SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_33807**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION AND AGRONOMIC POTENTIAL OF ANDROGENIC PEPPER GENOTYPES DERIVED FROM PIRAN (Capsicum annuum L. cv. Piran)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comments to author's: 1. There are a number of things to fix in the MS to improve the readability. I have marked them in the MS. A number of typo and grammatical mistakes have been seen in your manuscript, Please try to improve the readability of your manuscript 2. You need to elaborate discussions section, otherwise you have missed the power of this technique. 3. Insert short summary about relevance of your research for breeders.	1. Accepted and corrected 2. Accepted and corrected 3. Accepted and corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	Discussed your result stronger, otherwise you missed power of this technique… Used past tense Concluded with future strategies	All of the comments are accepted and corrected in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		