



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36537
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and properties of green tea with reduced caffeine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Clarity of problem being investigated	So far low caffeine tea was inferior in quality and, manufacturing method and price was not reasonable. We developed more reasonable and high-quality low caffeine tea than ever. We mentioned these in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Results seems to be focusing on method more than the outcome Conclusion informs of product and not the findings	We revised the conclusion section.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		I appreciate your review.