



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_32742
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on nutrient and amino acids content of soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill) under 'Eutrochrepts'.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No general conclusion in abstract, please state clearly main finding of this experiment Method of nutrient analysis has to be explain clearly When and how phosphorus and sulphur fertilization is not clearly explained. Please notify where and when the experiment was carried out Conclusion has to be stated clearly at the end of result and discussion or at the separate sub heading	Done Done Done Done Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Title of last column at Table 1 should be Mn instead of Mg - References in Introduction are mostly out of date (more than 5 years). It should be more recent references (less than 5 years)	Done Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author presents very good data, however he/she lacks exploring the interesting fact for the discussion. For example, how each nutrients in soybean associate to each other.	