductivity, LEN= Length of exposed nail, n.s = Non-significantly difference at 5% level of probability, F- LSD = Fisher's Least Significant difference at 5% level of probability.

Effect of Landscape Slope Position on Soil Properties

Mean values of percent water stable aggregate, soil organic carbon, total porosity, dry bulk density, water holding capacity, field capacity moisture content, length of exposed nail, saturated hydraulic conductivity and pH of the soils as influenced by slope position are reported in Table 4. Non- significant differences were observed in total porosity, saturated hydraulic conductivity and pH between the slope positions. However, the toe-slope soil was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher in dry bulk density, water holding capacity, field capacity moisture content and more resistant to mound dispersion by rain drops and runoff. The mid-slope soil was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher in percent water stable aggregate. The higher structural stability of the mid-slope soil may be attributed to its long-term grass fallow and conservation practices. This is so, as recently formed aggregates are likely to be only moderately stable, whereas old aggregates are usually more firmly cemented and longer lasting (Allison, 1973). The higher bulk density of the toe-slope (Table 4) may be due to accumulation of finer clay-sized particles with their attendant close packing. This is contrary to the findings of Guzman and Al-Kaisi (2011) that slope position was not a significant determinant for bulk density, due to site variability of natural and

UNDER PEER REVIEW

anthropogenic parameters. The toe-slope positions had greater soil organic carbon(SOC) concentrations. This can be attributed to SOC distribution and losses due to soil erosion and deposition effects by slope position (Gregorich et al. 1998).

Table 4: Mean values of soil properties as influenced by landscape slope position

Slope positions	OC (gKg^{-1})	WSA (%)	Total porosity (%)	Bulk density (Mgm^{3})	pH	LEN (cm)	WHC (%)	FC (%)	SHC ($cmhr^{-1}$)
Mid slope	12.4	73.0	45.7	1.06	3.94	1.01	24.64	20.98	51.5
Toe-slope	23.2	34.7	46.9	1.46	3.95	2.57	28.37	23.58	43.5
F –$LSD_{(0.05)}$	2.68	8.09	n.s	0.070	n.s	0.572	3.150	2.369	n.s

WSA = percent water stable aggregates, WHC=Water holding capacity, FC = Field capacity moisture content, LEN= Length of exposed nail, SHC = Saturated hydraulic conductivity, F- LSD = Fisher' Least Significant difference at 5% level of probability, n.s = Non-significantly difference at 5% level of probability.

.

Effect of Urban refuse and Sewage sludge on weed infestation, survival and yield of cassava

Table 6 contains data on weed infestation, survival and yield of cassava as influenced by application of urban refuse and sewage sludge. The organic residues did not influence weed infestation, percentage plant stand survival, fresh shoot yield/ha and fresh root yield/ha.

In spite of the enrichment of the soils by application of these organic residues, there was non-significant influence on the above parameters. The non-significant influence may be attributed to inhibitory substances in the waste as Nwachukwu *et al*., (2012), observed high levels of lead and cadmium in dump sites in southeastern Nigeria that exceed the soil critical limits. It may also be attributed to the findings of Odedina *et al.*, (2012) who observed that cassava varieties bred for soils inherently low in nutrients do not respond to additional nutrient input. This is contrary to the report of Sittibusayo (1993) that cassava yields would drop without fertilizer since cassava is a nutrient exhauster. The crop response may as well have been conditioned by the innate soil fertility.

Table 5: Mean value of cassava root yield and yield components as influenced by urban refuse and sewage sludge.

Organic residue	Weed infestation	% survival	fresh shoot yield (tha^{-1})	Fresh root yield (tha^{-1})
Urban refuse compost	1.24	60.4	1.66	6.48
Sewage sludge	1.24	63.8	2.07	6.81

UNDER PEER REVIEW

No amendment	1.30	63.3	1.62	4.93
F-LSD $_{(0.05)}$	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s

F- LSD = Fisher' Least Significant difference at 5% level of probability, n.s = Non-significantly difference at 5% level of probability.

Effect of Landscape Slope Position on weed infestation, survival and yield of cassava

Landscape slope position significantly (p>.0.05) influenced weed infestation, % survival, fresh shoot yield and fresh root yield. All the above parameters except % survival were significantly (p>.0.05) higher at the toe slope position. Similar observation were made by Stevenson *et al*., (1995) who noted that lower slope positions such as toe slope typically have the greatest water and nutrient content and generally produce the highest crop yield. These findings imply that a more accurate assessment of the benefits of urban refuse and sewage sludge will be obtained with a landscape research approach.

Table 6: Means value of cassava root yield and yield components as influenced by slope positions

Slope position	Weed infestation (tha^{-1})	% plant stand survival	fresh shoot yield (tha^{-1})	Fresh root yield (tha^{-1})
Mid-slope	0.18	68.3	1.53	2.81
Toe-slope	2.14	56.7	2.03	9.33
F- LSD $_{(0.05)}$	0.855	7.59	0.387	1.67

F- LSD = Fisher' Least Significant difference at 5% level of probability

Interactions between landscape slope positions and Organic residues (Urban refuse and sewage sludge) on soil properties

There were significant interactions between slope position and organic residues with respect to total porosity, bulk density and dispersion of mound by rain drops (Table 7). Organic residues influenced total porosity and bulk density significantly (p<0.05) at the toe-slope position but did not at the mid-slope. This may be ascribed to the masked effect of long-term grass fallow at the mid-slope. Mound dispersion was highest in urban refuse treated plots at the mid-slope

UNDER PEER REVIEW

position and unaffected at the toe slope. Variations in soil pH, water holding capacity, field capacity moisture content and saturated hydraulic conductivity were not significantly influenced by interactions between landscape slope positions.

Table 7: Mean interaction of slope position and organic residues (UR and SS) on soil properties

Slope position	Mid slope			Toe slope			
Organic residues	No amendment	Sewage sludge	Urban refuse compost	No amendment	Sewage sludge	Urban refuse compost	F- LSD $_{(0.05)}$
Ph	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.1	4.3	4.3	n.s
WSA (%)	64.9	77.0	76.9	30.8	33.5	39.7	n.s
Total porosity (%)	0.47	0.45	0.46	0.44	0.52	0.45	0.0432
Bulk density (Mgm^{-3})	1.05	1.07	1.08	1.55	1.36	1.46	0.1205
LEN (cm)	1.18	1.05	0.78	2.63	2.08	3.10	0.991
WHC (%)	24.98	25.79	23.16	26.38	31.51	27.22	n.s
FC (%)	19.12	22.82	21.0	24.1	22.58	23.8	n.s
SHC ($cmhr^{-1}$)	35.3	59.1	60.0	28.7	53.3	48.4	n.s

WSA = percent water stable aggregates, WHC=Water holding capacity, FC = Field capacity moisture content, LEN= Length of exposed nail, SHC = Saturated hydraulic conductivity, F- LSD = Fisher' Least Significant difference at 5% level of probability, n.s = Non-significantly difference at 5% level of probability.

Interactions between landscape slope positions and Organic residues (Urban refuse and sewage sludge) on weed infestation, survival and yield of cassava

The result also indicated significant interactions between slope position and organic residues in influencing the fresh root yield of cassava. Urban refuse compost had the least fresh root yield per hectare (1.32 tha^{-1}) when applied in mid-slope position but highest (11.63 tha^{-1}) when applied in toe-slope position. Organic residues increased cassava yield significantly ($p<0.05$) only at the toe slope position. This implies that crop response to manure application appear to be conditioned by slope position.

Table 8: Mean interaction of slope position and organic residues (UR and SS) on weed infestation, cassava survival and yield.

Slope position	Mid slope			Toe slope			
Organic residues	No amendment	Sewage sludge	Urban refuse	No amendment	Sewage sludge	Urban refuse	F- LSD $_{(0.05)}$

UNDER PEER REVIEW

			compost			compost	
Weed. Infestation.(t/ha)	0.28	0.17	0.09	2.31	2.31	1.79	n.s
% Survival	67.5	69.2	68.3	59.2	58.3	52.5	n.s
Fresh root yield (t/ha)	3.05	4.05	1.32	6.80	9.57	11.63	2.898
Fresh shoot yield (t/ha)	1.53	1.77	1.28	1.07	2.37	2.03	n.s

F- LSD = Fisher' Least Significant difference at 5% level of probability, n.s = Non-significantly difference at 5% level of probability

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that cassava crop response to organic manure (urban refuse compost and sewage sludge) application is influenced by landscape slope positions. Urban refuse and sewage sludge at the applied rate increased cassava yield significantly ($p<0.05$) only at the toe-slope position. In addition, application of the organic residues and cassava production depressed the pH of the soil to as low as 4.18. Total porosity, bulk density and mound dispersion by raindrop were significantly higher at the toe-slope position.

REFERENCES

Adeoye, O.A. and Agboola A.A. (1985). Critical Level of Soil Plant Available P, K, Zn, Mg, Cu, and Mn on Maize Leaf Content In Sedimentary Soils Of South Western Nigeria. Fert. Res. 6: 60-71.

Ahmed, H.K, Fawy, H.A. and Abdel-Hady, E.S. (2010) Study of sewage sludge use in agriculture and its effect on plant and soil. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1(5): 1044-1049

Akamigbo FOR, Asadu CLA (1986).The influence of toposequence on soil parameters in selected areas of Anambra state, south -south Eastern Nigeria J. of science, 6: 35-46

Akamigbo, F.O.R. and Igwe, C.A. (1990). Morphology, Geography, Genesis and Taxonomy of three Soil Series in Eastern Nigeria. Samaru Jour. Agric. Res. 7:33-48.

Albiach R, Canet R, Pomares F, Ingelmo F (2001). Organic matter components and aggregate stability after the application different amendments to a horticultural soil. Biores. Technol. 76:125-129.

Allison**,** F.E. (1973)**.** Soil organic matter and its role in crop production. Developments *in Soil Science* 3 U.S. Depart*ment* of Agriculture*,* Washin*gton***,** D.C.*,* U.S.A. pp. 315-345

Allison, L.E.; 1982. Organic Carbon. In: Method of Soil Analysis. Part 2 Black, C.A et al.. (ed) Am. Soc. Agron.9:1368─1398.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Bazzoffi P, Pellegrini S.L, Rochini, A; Morandi M., and Grasselli O. (1998). The Effect of Urban Refuse Compost and Different Tractor Tyres on Soil Physical Properties, Soil Erosion and Maize Yield. Soil and Tillage Research48(4):275-286.

Blake, G.R. and Hartge, K.H.; 1986. Bulk density. In: Klute, A. (Ed). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Methods ASA N0.9, Madison, Wisconsin U.S.A.

Changere, A. and R. Lal. (1997). Slope position and erosion effects on soil properties and corn production on a Miamian soil in Central Ohio. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 11 (1): 5-21

Chenu, C., Y. Le Bissonais, and D. Arrouays. 2000. Organic matter on clay wettability and soil aggregate stability. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64:1479–1486.

Eriksen, G.N., F.J. Coale, and G.A. Bollero. 1999. Soil nitrogen and maize production in municipal solid waste amended soil. Agron. J. 91:1009–1016.

FAO, 2008. Corporate Document Repository. [Online]. The impact of HIV/AIDS on the agricultural sector.://www.fao.org/DOCREP/005/Y4636E/y4636e05.htm

Foth, H.D. (1978). Fundamentals of Soil Science John Wiley and Sons Inc. New York.

Gebeyaw, T.Y. (2015). Effect of slope position on soil physico-chemical properties with different management practices in small holder cultivated farm of Abachoy Gara Catchingment Gida District North Wollo. American Jour. of Environ. Protection, 3(5):174 -179.

Gee, G.W. and Bauder, J.W. 1986. Particle size analysis. In: *Methods of Soil Analysis*, Part I. 2nd edition. Klute, A. (ed.). Amer.Soc.Agron., Madison. pp. 383-411.

Gregorich, E.G., K.J.Greer, D.W. Anderson, and B.C. Liang. 1998. Carbon distribution and losses: erosion and deposition effects. Soil Tillage Research 47:291-302

Guidi G. Pagliai M., Giachetti M. (1982). Modifications of Some Physical and Chemical Soil Properties Following Sludge and Compost Application. In: The Influence of Sewage Sludge on Physical and Biological Properties of Soils (Catroux G.R. Hermite, P. Suess E. (Eds). Dordrasht, the Netherlands. 122-30.

Guzman, J.G. and Al-Kaisi, M.M. (2011). Landscape position effect on selected soil physical properties of reconstructed prairies in south central Iowa. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 66(3):183-191

UNDER PEER REVIEW

International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (2005). Integrated Cassava Programme. Cassavabiz.org

Kemper, A, and Rosenau K. (1986)Size distribution of aggregates. In: Methods of soil Analysis. Klute, A. (Ed), part 1 ASA, Madison, WI, PP425-442.

Mitchell, G.A., Bingham, F.T., And Page, A.L (1982) Yield And Metal Composition Of Lettuce And Wheat Grown On Soils Amended With Sewage Sludge Enriched With Cadmum, Copper Nickel And Zinc. Jour. Environ. Qual. 7(2): 165-171.

Morafa, S.O.A. (2007). Use of Organic Materials on Cassava Maize Intercrop in an Infertile Oxic Tropudalf At Ijebu –Ife Ogun State. Ph.D Thesis University of Ibadan Pp. 135.

Nwachukwu O.I, Okpan, K.U. and Nyamali. F.O. (2012). Effect of Municipal and Sewage Dumping on Contaminant Levels in Soil and Groundwater at Umuahia and Aba Nigeria, Niger. Jour. Soil Sc. 22(2). 194-199

Obi, M. E. (2000). Soil Physics. *In*: A Compendium of Soil Physics. Atlanto Publishers, Nsukka, Nigeria, pp. 40-48.

Odedina S.A, Odedina J.N. Ojeniyi S.O. and Akinlana F. (2012). Effect of Different Organic Nutrient Sources and Two NPK Rates on the Performance and Nutrient Contents of a Newly Released Cassava Variety. Jour Life Sc. 6: 1003-1007.

Ojeniyi, S. O., Ezekiel, P .O., Asawalam, D. O., Awo, A. O., Odedina, S. A. and Odedina, J. N. (2009) Root growth and NPK status of cassava as influenced by oil palm bunch ash African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 8 (18), pp. 4407-4412

Peech M (1965). Hydrogen in activity: in C.A Black (ed), Amer. Soc. Of Agric., 9: 914-926

REFERENCES

Reynolds, W. D., Elrick, D. E. and Youngs, E. G. (2002). Ring or Cylinder Infiltrometers (Vadose Zone). Pp.818 – 826. *In:* J. H. Dane and G. C. Topp (eds) Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 4, Physical Methods. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. No. 5. Madison,WI.

Sittibusayo C (1993). [progress report of soil research on fertilization of field crops,1992]annual cassava program review, Rayong Thailand,19-20 January 1993(Thai).

Stevenson F.C., Knight J.D., And Van Kessel C. (1995). Dinitrogen Fixation in Pea. Controls at the Landscape and Micro-Scale. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 57: 988-995.

Tejada, M. and Gonzalez, J.L. (2004). Effects of application of a byproduct of the two-step olive oil mill process on maize yield. Agron. J. 96:692–699.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Tsui, C.,Chen,Z.and Hsieh, C. (2004). Relationship betweensoil properties and slope position ina low land rain forestof southern Taiwan. Geoderma,123(1-2) :131-142.

Webber, L.E. (1975). Incorporation of Non-Segegrated Non Composted Solid Waste and Soil Physical Properties. J. Environ. Qual. 7(3): 397-400.

Wezel A., Steinmiiler N, Friederichsen (2002) Slopes Position effects on Soil fertility and crop Productivity and Implications for soil Conservation in Upland Northwest Vietnam. Science Direct. 9(1-3): 113-126.