



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36985
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of different population densities in the production performance of azuki beans lineages
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- English language editing - Data for PVCS are not shown in Table 1 but the abbreviation PVCS is shown. - The experiments were well set up but the presentation of the work was largely affected by serious grammatical errors and incorrect writing of symbols of elements and empirical formulae. For instance, the symbols of Calcium, Sodium, Magnesium and Aluminium were given as ca, na, mg and al, respectively. The symbols are correctly written as Ca (Calcium), Na (Sodium), Mg (Magnesium) and Al (Aluminium) etc. Moreover, the empirical formulae of phosphorus pentoxide is correctly written P_2O_5 (not p2o5). The soil pH cannot be presented as 'ph'. - It is 'F-test' and not 'f test' - Under Table 1, the abbreviation 'CV' was used to stand for two different things, namely coefficient of variation and length of pods. In ANOVA, CV is commonly used to mean coefficient of variation and I therefore advise that different abbreviation must be used to stand for length of pods. For the sake of consistence, the abbreviation that will be used for length of pods under Table 1 must also be used under Table 2. - Line 178, what is 'she'? - Tables must be typed starting with capital letters e.g. Table 1, Table 2 etc. - Under Table 2, why letters for mean differences are not shown for means in columns of NVP, CV, NSV PCG and PC under population densities? - The words 'vargem and fenagem' or any other words, which are not English words must be translated into 'English' - Line 56, replace the word 'local' with 'site location' or other better words - Line 76, replace 'soil and date' with 'soil properties and sowing date' - Which is correct, Figure 1 or Figure 01? See lines 91 and 93.	We agree with all the requirements made by the reviewer, we hope to have met the requirements. No doubt the manuscript is more complete with these corrections.
Minor REVISION comments	- Line 68, for consistence, 500 thousand and elsewhere in the text (e.g. lines 179, 181, etc.) can be written as 500,000 plants ha⁻¹. - As for harvesting time, the authors better not give the date of harvesting but day intervals. - In ANOVA, it is standard that there is control treatment but no control treatment was specified in this case. Is any of the spacings used in this study recommended for use in Brazil? If yes, then a combination of lineage with the recommended spacing can be considered as standard or control treatment - On statistical analysis, the authors mention the use of AgroEstat software. I advise them to give the name of the software developer and where it was published. - I recommend that instead of using 'weight of hundred grains' the authors use 'weight of hundred seeds' - Please use a simple and clear word instead of 'roborating'	We accept the reviewers suggestions. I hope we have fulfilled the requested corrections.
Optional/General comments	I fully appreciate the efforts by the researchers and find that results presented in their work can be very useful to farmers in Brazil and elsewhere. As such I find this work is worth publishing in your journal but presentation clarity is blurring the message carried in it at the moment. Therefore, authors must edit the document for clarity and follow international standards in writing symbols of elements and also formulae of compounds.	Thanks for the suggestions. We have edited the article putting them in the international standards, we hope to have met all the standards