



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37515
Title of the Manuscript:	Nursery Pre- and post-transplant Effects on Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) Growth and Yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic addressed in this research is important and adds information to the state of the art about tomato cultivation. This work presents a practical and passive contribution of use by farmers. However, some points may be better written for ease of understanding. 1. Describe how the need for irrigation was calculated; 2. Insert chart with the climatic variables informing when the evaluations were done. This is important because the climate is decisive for the BAP action. 3. Attention to the correct writing of the liter symbol, where it has to replace by L, for example in the legend of figure 1. 4. Standardize the number of decimal places in the axes of the figures. 5. Reduce the thickness of the error bars in the figures and insert the letters for differentiation of the averages.	1. OK. It was included. 2. Although it is true that BAP action is related to climate, main to light intensity (Di Benedetto et al., 2013: Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology, 88 (2): 179-186; Di Benedetto et al., 2015: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 5 (5): 419-434), both temperature and light intensity during the experiments were beyond the limiting range. 3. OK. Liter symbol has been corrected so in figures as in text 4. OK. It was done 5. OK. It was done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		