SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_35741**
Title of the Manuscript:	**REVIEW OF EDIBLE PLANTS IN DUMPSITES: RISKS OF HEAVY METALS TOXICITY AND IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• **The entire manuscript was read very carefully crossing the text and references. Always research papers must be according to the frames of the guidelines if the particular journal.** • **Frame the entire manuscript as for the guidelines of the journal.** • **Check the references present in the text and reference section.** • **It is necessary to rewrite the introductory part with suitable references.** • **Mention the conclusion very clearly and it should carry a message to even a common man because every day every organism taking the heavy metal along with the food.** • **Abstract is well framed with minor corrections the paper may send to the editorial board.** • **This review paper is massive to the society. Such research work is needed now because everywhere atmosphere is polluted and is entering into the food chain affecting the health of the organisms.** • **National and International legislative guidelines are necessary to control the pollution.**	Reviewer's suggestions have been taken into cognizance in the revision of this manuscript and revised sections has been highlighted in yellow colour
Minor REVISION comments	----------	Agreed with little modifications highlighted in yellow colour
Optional/General comments	Before sending to the editorial board the above suggestion must be corrected properly according to the guidelines of the journal to maintain quality.	