



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36984
Title of the Manuscript:	Alternative substrates for production of tomato seedlings of the cherry group
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Rewrite the introduction part Objective of this work is not clear This experiment was conducted at green house condition why the CV is very high (Table-2) Author should check the data For table 3 all the parameters are non-significant is there any co relation between table 2 and table 3 How you calculate the economic analysis?	1] Corrected. 2] Corrected. 3] The high CV's of Table 2 should be related to the nature of the variables, where several authors found similar results. 4] Conferred. 5] Does not exist co relation. 6] The methodology is found in the article and was calculated manually.
Minor REVISION comments	Be specify in your findings at abstract and conclusion section Author should mention clearly the data analysis part in methodology section Also should provide the economic analysis with citation For emergency, emergency velocity index, mean time of emergence where did you get the equation is there any reference? Author should provide the correct citation for these equations.	1] Corrected. 2] Attended. 3] Attended. 4] Attended.
Optional/General comments	Methodology part should write separately Such as –site description, crop management, data analysis In results part should avoid which is not related to the topics	1] Attended.