

RESPONSE TO LATE FOLIAR NITROGEN APPLICATION IN SOYBEAN PRODUCTIVITY

ABSTRACT: To achieve high productivity in soybean crop, a balanced fertilization is fundamental, therefore, it is needed appropriate management during the period in which the plant requires larger amounts of nitrogen, since this element is very extracted by the plant [Nazimah1]. This study evaluated the ~~response effect of late foliar~~ nitrogen fertilization using ammonium sulfate ~~applied on at early grain filling stage of soybean leaves crop~~. The experiment was ~~conducted-performed in-during~~ 2015/2016 crop in the state of Piauí, Brazil. Nitrogen was applied at doses ~~0, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15~~ D1 – zero kg ha⁻¹ (control); D2 – 3 kg ha⁻¹; D3 – 6 kg ha⁻¹; D4 – 9 kg ha⁻¹; D5 – 12 kg ha⁻¹; D6 – 15 kg ha⁻¹ ~~at the early grain-filling stage (R5). The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design with four replications, consisting of 10 rows, five meters long, 0.5 m spaced apart. Analyses were made Data was recorded~~ for number and length of pods, thousand seed weight and yield. Late foliar application of nitrogen ~~The results~~ showed no significant difference in grain yield. ~~It was noted that there is no response from of soybean plant to late nitrogen application.~~

Keywords: *Glycine max*, plant nutrition, foliar application, yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean ~~are an important source of protein and important is very important~~ for food and feed and it is a rich source of protein. Soybean production has been growing over the years and the harvest of 2014/15 Brazilian production reached a record 96.24 million tons.

Nitrogen is part of most organic compounds such as proteins, chlorophyll, hormones, nucleic acids and amino acids making it essential for plant life (MALAVOLTA, 2006). The increased demand for nutrients is observed at the flowering ~~period~~ and when there is the maximum volume of grain ~~at stages between R1 and R6 in plants~~ (LAMOND & WESLEY, 2001; MAEHLER et al., 2003). Much of nitrogen required by the crop comes from fixation ~~and through the by~~ symbiont *Bradyrhizobium* ~~bacteria, bacteria~~; the remainder is obtained via soil, by mineralization of organic matter (AMADO et al., 2010). However, several factors hamper the fixation process, ~~for example, i.e.~~ water deficit or excess, acidity, soil temperature, fertility, competition with wild strains and presence of excess nitrate (PURCELL et al., 2004; SINCLAIR et al., 2007).

Nitrogen fertilization represents an alternative to complement the biological fixation process, therefore, ammonium sulfate ($((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4)$) is often used; the second most widely used source in Brazil (ANDA, 2006). This formula shows 21% nitrogen and also has, on average, 24% sulfur (S), in addition, it has advantages, such as low hygroscopicity and nitrification, ~~low loss by less~~ volatilization

of N, ~~low nitrification~~ and good physical properties. ~~It, and~~ increases phosphorus solubility and soil manganese through the sulfur (COLLAMER [et al.](#), 2007). ~~Given the above~~

~~The aim of this study was to, this study evaluated~~ evaluate the efficacy of late foliarly applied nitrogen in soybean ~~to improve nitrogen deficiency at grain filling and yield production according to the late foliar application of different ammonium sulfate doses.~~


43

44 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

45 The research was conducted ~~in soybean crops~~ at the São João Farm, in the agricultural frontier region with the Brazilian Cerrado, state of Piauí (9° 3'25.69" S and 44° 33'12.89" W), 570 m altitude. The study was conducted ~~on soybean crops~~ [\[Nazimah2\]](#) ~~between during~~ December 2015 and March 2016. The climate is ~~classified as~~ warm tropical-Aw, according to Köppen classification, with rainy and hot summer. ~~Rainfall and average monthly~~ [The meterological data](#) ~~temperatures were~~ was monitored during the study period ~~;~~ from November 2015 to April 2016, rainfall was 746 mm, as shown in figure 1, and the average temperature was 26.5 °C.

52

53



54

55 **Fig. 1.** Rainfall, in millimeters, from November 2015 to April [2016](#) [\[Nazimah3\]](#).

56

57 Fertilization was based on soil analysis (~~t~~Table 1) and recommendation for the culture. Seeds were treated and inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium* bacteria at 5×10^9 colony forming unit.

59

60 **Table 1.** ~~Soil Physico-chemical analysis of the experimental area at~~ of soil samples collected at different depths ~~from experimental area.~~

61

Depth	pH	OM	P	K	Ca	Mg	Al	SB	SAND	CLAY	SILT
cm	CaC ₂	g/dm ³	mg/dm ³ [Nazimah4] ³	-----mmolc/dm ³ -----						-----g/kg-----	

0-10	4.8	26.4	30.3	3.8	38.3	7.6	0	49.7	660	280	60
10-20	4.2	20.5	11.1	1.4	14.4	2.9	5	18.7	610	300	90
20-40	3.1	14.3	6.3	0.7	1	0.9	12	2.6	590	330	80

Depth = soil depth; pH = potential of hydrogen; OM = organic matter; P = phosphorus; K = potassium; Ca = calcium; Mg = magnesium; Al = aluminum; SB = base saturation.

Sowing of soybean was made in late November 2015, the cultivar presented a determinate growth habit, 77 cm average height, 110 days average cycle, 8.6 maturity group. This cultivar has a high yield potential and good adaptation to regions with less than 600 meters altitude. The experimental design was a randomized block design, using six doses of ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄), D1 to D6: control, 0 kg ha⁻¹; D2 3 kg ha⁻¹; D3 6 kg ha⁻¹; D4 9 kg ha⁻¹; D5 12 kg ha⁻¹; D6 and 15 kg ha⁻¹, with 4 four replications.

Each plot size was 25 m² had having 10 rows which were 5 meters long and 0.5 m spaced apart, totaling 25 m². The useful area of the experimental unit was formed by the three central rows, excluding 0.5 m from each end of the rows. Ammonium sulfate (21% N and 24% S) was used as a source of fertilizer. For the application of N in liquid form, we used a knapsack sprayer of 20 liters in the period from the end of the pod formation stage (R4) to the beginning of the grain filling stage (R5). For the assessments, plants were collected only in the useful area of the plot. Harvest was done manually, manually, when the plants have reached the R8 developmental stage, when (95% of the pods had the typical color of mature pod). For the assessment of the yield components of the crop, were evaluated as:-

Soybean yield: plants of the useful area of the plot were measured for total weight of the grains of the collected plants. The result was presented in kg ha⁻¹ (with moisture adjusted to 13%).

Thousand seed weight: eight samples of 100 seeds were taken at random from each plot, and each sample was weighed on a scale and then applied the formula described in Brasil (2009). The results were expressed in grams.

Number of pods per plant: five plants of each plot were collected, and we counted the number of pods and later calculated the average of 5 plants.

Length of pods: of the five plants collected for determining the number of pods, 10 pods per were taken plant to measure the length, using a digital caliper and then obtaining the average per plant.

Data were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using by applying the F-test and processed by the R software (version 3.2.3).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 lists the results of the analysis of variance. For the number of pods and length of pods, there was no significant difference, showing that the different doses applied to leaves of soybean plants at R4-R5 stages had no significant influence on this variable. This result differs from

that reported by Zocca and Fancelli (2013), who tested N foliar applications in soybeans, which had a significant increase in the number of pods per plant compared to the control.

~~For the length of pods, the result was also non-significant. According to the authors mentioned above, this result~~ may be related to lack of biological nitrogen fixation at the final stage of the crop.

Table 2: Analysis of variance for number of pods (NP), length of pods (LP), thousand seed weight (TSW) and yield.

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	NP (unit/plant)	LP (cm)	TSW	YIELD
Dose	5	147.94 ^{ns}	3.07 ^{ns}	35.16 ^{ns}	126.69 ^{ns}
Blocks	3	116.02 ^{ns}	0.09 ^{ns}	27.99 ^{ns}	25.89 ^{ns}
Residue	15	164.85	1.54	17.31	92.73
Coefficient of variation (%)		23.08	2.82	6.77	30.18

^{ns}: non-significant

For TSW (table 2), significant differences were not detected, this may have occurred because of high water stress in the region during the grain filling period (R5), thus providing pods with malformed grains and low weight. Sohrabi *et al.* (2012), in Iran, conducted an experiment with urea and observed that the treatments with application of 50 kg ha⁻¹ and 100 kg ha⁻¹, at the R1 and R5 stages, caused no significant difference in 1000 grain weight between treatments, thus demonstrating that late applications caused no significant response.

Yield, in kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2), was also not affected by the late application of ammonium sulfate. These results contradict Zocca and Fancelli (2013), who verified a production of 3890.50 kg ha⁻¹ and 3940.50 kg ha⁻¹ using foliar application of N (25%) at a dose of 10 L ha⁻¹ at the stage of R5 and R1 + R5. However, Pasqualli and Pittelkow (2014), in an experiment with nitrogen (32%) as nitrate, ammonium and amidic in the same fertilizer applied on soybean noted an increase in yield at doses of 3 and 5 L ha⁻¹, however the results were not significantly different. Rosa *et al.* (2015) also reported that the application of foliar N (30%) at the R4 and R5 reproductive stages have not increased yield when used in two doses of 4 L ha⁻¹.

Table 3. Average number of pods (NP), length of pods (LP), thousand seed weight (TSW) and yield of different nitrogen doses applied.

DOSE	NP Unit/plant	LP Cm	TSW g	YIELD kg/ha ⁻¹
0	58.35	42.45	95.85	1750.20
3	63.15	44.84	95.63	2406.10
6	49.85	43.82	93.75	1667.97
9	61.00	43.63	88.38	1406.29
12	52.95	44.15	91.63	2013.47
15	48.45	44.76	90.58	2139.71

For application via foliar, ~~we observed~~ toxicity symptoms were observed at doses of 6, 9, 12 and 15 kg ha⁻¹, only the dose of 3 kg ha⁻¹ caused no symptoms, but the plants were able to recover and complete their cycle. According to Rosolem ~~&and~~ Boaretto (1989), the foliar application of considerable levels of nitrogen may cause toxicity, which can be minimized by the choice of the nutrient source, the nozzle and spray volume, as well as the time of application.

Discussion has taken place about the inefficiency of nitrogen fixation to supply the demand at the grain filling stage (R5). Nevertheless, it should be noted that some practices and chemicals used for seed treatment are incompatible with N₂ fixing bacteria, leading to their death ~~of them~~ (Ferreira ~~et al.~~, 2011) and thus impairing the final production. The mineral forms of N, NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻, affects not only the biological fixation, ~~but also the nodulation of the plants, for by~~ inhibiting ~~the formation of~~ nodules initiation or causing their senescence ~~of those already formed~~ (BOTTOMLEY ~~and~~ MYROLD, 2007).

4. CONCLUSION

The foliar application of ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄) at the grain filling phase (R4 and R5) ~~((NH₄)₂SO₄) ammonium sulfate did not had not~~ increased the yield of soybean, compared to the control ~~(Nazimah5), when applied at the grain filling phase (R4 and R5).~~

5. REFERENCES

1. Amado TJC, Schlindwein, Fiorin JE. Manejo do solo visando à obtenção de elevados rendimentos de soja sob sistema plantio direto. In: Thomas AL, Costa JÁ, editors. Soja - Manejo para alta produtividade de grãos. 1th ed. 2010. Portuguese.
2. Anda. Anuário estatístico do setor de fertilizantes. São Paulo. 2006:162. Portuguese
3. Brasil. Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. Regras para análise de sementes. Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. Secretaria de Defesa Agropecuária. Mapa/ACS, 2009:399. Portuguese
4. Bottomley PJ, Myrold DD. Biological N inputs. In: Paul, EA, editors. Soil microbiology, ecology and biochemistry. 3th ed. Oxford: Academic Press; 2007.
5. Collamer JD, Gearhart M, Monesmith FL. Sulfato de Amônio. Informações Agronômicas. 2007;10:7-8. Portuguese
6. Conab. Acompanhamento safra brasileira de grãos. 2015;2:1-134. Accessed 22 November 2016. Available. http://www.conab.gov.br/OlalaCMS/uploads/arquivos/15_09_11_08_57_48_boletim_graos_setembro_2015.pdf. Portuguese.
7. Ferreira E, Nogueira MA, Fukami J, Conceição RB, Hungria M. Nova legislação, recomendação de doses de inoculantes e pré-inoculação: riscos ao sucesso da contribuição da fixação biológica do nitrogênio para a cultura da soja. Embrapa Soja, 2011;358. Portuguese.
8. Lamond RE, Wesley TL. Adubação nitrogenada no momento certo para soja de alta produtividade. Informações Agronômicas. 2001;95:6-7. Portuguese.

- 167 9. Maehler AR, Costa JÁ, Pires JLF. Qualidade de grãos de duas cultivares de soja em função
168 da disponibilidade de água no solo e arranjo de plantas. Revista Ciência Rural. 2003;33:213-
169 218. Portuguese.
- 170 10. Malavolta E. Manual de nutrição de plantas. Editora Agronômica Ceres. 2006;638.
171 Portuguese.
- 172 11. Pasqualli RM, Pittelkow FK. Efeito da aplicação de ubylol n-32 na cultura da soja. Fundação
173 de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Tecnológico Rio Verde. 2015. Portuguese.
- 174 12. Purcell LC, Rachid S, Thomas R & D. Soybean N₂ fixation estimates, ureide concentration,
175 and yield responses to drought. Crop Science. 2004;44:484-492.
- 176 13. Rosa LS, Silva AP, Jesus VV, Kolesk AL, Petean LP. Influência da utilização de nitrogênio
177 aplicado via foliar na fase reprodutiva da soja. 2015:1983-7178. Portuguese.
- 178 14. Rosolem CA, Boaretto AE. Avaliação do estado nutricional das plantas cultivadas. In:
179 Boaretto AE, Rosolem CA, editors. Adubação foliar. 1th ed. Campinas: Fundação Cargill;
180 1989. Portuguese.
- 181 15. Sinclair TR, Urcell LC, King CA, Sneller CH, Chen P, Vadez V. Drought tolerance and yield
182 increase of soybean resulting from improved symbiotic N₂ fixation. Field Crops Research.
183 2007:101:68-71.
- 184 16. Sohrabi Y, Habibi A, Mohammadi K, Sohrabi M, Heidari G, Khalesro S, Khalvandi M. Effect of
185 nitrogen (N) fertilizer and foliar-applied iron (Fe) fertilizer at various reproductive stages on
186 yield, yield component and chemical composition of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) seed.
187 African Journal of Biotechnology. 2012;11:9599-9605.
- 188 17. Zocca TN, Fancelli AL. Incremento de produtividade da soja pelo uso de nitrogênio foliar.
189 ESALQ/USP. 21º SIICUSP: Simpósio Internacional de Iniciação Científica da USP.
190 Piracicaba. 2013. Portuguese.