



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_38489
Title of the Manuscript:	Breeding of Tomato for Resistance to Bacterial Wither
Type of the Article	Review Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic is of scientific importance. However, the language in scientific research should differentiate between researcher/scientist and layman language. For instance, in line 3-4, "BACTERIAL WITHER" is a layman language. Therefore, I would suggest that the topic should read thus;" BREEDING OF TOMATO FOR RESISTANCE TO BACTERIAL WILT" instead of" BREEDING OF TOMATO FOR RESISTANCE TO BACTERIAL WITHER." This needs to also be corrected wherever word appears in the text.</p> <p>In the abstract section, in the sentence ".....because it is difficult of control..." the word "OF" needs to be changed to "to."</p> <p>In line 12, it will be more appropriate to write "INHERITANCE" instead of "HERITAGE"</p> <p>In line 34, "It is a plant of habit of indeterminate or determined growth" needs recasting.</p> <p>Knowing fully that tomato is not even shrub, and there exist different cultivars/varieties/accessions with different traits, is it not an exaggeration to say tomato roots can reach 5 m deep? (Line 36-37). This is not clear.</p> <p>In line 63, I am of different opinion that tomato plant is a perennial plant. Tomato plant does not have perpetual lifespan. Authors need to be informed that there are differences between annual, biennial and perennial plants.</p> <p>Sequevares? (Line 93) Check the correct spelling.</p> <p>In line 107,131, 232 and 288, authors need to understand that the name of the pathogen should be italicized.</p> <p>Let it be understood that before you abbreviate any word, it should be written in full at first mention (i.e QTLs in line 172 and INPA in line 194).</p> <p>I suggest if section 3 of the paper can be separated into (i) Occurrence, diversity and sources of <i>R. solanacearum</i> (ii) Breeding for resistance to tomato bacterial wilt. This will make it more comprehensive.</p> <p>What is the essence of the empty parenthesis in line 174?</p> <p>Appropriate punctuation is necessary for better understanding of the message the writer is passing across to readers. Therefore, I urge the authors to make appropriate punctuation in the text.</p>	<p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Form efficient to classify the bacteria of complex <i>R. solanacearum</i>.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>We think it will be a better understanding for readers in the way it is.</p> <p>Error in typing, but it has been Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p>	<p><b>In the abstract section, authors need to be specific that the causal agent of tomato bacterial wilt is <i>R. solanacearum</i>.</b></p> <p><b>Objective needs to be recast. In this respect, the whole abstract needs to be restructured while the introduction (i.e section 1) is needed to be tailored down towards revealing intention of the paper at the end of it.</b></p>	<p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p>
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The paper contains some facts about the subject matter.</b></p>	<p>We appreciate the opportunity to improve the paper.</p>