



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_37002
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of plants extracts and the mycoinsecticide (Metarhizium anisopliae) on cowpea leaves damages and population dynamic/density of flower thrips in the field.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The flower trips naturally contaminated the cowpea field or were they brought for experimentation? Figure should be replaced by FIG FIG1 and 2: you must enlarge the symbols that represent the various treatments to be better identified. FIG. 3: the symbol of the negative control is not identical in FIG. Line 133: "the field was kept closed after data collection from 8:00 to 10:00". How and by what the field was closed?	Flower thrips naturally infest cowpea flowers in the field on which they can cause up to 90% damages Figures has been replaced in all the figures by Fig. The symbols have been made clear enough The symbol of the negative control is now identical in all the figures The sentence has been reformulated to give the right meaning: To reduce disturbance of insects, the field was not visited before data collection scheduled from 08:00 to 10:30.
Optional/General comments		