



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36365
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance and Nitrogen Value of Broiler Finisher Birds (29-57 days) in Low-Protein Diets Supplemented with the Most Limiting Essential Amino Acids
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The English should be improved throughout the paper. Abstract - The content should be simplified. For example, the Result obtained indicated...could be simply as Result indicated. Was highest and significant can be simply significantly high etc. Introduction - On the second page, first para should be rewored because it is difficult to understand at its current form. - Authors should also review what other researchers have done in this area. I believe that low protein diet is commercially used in many countries. At least authors have to let readers' understand that their work is not repeat others' work. Materials and Methods - The description of AA should be deleted because these are standard products from the supplier - Should delete the detailed decription of the environment condition in the region. The experimental birds are housed in the shed so more detailed info in the shed is more important than outside. Unfortunately, the authors did not give info e.g temperature. Humidity, experimental design, replicates, stock density etc - IN the diet formulation, digestible AA level should be listed. Results and discussion - Too much repetition of the data in the Table - The content should be rewritten to improve readability Conclusion Based on this study, I don't think the authors can give any conclusive comments.	Noted and corrected All suggestions considered and implemented. Noted. Noted and corrections effected. Conclusive comments were given at least to the extent of the use of some limiting EAAs in broiler production at the finisher phase. For example, Crude protein can therefore be reduced by 3 percentage points from 20% to 17% without any detrimental effects on the growth performance indices of broiler finisher birds (29-57 days).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		