



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_34437
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of farmers' save seed and improved seed on maize productivity in south western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	References should be written as per style of the journal format.	This is noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line No. 5: One key word should be farmers saved seed. Line No. 27 to 29: The sentence is not clear needs to re-write. Line No. 31: The authors mainly focused on the maize productivity in south western Nigeria. It would be better to compare other seed systems of other countries that provide more insights on the issue. For instance, seed and grain production analyses in pigeonpea have been elucidated in Indian context (Pal et. al., 2016a, Sahu and Ram, 2012, Sreelakshmi et al., 2012 and Pal et al., 2016b). Similarly, studies on seed production in other regions could depict the problem lucidly. Govind Pal, Radhika C., R. K. Singh, Udaya Bhaskar K, H. Ram, and S. Rajendra Prasad (2016a). An Economic Analysis of Pigeonpea Seed Production Technology and its Adoption Behavior: Indian Context. <i>The Scientific World Journal</i> Vol. 2016, 1-7. Sahu, R.P. and Jiyawan, Ram (2012). Comparative economics of Pigeonpea cultivation in the Farmers' fields in Uttarakhand. <i>Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development</i>, 7: 171-174. Sreelakshmi, Ch., Kumar, C.V. S. and Shivani, D. (2012). Productivity Enhancement of Pigeonpea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i> L.) through improved production technologies. <i>Madras Agric. J.</i>, 99:	The correction had been attended to. The Institute has Zonal mandate on genetic improvement of maize varieties in the south western state of Nigeria. The suggestion of the reviewer will be taken into consideration during subsequent attempts.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	248-250. Govind Pal, Radhika C., R. K. Singh, Udaya Bhaskar K, H. Ram, and S. Rajendra Prasad (2016b). Comparative economics of seed production vis-à-vis grain production of pigeonpea in Karnataka. <i>Legume research</i> 39 (5):806-809. Line No. 46 to 53: The information is related to methodology part and needs to remove from introduction part.	It was the previous reports by different authors so that was the reason for mentioning it under literature review.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Many spelling mistakes have been observed in the manuscript, the same may be corrected.	Thank you. It was written in Nigeria. I have adapted the manuscript to America English.