



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36221
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of certain insecticide and biopesticide combinations against major insect pests on long duration pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good work and presentation. The work clearly highlights the selective combined use of insecticides and biopesticides in the control and management of insect pests which has reduced pigeonpea yield and increased farmers cost of producing this important pulse crop in India. The research interestingly tried to discourage the sole dependence on synthetic chemicals because of their effect on non-target organisms and the environment.	
Minor REVISION comments	Few corrections have been highlighted in the comment icons. Author(s) should strictly effect the corrections as appropriate. Names of all cited journals should be written in full and not abbreviated to enable for plagiarism check and source referencing.	1. We authors are highly obliged for the valuable corrections made by reviewers. 2. Recommended corrections have been made in the manuscript and that part has been highlighted with yellow colour. 3. In the reference section, all the full names of the journals have been incorporated as suggested by the reviewer. 4. '@' is the standard abbreviation used to represent the rate of dose of any insecticide formulation. Hence this abbreviation has not been replaced by the word 'at'. The different citations used in the manuscript justify the same.
Optional/General comments	Good work and well presented.	