



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_35023</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Beans Genotypes (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) of the Black Group in the Cerrado Environment, Brazil</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short communication</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		The authors of the work thank the (a) reviewer for the attentive reading of our work. We are sure that the approval of the work by the reviewer means that the work represents an important contribution to the scientific academic and agronomic environment of Brazil and the world.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Better to write number of days to flowering or days to flowering/days to harvest than to write number of days until flowering/number of days until harvest	<b>Made</b>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Type of paper has been mentioned as Short communication. Paper has about 20 pages better to be included as full length paper. The data presented in the manuscript is quite informative, scientific and good work.	Authors agree to the suggestion and are in contact with the publisher to make this change.  Best regards