



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_32788
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmer's Knowledge and Perception on Factors Limiting Maize Storage and their Management in the Humid Rainforest and Highland Ecozones of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: Aims : write the aims of the study not the aim of the questionnaire. Because the questionnaire is a tool use in your research. Results: in second sentences Ndop119- spacing Line 48-49: mentioned the study conducted in two agroecological zones of Cameroon. This important factor never mentioned in abstract Line 73-75: Data analysis: As this study conducted at two different areas with same number of respondents, thus it is very suitable to use T-test to study comparison of post-harvest management of maize. Line 101: As the analysis using chi-square, please put the cross-tabulation tables which showed the relationship of variables and its significances. Figure 2, 3: The title for both x and y axis are not clear. Line 164; Table 5: line no 1, put 8 not 08. All the result in Frequency column should be in same format. Either 2 digit no or one digit no. ex: 08 or 8. The result for Ndop form of storage de-husked	The sequence of author list submitted was Nelson N. Ntonifor 1¹, Eric B. Fokam2² and Divine Nsobinenyui 2². All authors have unanimously agreed that it should be changed to: Divine Nsobinenyui1¹, Nelson N. Ntonifor2², Eric B. Fokam1¹. Agreed Agreed Agreed Disagreed, T-test is suitable when comparing means Agreed, table 2 Disagreed: both x and y axis are clear Agreed Disagreed: a farmer might have more than one



SDI Review Form 1.6

	which is total frequency is 08 (should written 8), then follows with 3 reason for de-husked with its frequency, however, the total of the frequency here is not the same as the first frequency column. (8+3+2=13). This should be the number put in the first frequency column. Same as all the other result is this table 5. Please check again. Line 167: shown chi square result, add cross tabulation table. Table 4: X and Y axis titles are not clear Line 181: show cross tabulation table for chi-square result. Line 187: show cross tabulation table for chi square analysis. Line 203: gamalin and champor are these trade name?. do put it in capital letter and trademark Line 204: cyphercal – Cyphercal Line 205: mocap – Mocap Line 217-218: cross tabulation table for chi square analysis. Line 226: actellic – Actellic, camphor-Camphor Table 7: on main topic: insecticide (active ingredient) Line 231-237: cross tabulation table for chi square test. To show the correlation of those factors. Table 8: do not underline Cypress leaves. And the scientific name of the plant Either italic OR underline. Choose either one.	reason why he/she de-husk maize and that makes the total of the frequency not to be the same as in the first frequency column. Agreed, table 2 Disagreed; they are clear Agreed, table 2 Agreed, table 2 Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed, table 2 Agreed Agreed Agreed, table 2 Agreed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It would be better to give more scientific name of the fungi or bacteria that commonly causes of rot/mould is in stored maize.	Agreed