SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_36296**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF HARVESTING STAGE ON SEED QUALITY ASPECTS OF PUMPKIN (Curcubita pepo L.).**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	NO	
Minor REVISION comments	Mention below in Pg.3 as Minor Revision Comments	

Minor Revision Comments

S.No	Chapter	Location	Content	Suggestion
1.	Abstract	2line	purposely	delete
2.	Abstract	3line	the on	the seed at the time of
3.	Introduction	9line	Rhaman et al., 2013).	Rahman et al., 2013).
4.	Introduction	11line	low seed quality level.	low seed quality
5.	Introduction		into,	into and
6.	Introduction	24line	the right stage (physiological maturity)	(physiological maturity)
7.	Introduction	26line	poor stores	poor storage
8.	Materials and Methods-Planting materials	pg4, 7line	seedlings, then good yield	seedlings and high yield
9.	Materials and Methods-Experimental design	3line	(from round fruited..pumpkin)	delete from (round….pumpkin)
10	Discussion	2line	site	location
11	Discussion	5line	small	lesser
12	Discussion	7line	surest measures of	important criteria for
13	Discussion	7line	who say	reported/observed
14	Discussion	17line	thus,	and
15	References	Acacio	Vol. 10(27), pp	.10(27):
16	References	Laercio,	vol.36 no.1 pp.	.36(1):
17	References	Maity	up-	Uttarpradesh, India-
18	References	Vidigal	37,192-201	37:192-201.
19	References	Yao	Biosciences 49	Biosciences. 49
20	References			can check all references with journal format

Optional/General comments	- -	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***R.Mahalakshmi***
Department, University & Country	***India***