



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_35023
Title of the Manuscript:	Beans Genotypes (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) of the Black Group in the Cerrado Environment, Brazil
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The proposed for review manuscript considered a question related with the evaluation of seven black bean cultivars under the soil and climatic conditions of the Brazilian cerrado.</p> <p>In this study, they were tested seven black bean cultivars of basic agronomic traits.</p> <p>Abstract is clear, informative, brief and representative of the work and underlines the significance of the subject.</p> <p>Introduction is understandable, clear and comprehensive exposing the reader to the topic.</p> <p>Purpose and objectives are scientifically appropriate. In "Introduction" are cited more than 6 authors.</p> <p>Individual sections of the manuscript are adequately represented. The authors comment results of previous studies on the relevant subject. The findings are particularly valuable having in a mind importance of the crop studied.</p>	<p>The authors agreed with all the suggestions made by the reviewer. We are happy for the important contributions made.</p> <p>Best regards</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>However, some minor errors were noted:</p> <p>In Abstract: Lines 7-8 almost literally repeats Lines 52-55 It should be edited.</p> <p>In Material and Methods Table 1 is not mentioned in the main text. I think that Table 1 and Table 2 Can be combined into one table.</p> <p>In Results and discussion</p>	<p>Abstract: Made Material and Methods: Made Results and discussion: Made Conclusion: Made</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>The location in figure 3 should be after the reference and the text. That is, after Line 237.</p> <p>In conclusion: This part must be given in an expanded, not just one sentence.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		