



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_35682
Title of the Manuscript:	Present Status of Pond Fish Farming: Evaluation From Small Scale Fish Farmer Under Saidpur Upazila, Nilphamari, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Line 86 88 97 108 116 126 ...Is it possible to note the authors (as at line 107: Islam (2012) observed that...) to allow the reader to better follow? So, I want to know that in this case, Islam, is he the author? -In the face of the problem of illness, you have made some observations. Could you Comment because diseases have an influence on production. -The same is true for poverty and lack of training, Could you comment a little more instead of just describing	Dear sir. Hope you are keeping well. Thank you very much for your nice comments. In the line 86 the author name is correct and in 88 it is mistake its Reza et al and in the line 107 also corrected which you mention. We only identify the pond fish farming status with emphasis of some social aspects, we only mention that is they affected diseases? And which spp more affected and what are main problem in production line. Thank you sir
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A good study that can be published.	