



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_35938
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of irrigation timing on water economy, growth, and yield of water stressed cotton
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors had great effort to evaluate the Effects of irrigation timing on water economy, growth, and yield of water stressed cotton, only few mistakes that I found in my review is below 1. There are some grammar mistakes which made confusion in understanding, its need to recheck whole manuscript. In addition, need to reduce irrelevant sentences. 2. Authors used lack of standard literature, especially for selected parameters adoption, add and revise introduction and result discussion part accordingly. 3. There is need to recheck figure and table to improve the vision. 4. Author should add conclusion with study significance. Delete irrelevant information.	1. The corresponding author has re-read the manuscript and found only one correction (highlighted in yellow) that required change. Unless the reviewer can be more specific in his/her comments about errors in grammar then the manuscript will be left as is. 2. The literature is limited on this subject and therefore no further changes are required. 3. This had been re-checked and fore see no farther changes. 4. The conclusion states the results of the study and discusses the results. All information presented is important in explaining the results.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		