



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36537
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and properties of green tea with reduced caffeine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What is HPLC, DMSO? I suggest you give a deeper explanation to the significant differences found in the concentration of theanine and catechin (figure 1B and 1C, infusion time 1h) Adapt references to Journal of Experimental Agriculture International style	Abbreviations are precisely defined in the manuscript. The manufacturing process might have an effect on the elution of compounds from tea leaves, resulting in the significant difference for the 1 h infusion only, but the reason was not clear. I mentioned in the discussion section. The style of references was corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Interesting research	I appreciate your review.