



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_34564
Title of the Manuscript:	EDIBLE FLOWERS
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article brings some important information. But the paper could require some corrections such as: - English language should be improved - Latin names of species must be corrected - There are many misspellings in all text, - Punctuation rules are not respected, - Abstract and conclusion could be rebuilt clearly and concretely, specifying the most important points. - References must be standardized. - The results of chemical composition and biological activity should be extended and systematized in the tables.	References corrected English language improved Latin names of species corrected Abstract and conclusion rebuilt
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		