



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

|                          |                                                                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>        |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_31375                                                            |
| Title of the Manuscript: | TAXONOMIC COMPENDIUM OF PLANT COMMUNITY ON SHANKARACHARYA RESERVE FOREST |
| New Title                | TAXONOMIC COMPENDIUM OF PLANT COMMUNITY OF SHANKARACHARYA RESERVE FOREST |
| Type of Article:         | Original Research Article                                                |

**PART 2:**

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Again I must say authors have partially carried out the revision. I suggest authors to revise the Mss as per previous comments. They have taken into consideration only some of the comments. They must response to reviewers each queries. why they want to ignore some queries. at least they can present their own view why they don't want to respond. it is not always they have to agree with reviewer but authors must give reason for that.</p> <p>Mss needs to be checked again very carefully, there so many mistakes even after two rounds of revisions.</p> |                                                 |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Puneet Kumar</b>                                                         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Botanical Survey of India, Northern Regional Centre, Dehradun, India</b> |