



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_33138
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Potato Crop to Integrated Nutrient Management in the Indo-Gangetic Alluvial Soils of West Bengal, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest a minor REVISION	
Optional/General comments	There was in- consistency in Reference e.g. The author cited Rangana, 1979 but Ranganna, 1986 was listed in the Reference page. There were too many old and obsolete references. Examples Jackson, 1958, 1973; Gomez and Gomez,1984 etc. Please, look out for the new modifications in the Procedures and Replace them accordingly. Acharya, 2006 was a PhD Thesis submitted to which Institution or University? Which page of the Thesis was useful to this work? Some references like Imas and Bansal, 1999; Usherwood, 1985 were NOT cited in text but were enlisted in ref page. Correct it accordingly.	It has been corrected to Ranganna, 1986 in the text. (please see page no 5, line no 108) No doubt Jackson, 1958, 1973; Gomez and Gomez, 1984 are old references, but they were to develop the method first. Later the technique might have been modified by others, but served as the base. So we should give the credit themselves only. However Jackson, 1958 has been changed to 1973. (please see page no 5, line no 111, 112-113) The thesis was submitted to the Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West and was mentioned in the REFERENCE section already. The page no pp 140-145 was used and INCORPORATED IN THE SECTION as well. (please see page no 10, line no 220-223) Imas and Bansal, 1999 and Usherwood , 1999 WAS ALREADY CITED IN PAGE NO 7, LINE NO 163 and 169 respectively. Please see the same. The specific gravity of tuber was estimated following Murphy and Goven (1959). THE



SDI Review Form 1.6

	At what week before planting were the organic treatment applied?	Dye Factor = 0.5 /Titrate Vol. Ascorbic Acid was estimated by the following equation: Mg of ascorbic acid/ml = titrate vol. (ml of dye used) * dye factor* vol. made up* 100/aliquot of sample taken for estimation* vol. of sample. Organic treatments were applied two weeks before sowing of potato seed tubers
--	--	--