



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37717
Title of the Manuscript:	Production and quality of jelly palm fruits submitted to Neem oil
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	➤ Please cross check regression analysis graph 1A (Y axis), is it MCP or CMP and same for 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 3B and 3D	1. The charts have been checked and tidied as per suggestion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	➤ In the material method section in place of showing Physiological variable in text better if presented in table format. ➤ Text material not have continuity author can try to improve it by rewriting for better readers approach	1. Thanks for the suggestion, but we opted to keep the topics, and not tables, because we believe it facilitates the understanding of the variables. 2. The manuscript underwent an intensive revision of the English language, in order to facilitate the understanding of the text by a larger number of JEAI readers. Suggestion accepted.