



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36152
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of the timing of water deficits on cotton water economy, growth, and yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is well planned and executed. Discussion and interpretation of results are also fine. I only have a little doubt. I would think that, according to your description, you are not dealing with replicates but with repetitions. Please check. Some suggestions: in the abstract, please describe briefly your statistical methods, and provide a measurement of variation in your tables (i.e. standard deviation or standard error)	I think there is a fine line between replication and repetitions but for the sake of this publication I think it is best left as is. There is a limited numbers of words that can go into the abstract so just had room for study design. Typically, with the stats that were used it is not necessary to show the variation so tables were left as is.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		