



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30154
Title of the Manuscript:	Mega Environment Identification for Soybean [Glycine max L. Merrill] in Zambia
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The word morphological traits have been given to the plant height, days to 50% flowering, yield. Can't we use the term 'Quantitative traits for all these'? 2. Line 184: Days to 50% flowering is a quantitative trait rather morphological trait. So, it is better to discuss with your guide about the terminology what should be written there. 3. The statistical test you have used should also be written in the footnote of the table. 4. You can add more references to the discussion, if available	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 74: Correct the sub-script of P_2O and K_2O Lines 79&91: Don't start with capital in the middle instead write: plant Height, number of pods per plant Line 107: Write comma (,) after therefore Line 15: Write comma (,) before and after 'however' Line 214: pods per plant	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahnawaz Khursheed
Department, University & Country	Department Of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, India