SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_31206**
Title of the Manuscript:	**FARMERS' PERCEPTION ON MAIZE PRODUCTION IN THE HUMID FOREST AREA OF CAMEROON**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Title: Not adequate** **Abstract: Inadequate** **Methodology: How were the villages selected** **Results and discussion: Inadequate yet there exists some results outside the specific objectives** **Conclusion: Inadequate** **See manuscript for specific comments**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**F. O. Issa**
Department, University & Country	**National Agric Extension & Research Liaison Services, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria**