



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36346
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of relations between compositional contents of several agro-wastes and productivity of <i>Pleurotus</i> spp.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Title of the paper is 'Determination of relations between compositional contents of several agro-wastes and productivity of <i>Pleurotus</i> spp.' but the objective of this study was to evaluate the suitability of various lignocellulosic wastes for the cultivation, and which was not included to the title. - Check with the authors guide. The author has used the Vancouver (author-number) style or IEEE style in the reference. Usually authors use the Harvard style - The following paper is not in the text but include in the reference list. - Royse DJ. (2014) - The reference style has to be according to the authors guide. eg. Royse DJ. (2014) Tables 3, 4 and 5 table heading is not according to the authors guide.....	- The title was changed as "Evaluation of suitability of various agro-wastes for productivity of <i>Pleurotus</i> spp." - Reference style was corrected - Reference number was corrected in the text. "From 1997 to 2010, <i>Pleurotus</i> spp. production has increased at a rapid rate worldwide from 876 t to 6.288 t (618%) [2]." - It was corrected - Table headings were corrected
Minor REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	Revisions were done as above comments
Optional/General comments	Follow the authors guide. Changes required.	