



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30460
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on percentage oil content variability and genetic divergence analysis of <i>Prunus armeniaca</i> L. (Wild Apricot) in Kashmir and Ladakh regions of India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• To show the statistically analysed data not the raw data. What was the level of significance used? To be indicated in text (see comment attached pdf. • To show how Fig 2 is derived, this is not indicated in the M&M • A few typos-capitalisation of words-see text	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good work but concerned with the general comments in discussion; For outcrossing species, the seedlings will only be half-sibs of the CPTs genotype. To ensure that the selected characteristics of the CPTs are linked to their genotype and not the environment, the CPTs clonals (cuttings, grafts) should be evaluated together at multiple sites.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Alice Muchugi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tree Diversity, World Agroforestry Centre, Kenya</i>