



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15759
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Administrative Measures to Sustainable Forestry Development In South-West Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Conclusion drawn is very crispy need to be elaborated with pragmatic action plan to implement the desired / required.	
Minor REVISION comments	Review of literature may be incorporated citing pertinent works already published	
Optional/General comments	By and large standard piece of research work	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Teki
Department, University & Country	Department of Managment Studies, Adikavi Nannaya University, Rajahmundry-533296, India