



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_34564
Title of the Manuscript:	EDIBLE FLOWERS
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is within the scope of the journal. The work is relevant, original and will contribute to the scientific community. The writing is good, the methods very well detailed, few errors and showing care in the preparation. Thus, the recommendation is to accept the manuscript. However, few corrections should be conducted to further improve the quality of the manuscript presented. The considerations are described below.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The considerations are: - Line 10: Replace semicolon by comma:... (1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8). - Line 14: Put the first letter in uppercase:...calendula... - Line 24: Put in parentheses only Table 1, and the reference in the next:... (Table 1; 12)... - Line 43: Replace comma by end point:.... capacity, - Line 64: Put the first letter in uppercase:... smantus... rosa...	- Line 10: Correction made - Line 14: Correction made - Line 24: Correction made - Line 43: Correction made - Line 64: Correction made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		