



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_36035
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Adoption of Technologies for Cashew Production in Nampula, Mozambique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The introduction is a bit long Tables have no source In terms of methodology; explain the choice of sites and producers. At the level of producers how the choice was made	The introduction was shortened. Source for tables were indicated. Villages and producers' selection procedure was explained.
Optional/General comments	It's a good job Good review of literature on the subject The theoretical framework is well suited Good references	Thank you.