



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36359
Title of the Manuscript:	Photosynthetic and antioxidant behavior of Phaseolus vulgaris in response to potassium nutrition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The tile of study must be changed There are many terminology conflict in text. Abstract must be edited by authors!. The English of whole text must be edited!. Authors should again review. The comments were given on the text. Authors must fix according to comments. Especially statistical analyses?. If Authors don't show good statically group on the tables and figures, This manuscript can be rejected. Because Authors did not show any Anova Table and LSD value of parameters. And Also Reader can see just the differences of cultivars. Readers must see both the differences of potassium treatment and the differences of cultivars. The study is good. But it needs major revision. After authors make the revision, It can be evaluated for future stage for publish.	noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		